

# MANUAL OF PATENT OFFICE PRACTICE

# AND PROCEDURE

Version 3.0

26<sup>th</sup> November, 2019



**PUBLISHED BY:** 

## THE OFFICE OF CONTROLLER GENERAL OF PATENTS, DESIGNS & TRADEMARKS

BOUDHIK SAMPADA BHAWAN,

S.M. ROAD, ANTOP HILL, MUMBAI (INDIA)

#### PREFACE

The Patents Act, 1970 was amended in 1999, 2002 and finally in 2005 to provide for product patents in chemicals, pharmaceuticals, food and agro-chemicals and bring in other necessary amendments in line with Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS). Patents Rules have been commensurately amended, initially as Patent Rules, 2003, which were further amended in 2005, 2006, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2016, 2017 and 2019. India became signatory to Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) in 1998. Consequently, patent filing in India, including National Phase applications under PCT, has increased exponentially. Indian Patent Office is a major PCT filing country and also functions as ISA/IPEA under PCT.

Indian Patent Office has been modernized in terms of automation, IT enablement and electronic processing of patent applications during last decade. The objective of modernization project was to increase the functional efficiency and streamline the procedures in tune with international best practices.

Considerable changes have been effected in patenting procedures from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the amended Act and Rules and also to bring in automation, electronic work-flow, comprehensive e-filing, simplified and transparent procedures and efficient public service delivery of IP services.

The office has been regularly publishing the Manual of Patent Office Practice and Procedure to codify patent procedures for streamlining the functioning, provide benefit to stakeholders and also to provide guidance for prosecution of patent applications at Patent Office.

In view of the recent amendments of Patent Rules, reengineering of patent procedures and automation in almost all activities in Patent Office, there has been demand to revise and update the present Manual.

Accordingly, the present version of Manual of Patent Office Practice and Procedure, hereinafter referred to as "Manual", has been prepared which is yet another step to fulfill our commitments towards more efficiency and transparency in the functioning of Patent Office.

This Manual may be considered as a practical guide for effective prosecution of patent applications in India. However, it does not constitute rule making and, hence, does not have the force and effect of law.

The Manual will be revised from time to time based on interpretations by courts of law, statutory amendments and valuable inputs from the stakeholders.

(Om Prakash Gupta) Controller General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks

## INDEX

Chapter 01: Introduction4
Chapter 02: Key Definitions6
Chapter 03: Filing of Patent Application10
Chapter 04: Publication of Application
Chapter 05: Provisional and Complete Specification
Chapter 06: Divisional Application and Patent of Addition 48
Chapter 07: Convention Application, International Application and National Phase Application
and Indian International Preliminary Examination Authority
Chapter 09: Examination and Grant76
Chapter 10: Post-grant Opposition115
Chapter 11: Post-grant Procedures 118
Chapter 12: Appeals 127
Chapter 13: Revocation of Patent129
Chapter 14: Compulsory Licensing131
Chapter 15: Use of inventions for purposes of Government; Acquisition of inventions and patents by the Central Government
Chapter 16: Patent Agents
Chapter 17: Offences and Penalties152
Chapter 18: General Powers of Controller154
Chapter 19: General Services 161
Chapter 20: Scientific Advisors165
Chapter 21: Miscellaneous Provisions168
Chapter 22: Time Lines171

### **Chapter-1: Introduction**

- This manual has been compiled with an intention to codify the practices and procedures being followed by the Indian Patent Office and the same is intended to serve as a procedural guide for practitioners and other users of the Indian Patent System.
- 2. Indian Patent Office functions from four locations viz. Delhi, Mumbai, Kolkata and Chennai with defined areas of territorial jurisdiction. Introduction of office automation and electronic processing of patent applications has resulted in uniformity and transparency in functioning of the office. A complete file wrapper in respect of published patent applications including, information related to publication, examination reports, status of application, amendments, grant, opposition, renewal and decisions of controllers as well as legal status of patents in the form of electronic Patent Register, has been made available to the public. This manual is expected to bring in further transparency and uniformity in patent office practices.
- 3. Processing of patent applications is a multi-stage process, involving filing of an application, electronic data processing, screening and classification, publication, examination, hearing if required, pre-grant opposition and grant/refusal.
- 4. This manual is intended to spell out patent office practices and procedure and bridge any information gap that may be currently existing in this regard. It is not intended to be the interpretation of the Indian Patents Act and Rules made thereunder.
- 5. The procedure for filing patent application and its processing up to grant/refusal, maintenance etc. is explained in the following chapters except infringement proceedings. Certain matters like exceptions to the rights of patentee, Government use, compulsory licensing, etc. have also been included.
- 6. Some of the key definitions have been explained in Chapter-2.
- 7. Chapters 3 to 8 relate to the procedure for filing of patent applications including ordinary and PCT national phase applications, convention and PCT international applications, patent of addition, divisional application and functioning of Indian Patent Office as ISA/IPEA.
- 8. Chapters 9 to11 relate to examination, grant, pre-grant and post-grant oppositions.
- 9. Chapters 12 to 14 relate to the post-grant procedures such as maintenance of patent, appeal, revocation, compulsory licensing and use of patents for the purpose of Government.
- 10. Chapters 15 to 21 relate to Patent Agents, offences and penalties, general powers of Controller, general services, scientific advisors and miscellaneous provisions.
- 11. Time lines prescribed under the Act and Rules have been dealt with separately in Chapter 22.
- 12. The officers, appointed under section 73 (2) of the Act having delegated statutory powers, have

some discretionary powers under the Act, which are to be exercised cautiously and judiciously. They shall not be guided solely by the contents of this manual, but shall take judicious decisions based on the Act, Rules and judicial decisions available in the matter. However, all officers of Patent Office shall uniformly follow the procedures set forth in the Manual.

#### 13. Common Procedures and Practices:

- a) Affidavit and Evidence: Subject to anything contrary to the directions issued by the Controller, evidence shall be given by way of an affidavit in all proceedings before the Controller, as may be required under the Act or Rules. As per Section 77(1)(c) of the Act and Rule 126 of the Patents Rules, the Controller has the power to receive evidence on affidavits which shall be duly sworn in. Nevertheless, a self-declaration signed before the Controller, who is legally empowered to receive the evidence, may also be considered as admissible evidence in any proceeding before the Controller under the Patents Act, if the Controller so allows.
- b) Consequent upon adopting the e-filing system, where certain documents are required to be filed in original, only one copy of such documents shall be submitted to the appropriate Patent Office.
- c) The latest document filed by the applicant shall be considered as final and the corresponding earlier document shall be deemed to have been superseded and cancelled by the applicant.
- d) Power of Attorney in Form-26 shall be stamped appropriately under the Indian Stamps Act.

# Chapter 02: Key definitions

02.01	General	
	For better appreciation of the Manual, the user may require to have	
	clear understanding of certain terms which are defined in the Act and	
	Rules. Some of the important definitions are as under:	
02.02	Definitions	
02.02.01	"appropriate office" means the appropriate office of the Patent Office as specified in rule 4.	Rule 2(b), 4
02.02.02	"assignee" includes an assignee of the assignee and the legal	Section
	representative of a deceased assignee and references to the assignee of	2(1)(ab)
	any person include references to the assignee of the legal representative	
	or assignee of that person.	
02.02.03	"capable of industrial application", in relation to an invention,	Section
	means that the invention is capable of being made or used in an	2(1)(ac)
	industry	
02.02.04	"Controller" means the Controller General of Patents, Designs and	Section
	Trade Marks referred to in Section 73 (1).	2(1)(b),
	The Controller General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks is	2(2)(a), and
	appointed by the Government of India under Section 3 of the	73;
	Trademarks Act, 1999. The person so appointed will be the Controller	Section 3 of
	of Patents for the purposes of the Patents Act, 1970.	Trademarks
		Act, 1999
	The Central Government may appoint examiners and other officers	παι, 1999
	with designations as deemed fit. The officers so appointed shall	
	discharge, under the superintendence and directions of the Controller	
	General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks, such functions of the	
	Controller under this Act, as he may authorize in writing from time to	
	time, by general or special order.	
	Any reference to the Controller shall be construed as including a	
	reference to any officer discharging such functions of the Controller	
	in pursuance of Section 73.	
	The Controller General has authorized Assistant Controllers, Deputy	
	Controllers, Joint Controllers and Senior Joint Controllers to	
	discharge certain functions of the Controller, under the Act.	
	discharge certain functions of the Controller, under the Act.	

	The Controller General has the power to withdraw any matter	
	pending before an officer, by an order in writing and for reasons to be	
	recorded therein, and deal with such matter himself, either <i>de novo</i> or	
	from the stage it was so withdrawn or transfer the same to another	
	officer who may, subject to special directions in the order of such	
	transfer, proceed with the matter either <i>de novo</i> or from the stage it was	
	so transferred.	
02.02.05	"Government undertaking" means any industrial undertaking	Section
02.02.05	carried on –	2(1)(h),
	a. By a department of the Government, or	
	b. by a corporation established by a Central, Provincial or	Section 617
	State Act, which is owned or controlled by the	of the
	Government, or	Companies
	c. by a Government company as defined in section 617 of the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956), or	Act, 1956
	d. by an institution wholly or substantially financed by the Government.	
02.02.06	"international application" means an application for patent made in	Section
	accordance with the Patent Co-operation Treaty.	2(1)(ia)
02.02.07	"invention" means a new product or process involving an inventive	Section
	step and capable of industrial application.	2(1)(j)
02.02.08	"inventive step" means a feature of an invention that involves	Section
	technical advance as compared to the existing knowledge or	2(1)(ja)
	having economic significance or both and that makes the invention	
	not obvious to a person skilled in the art.	
02.02.09	"legal representative" means a person who in law represents the	Section
	estate of a deceased person.	2(1)(k)
02.02.10	"Opposition Board" means an Opposition Board constituted under	Section
	sub - section (3) of section 25.	25(3), (1)(la)
02.02.11	"patentee" means the person for the time being entered on the register	Section
	as the grantee or proprietor of the patent.	2(1)(p)
02.02.12	"patent office" means the patent office referred to in section74	Section
	Unlike many other Countries, Indian Patent Office functions from	2(1)(r),
	four locations viz. Kolkata, Delhi, Chennai and Mumbai for the	2(2)(b), 74
	purpose of facilitating processing of patent applications.	
02.02.13	"person" includes the Government.	Section
		2(1)(s)

02.02.14	"person interested" includes a person engaged in, or in promoting,	Section 2(1)
	research in the same field as that to which the invention relates.	(t)
02.02.15	"prescribed" means prescribed by rules made under this Act.	Section 2(1) (u)
02.02.16	"prescribed manner" includes the payment of the prescribed fee.	Section 2(1) (v)
02.02.17	"small entity" means, -	
	(i) in case of an enterprise engaged in the manufacture or production of	Rule 2(fa),
	goods, an enterprise where the investment in plant and machinery does	Section 7 (1)
	not exceed the limit specified for a medium enterprise under clause (a)	(b) of MSME
	of sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Micro, Small and Medium	Development
	Enterprises Development Act, 2006 (27 of 2006); and	Act, 2006
	(ii) in case of an enterprise engaged in providing or rendering of	
	services, an enterprise where the investment in equipment is not more	
	than the limit specified for medium enterprises under clause (b) of sub-	
	section (I) of Section 7 of the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises	
	Development Act, 2006.	
	Explanation 1 : For the purpose of this clause, "enterprise" means an	
	industrial undertaking or a business concern or any other establishment,	
	by whatever name called, engaged in the manufacture or production of	
	goods, in any manner, pertaining to any industry specified in the First	
	Schedule to the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65	
	of 1951) or engaged in providing or rendering of any service or services	
	in such an industry.	
	Explanation 2: In calculating the investment in plant and machinery,	
	the cost of pollution control, research and development, industrial	
	safety devices and such other things as may be specified by notification	
	under the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act,	
	2006 (27 of 2006), shall be excluded.	
	Explanation 3: The reference rates of foreign currency of the Reserve	
	Bank of India shall prevail.	
	In case of foreign entity, the applicant shall furnish the declaration to	
	the effect that the quantum of investment in plants and	
	machinery/equipment is within the limit prescribed under the Micro,	
	Small and Medium Enterprises Development Act, 2006 (27 of 2006 of	
	India).	
	,	

02.0218	"Startup" means-	
	(a) an entity in India recognised as a startup by the competent	Rule 2(fb)
	authority under Startup India initiative.	
	(b) In case of a foreign entity, an entity fulfilling the criteria for	
	turnover and period of incorporation/ registration as per Startup	
	India Initiative and submitting declaration to that effect.	
	Explanation: In calculating the turnover, reference rates of foreign	
	currency of Reserve Bank of India shall prevail.	
02.02.19	"true and first inventor" does not include either the first importer of an	Section
	invention into India, or a person to whom an invention is first	2(1)(y)
	communicated from outside India.	

# Chapter 03: Filing of Patent Application

03.01	Applicant	Section 6,
	• An application for a patent for an invention may be made by any of	7(2) 54,
	the following persons either alone or jointly with any other person:	134, 135;
	- True and first inventor	Rule 10
	- True and first inventor's assignee	Form-1
	- Legal representative of any deceased true and first inventor or	
	his/her assignee	
	• The term "person" as defined in the Patents Act includes	
	Government.	
	• True and first inventor does not include either the first importer of	
	an invention into India or a person to whom an invention is first	
	communicated from outside India.	
	• An applicant is required to disclose the name, address and	
	nationality of the true and first inventor(s).	
	• "Assignee" can be a natural person or legal person such as, a	
	registered company, small entity, startup, research organization, an	
	educational institute or the Government.	
	• Assignee includes assignee of an assignee also.	
	• Where the inventor(s) is/are not the applicant(s), a proof of right	
	to apply for a patent shall be submitted by way of endorsement in	
	the appropriate paragraph of Form-1 or as an assignment from	
	inventor(s) in favour of applicant(s), duly authenticated, irrespective	
	of whether the application is a Convention or National Phase	
	application. It shall be filed within 6 months from the date of filing	
	of the application in India.	
	• "Natural person" means any individual or a group of individuals.	
	• "Other than natural person" includes a registered company, small	
	entity, startup, research organization, educational institute or	
	Government.	
	• "Legal representative" means a person who in law represents the	
	estate of a deceased person. In such a case, the Legal	
	Representative is required to file appropriate legal instruments as	
	proof of right.	

03.01.01	Procedure to be followed for substitution of applicants etc.	Section 20,
	(1)(a) If the Controller is satisfied with the claim that, by virtue of any	34-36,
	assignment or agreement in writing made by the applicant or one of	Form-6
	the applicants for the patent or by operation of law, the claimant	
	would, if the patent were then granted, be entitled thereto or to the	
	interest of the applicant therein, or to an undivided share of the	
	patent or of that interest, the Controller may direct that the	
	application shall proceed in the name of the claimant or in the	
	names of the claimants and the applicant or the other joint	
	applicant or applicants accordingly, as the case may require.	
	Such a claim shall be made in Form 6 in the prescribed manner at	
	any time before a grant of patent.	
	(b) No such direction as aforesaid shall be given by virtue of any	
	assignment or agreement made by one of two or more joint	
	applicants for a patent except with the consent of the other joint	
	applicant or applicants.	
	(c) The original assignment or agreement or an official copy or	
	notarized copy thereof shall also be produced for the Controller's	
	inspection and the Controller may call for such other proof of title	
	or written consent, as he may require.	
	(d) Further, the Controller shall not issue any such direction unless:	
	i. the invention is identified in the agreement or assignment by	
	reference to the number of application for the patent, or	
	ii. there is an assignment/ agreement produced before the	
	Controller by the person to whom it was made, indicating	
	that the assignment or agreement relates to the invention in	
	respect of which the application is made, or	
	iii. the rights of the claimant in respect of the invention have	
	been finally established by decision of a court, or	
	iv. the Controller takes a decision after hearing the disputed	
	parties as per the proceedings under section 20 (5) of the	
	rules.	
	(2) Where one of two or more joint applicants for a patent dies at any	

<b></b>		[]
	time before the grant of patent, the Controller may, upon a request in	
	that behalf made by the survivor or survivors, and with the consent of	
	the legal representative of the deceased, direct that the application shall	
	proceed in the name of the survivor or survivors alone.	
	Such request shall be made in Form 6 and shall be accompanied by	
	proof of death of the joint applicant and a certified copy of the	
	probate of the will of the deceased or letters of administration in	
	respect of his estate or any other document to prove that the person	
	who gives the consent is the legal representative of the deceased	
	applicant.	
	(3) If any dispute arises between joint applicants for a patent as to	
	whether or in what manner the application should be proceeded	
	with, the Controller may, upon application made to him in the	
	prescribed manner by any of the parties, and after giving an	
	opportunity to be heard to all parties concerned, give such	
	directions as he thinks fit for enabling the application to proceed in	
	the name of one or more of the parties alone or for regulating the	
	manner in which it should be proceeded with, or for both those	
	purposes, as the case may require.	
	Such application shall be made in Form 6 in duplicate and shall be	
	accompanied by a statement setting out fully the facts upon which	
	the applicant relies and the directions which he seeks. A copy of the	
	application and statement shall be sent by the Controller to every	
	other joint applicant.	
03.02	Jurisdiction	Section 16,
		74.
	Unlike many other Countries, Indian Patent Office functions from	D 1 4 5
	four locations viz. Kolkata, Delhi, Chennai and Mumbai for carrying	Rule 4, 5.
	out all procedures relating to patents.	
	An application for patent shall be filed with the Patent Office having	
	appropriate jurisdiction. A territorial jurisdiction of patent office in	
	respect of a patent application is decided based on any of the	
	following:	
	i. Place of residence, domicile or business of the applicant (first	
	mentioned applicant in case of joint applicants) or,	
	mendoned appreare in case of joint apprearies of,	

ii. Addı	ress for service in India given by the applicant, when the
Appli	cant has no place of business or domicile in India
(Fore	ign applicants).
v. Also,	the further application referred to in section 16 of the Act
shall	be filed at the appropriate office of the first mentioned
applic	cation only.
	Territorial jurisdictions are as under:
Patent	Territorial Jurisdiction
<b>Office</b> Aumbai	The States of Gujarat, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh,
-umai	Goa, Chhattisgarh, the Union Territories of Daman &
	Diu and Dadra & Nagar Haveli
Delhi	The States of Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Punjab,
	Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand, National
	Capital Territory of Delhi and the Union Territories of
	Chandigarh, Jammu and Kashmir, and Ladakh.
Chennai	The States of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala,
	Tamil Nadu, Telangana and the Union Territories of
	Pondicherry and Lakshadweep.
Kolkata	Rest of India (States of Bihar, Jharkhand, Orissa, West
	Bengal, Sikkim, Assam, Meghalaya, Manipur, Tripura,
	Nagaland, Arunachal Pradesh and Union Territory of
	Andaman and Nicobar Islands)
n approp	riate office where a patent application is filed shall not be
dinarily c	
owever, t	the Controller may allocate an application for patent to any
the four	Patent Offices, if required.
l applica	nts and patent agents are required to give an address for
rvice incl	uding postal address in India, an email address and a mobile
Imber reg	ristered in India.
ıch addre	ss for service shall be considered for all proceedings under
	Act and Rules.

03.03	Types of Patent Applications	Section 7,
	1. <b>Ordinary Application</b> i.e., an application which has been filed directly in the Indian Patent Office without claiming priority of any foreign application.	16, 54,135
	2. <b>Convention Application</b> claiming the priority of a country which is a member to Paris Convention	
	3. PCT National Phase Application.	
	4. <b>Divisional Application</b> , i.e, a further application divided out of the first-mentioned patent application.	
	5 <b>Patent of Addition</b> i.e. an application for patent in respect of any improvement in or modification of the invention for which the patent application has already been filed or patent has been granted.	
03.04	Filing of patent application	
	Every application for a patent shall be for one invention only and shall be filed in Form-1 at an appropriate office along with provisional/complete specification (in Form 2), accompanied with the prescribed fee as given in the First Schedule.	Section 7, First Schedule
	However, a provisional specification cannot be filed in case of Convention Application or PCT National Phase application filed in India.	
	(For further description of Provisional /Complete Specifications	
	refer Chapter 5).	
	Normal fee shall be applicable for applications containing up to 30 pages and up to 10 claims. If the application exceeds 30 pages or claims are more than 10 in number, additional fee, as given in the First Schedule, is payable.	
	It may be noted that 10% additional fee shall be payable when the application for patent and other documents are filed through physical mode, namely, in hard-copy format.	
	E-Filing of Patent Application:	
	IPO has developed THE comprehensive e-filing system for patents, wherein, in addition to online filing of new applications, subsequent	

	filing of all the documents has also been integrated.	
	New and enhanced features of Comprehensive E-filing services include:	
	Web-based filing system	
	• Dual way login (Digital Signature as well as Password based) and password regeneration	
	• Provision for filing of all entries as per First Schedule of the Patents Rules, 2003	
	• Proper validations with Patent Office database	
	• Facility to upgrade/update digital signatures	
	(https://ipindiaonline.gov.in/epatentfiling/goForLogin/doLogin)	
03.04.01	Documents required for filing patent application	
	A patent application should contain the following:	Section 7.
	1. Application for grant of patent in <b>Form-1</b> .	Rule 2(fa)/
	<ol> <li>A proof of right is required from the inventor(s) by way of endorsement in the appropriate paragraph of Form-1 or as an assignment from inventor(s) in favour of applicant(s), duly authenticated, irrespective of whether the application is a Convention or National Phase application. Accordingly, it shall be filed within 6 months from the date of filing of the application in India.</li> <li>Provisional/complete specification in Form-2.</li> <li>Statement and undertaking under Section 8 (1) in Form-3 : An applicant must file information and undertaking regarding foreign applications in Form 3, either along with the application or within 6 months from the date of application. The applicant is also required to keep the controller informed regarding every application relating to same or substantially same invention, if any filed in any country outside India subsequently, within six months from the date of filing of such application.</li> <li>Declaration as to inventorship shall be filed in Form-5 along</li> </ol>	2(fb), Rule 7(1), 8, 10, 12, 13, 135. Form-1, 2, 3, 5, 26, 28. Section 6 of Biological Diversity Act, 2002.
	with the complete specification where the complete specification is filed after provisional specification, and also, where the application is either a convention application or national phase application filed in India under PCT.	

However, the Controller may allow Form-5 to be filed within one month from the date of filing of complete specification, if a request is made to the Controller in Form-4.

6. If an applicant is MSME/Startup, Form 28 accompanied with appropriate evidence in accordance with rule Rule 2 (fa)/ 2(fb) respectively shall be submitted.

In the case of **"small entity or startup"**, every document for which fee has been specified, shall be accompanied by Form-28.

#### 7. Form of Authorisation:

Authorisation of an agent shall be filed in Form 26 or in the form of a power of attorney within a period of three months from the date of filing of such application or document, failing which no action shall be taken on such application or documents for further processing till such deficiency is removed.

In case a general power of attorney has already been filed in another application, a self-attested copy of the same shall be filed by the authorized Patent Agent.

- 8. **Priority document** is required in the following cases:
  - Convention Application (under Paris Convention).
  - PCT National Phase Application wherein requirements of Rule 17.1 (a or b) of regulations made under the PCT have not been fulfilled.

A priority document shall be filed along with PCT National phase application before the expiry of 31 months from the date of priority.

 Every application shall bear the signature of the applicant or authorized person/patent agent along with name and date in the appropriate space provided in the forms.

The specification shall be signed by the applicant or his authorized patent agent with date on the last page of the claims contained in the Specification. Drawing sheets should bear the signature of an applicant or his authorized patent agent in the right hand bottom corner.

<ul> <li>Where the documents have been digitally signed by the Applicant/Patent Agent, there will be no requirement of physical signature of the agent or applicant.</li> <li>If the invention as disclosed in the patent specification uses the biological material obtained from India, the applicant is required to submit the permission from the National Biodiversity Authority any time before the grant of the patent. (Please refer to Section-6 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002).</li> <li>The application shall disclose in the specification the source and geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in the invention.</li> <li>Comprehensive E-filing: Rule 6</li> <li>E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs &amp; Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing:         <ul> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the c-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to be filed</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>signature of the agent or applicant.</li> <li>10. If the invention as disclosed in the patent specification uses the biological material obtained from India, the applicant is required to submit the permission from the National Biodiversity Authority any time before the grant of the patent. (Please refer to Section-6 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002).</li> <li>11. The application shall disclose in the specification the source and geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in the invention.</li> <li>03.04.02 Comprehensive E-filing: <ul> <li>Rule 6</li> </ul> </li> <li>E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs &amp; Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of c-filing: <ul> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the c-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>10. If the invention as disclosed in the patent specification uses the biological material obtained from India, the applicant is required to submit the permission from the National Biodiversity Authority any time before the grant of the patent. (Please refer to Section-6 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002).</li> <li>11. The application shall disclose in the specification the source and geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in the invention.</li> <li>03.04.02 Comprehensive E-filing: <ul> <li>Rule 6</li> </ul> </li> <li>E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs &amp; Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ul> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>biological material obtained from India, the applicant is required to submit the permission from the National Biodiversity Authority any time before the grant of the patent. (Please refer to Section-6 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002).</li> <li>11. The application shall disclose in the specification the source and geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in the invention.</li> <li>03.04.02 Comprehensive E-filing: <ul> <li>Rule 6</li> <li>E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs &amp; Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Steps of c-filing: <ul> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>to submit the permission from the National Biodiversity         Authority any time before the grant of the patent. (Please refer to         Section-6 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002).         <ol> <li>The application shall disclose in the specification the source and             geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in             the invention.</li> <li>03.04.02 Comprehensive E-filing:                 <ul></ul></li></ol></li></ul>			
Authority any time before the grant of the patent. (Please refer to Section-6 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002).       11. The application shall disclose in the specification the source and geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in the invention.         03.04.02       Comprehensive E-filing:       Rule 6         E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.       Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.         Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).         The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.         Steps of e-filing:       1. Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways         2. Register for New User and creation of "user-id"       3. Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual         4. Login to the e-filing module       5. Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to			
Section-6 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002).         11. The application shall disclose in the specification the source and geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in the invention.         03.04.02       Comprehensive E-filing:       Rule 6         E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.         Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.         Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).         The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.         Steps of e-filing:       1. Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways         2. Register for New User and creation of "user-id"       3. Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual         4. Login to the e-filing module       5. Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to		-	
11. The application shall disclose in the specification the source and geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in the invention.       Rule 6         03.04.02       Comprehensive E-filing:       Rule 6         E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.       Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.         Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).         The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.         Steps of e-filing:         1. Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways         2. Register for New User and creation of "user-id"         3. Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual         4. Login to the e-filing module         5. Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to			
geographical origin of the biological material, if any used in the invention.       Rule 6         03.04.02       Comprehensive E-filing:       Rule 6         E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.       Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.         Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).         The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.         Steps of e-filing:         1.       Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways         2.       Register for New User and creation of "user-id"         3.       Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual         4.       Login to the e-filing module         5.       Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to		Section-6 of the Biological Diversity Act, 2002).	
uthe invention.       Rule 6         03.04.02       Comprehensive E-filing:       Rule 6         E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.       Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.         Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).         The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.         Steps of e-filing:         1.       Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways         2.       Register for New User and creation of "user-id"         3.       Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual         4.       Login to the e-filing module         5.       Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to		11. The application shall disclose in the specification the source and	
03.04.02       Comprehensive E-filing:       Rule 6         E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.         Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.         Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).         The c-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.         Steps of c-filing:         1.       Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways         2.       Register for New User and creation of "user-id"         3.       Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual         4.       Login to the e-filing module         5.       Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to			
<ul> <li>E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of Controller General of Patents, Designs &amp; Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>			-
<ul> <li>Controller General of Patents, Designs &amp; Trade Marks (www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of c-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in</li> <li>and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	03.04.02	Comprehensive E-filing:	Rule 6
<ul> <li>(www.ipindia.nic.in), provides comprehensive platform for online submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in</li> <li>and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		E-filing Portal of the Patent Office, available in the official website of	
<ul> <li>submission of patent application and subsequent forms in a secure and authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks	
<ul> <li>authenticated electronic way.</li> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in</li> <li>and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		authenticated electronic way.	
<ul> <li>(DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.</li> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		Authentication of filing is done via a digital signature which the	
<ul> <li>Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in</li> <li>and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		applicant or his agent must procure as Digital Signature Certificate	
<ul> <li>all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in</li> <li>and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		(DSC) of class II or III from authorized vendors.	
<ul> <li>Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).</li> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in</li> <li>and proceed to E-Gateways</li> </ol> </li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ul>		Online payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with	
<ul> <li>The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in</li> <li>and proceed to E-Gateways</li> </ol> </li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ul>		all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit	
<ul> <li>agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.</li> <li>Steps of e-filing: <ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in</li> <li>and proceed to E-Gateways</li> </ol> </li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ul>		Cards, Debit Cards and Unified Payments Interface (UPI).	
Steps of e-filing:         1. Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways         2. Register for New User and creation of "user-id"         3. Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual         4. Login to the e-filing module         5. Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to		The e-filing portal provides flexibility to applicants or their authorized	
<ol> <li>Visit www.ipindia.gov.in and proceed to E-Gateways</li> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol>		agents to work from their premises on 24x7 basis, even on holidays.	
<ol> <li>Register for New User and creation of "user-id"</li> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol>		Steps of e-filing:	
<ol> <li>Install Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) and configure the system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ol>		1. Visit <u>www.ipindia.gov.in</u> and proceed to E-Gateways	
<ul> <li>system as per the DSC manual</li> <li>4. Login to the e-filing module</li> <li>5. Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to</li> </ul>		2. Register for New User and creation of "user-id"	
5. Select New Application Filing or any particular Form which is to			
		4. Login to the e-filing module	

	6.	Draft the Form(s) for new application or any other subsequent	
		form (s)	
	7.	Upload the PDF version of required documents	
	8.	Save the draft	
	9.	Go to the drafted forms	
	10.	Enter the mobile number, if SMS alert are required	
	11.	Select the drafted form and proceed for signing of drafted form	
	12.	After the forms are digitally signed, it is ready for making the	
		payment though the available Payment Gateways	
	13.	Select the digitally signed form and proceed for payment	
	14.	Select the payment gateway (NTRP-Bharatkosh payment gateway)	
	15.	Select the bank and payment mode to make the payment of fee	
	16.	After making payment, the acknowledgement receipt would be	
		generated.	
	Sub	mitted Forms would then proceed to the respective section of the	
	Pat	ent Office for processing and official actions.	
	(For	more and updated information on the steps for e-filing, you may	
	also	refer to the Comprehensive E- Filing Portal).	
03.04.03	Lea	ving and Serving Documents at Patent Office	
03.04.03	<b>Lea</b>	ving and Serving Documents at Patent Office Any Application, notice or other document authorised or	Rule 6,
03.04.03			
03.04.03		Any Application, notice or other document authorised or	Rule 6, 6(1-A)
03.04.03		Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to	-
03.04.03		Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules,	-
03.04.03		Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the	-
03.04.03		Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through	-
03.04.03	1.	Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated.	
03.04.03		Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated. If any such document is sent by post or registered post or speed	
03.04.03	1.	Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated. If any such document is sent by post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, it shall be	
03.04.03	1.	Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated. If any such document is sent by post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, it shall be deemed to have been filed, left, made or given at the time when	-
03.04.03	1.	Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated. If any such document is sent by post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, it shall be	-
03.04.03	1.	Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated. If any such document is sent by post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, it shall be deemed to have been filed, left, made or given at the time when the mail containing the same would have been delivered in the	-
03.04.03	1.	Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated. If any such document is sent by post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, it shall be deemed to have been filed, left, made or given at the time when the mail containing the same would have been delivered in the ordinary course of post or registered post or speed post or by	-
03.04.03	1.	Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated. If any such document is sent by post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, it shall be deemed to have been filed, left, made or given at the time when the mail containing the same would have been delivered in the ordinary course of post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, as the case may be. In proving such sending, it shall be sufficient to show that the mail	
03.04.03	1.	Any Application, notice or other document authorised or required to be filed, left, made or given at the patent office, or to the Controller or to any other person under the Act or the rules, may be tendered by hand or sent by a letter addressed to the Controller at the Appropriate Office or to that person through post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated. If any such document is sent by post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, it shall be deemed to have been filed, left, made or given at the time when the mail containing the same would have been delivered in the ordinary course of post or registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated, as the case may be. In	

by electronic transmission duly authenticated;

- Any document, if asked to be submitted in original, shall be submitted within a period of fifteen days, failing which such documents shall be deemed not to have been filed.
- 5. Any written communication addressed to a patentee at his postal address or email address, as it appears on the register of patents or at his address for service given under rule 5, or to any applicant or opponent in any proceedings under the Act or the rules, at the postal address or email address, preferably on first email address appearing on the Application or notice of opposition, or given for service, shall be deemed to be properly addressed.
- 6. All notices and all written communications addressed to a patentee, or to any applicant or opponent in any proceedings under the Act or the rules, and all documents forwarded to the patentee or to the said applicant or opponent, shall, except when they are sent by special messenger, be sent by registered post or speed post or by electronic transmission duly authenticated.
- 7. The date of notice or written communication addressed to a patentee or to any applicant or opponent in any proceedings under the Act and the rules shall be the date of dispatch of the said notice or written communication, by registered post or speed post or fax or electronic transmission duly authenticated, as the case may be, unless otherwise specified under the Act or the rules.
- 8.(i) In case of delay in receipt of a document or communication sent by the Patent office to a party to any proceedings under the Act or the rules, the delay in transmitting or resubmitting a document to the Patent office or doing any act by the party may be condoned by the Controller if a petition for such condonation of delay is made by the party to the Controller immediately after the receipt of the document or communication along with the statement regarding the circumstances of the fact and evidence

in support of the statement.

Whenever an applicant is unable to submit the documents in time
due to failure of server/Bharat Kosh/IT infrastructure on part of
the Office, the applicant/patent agent is required to take screen
shot of the problem and submit the same to the Office along with
a statement regarding the circumstances of the facts as evidence
in support as per Rule 6(5), whereupon such delay may be
condoned after due verification by the Office.

Such delay condoned by the Controller shall not exceed the period between the date on which the party was supposed to have received the document or communication by ordinary course of mail or electronic transmission duly authenticated and the actual date of receipt of the same.

(ii).	The condonation of such delay can also be made for reasons of
	war, revolution, civil disorder, strike, natural calamity, a general
	unavailability of electronic communication services or other
	similar reasons occurred in the locality where the applicant/agent
	resides or has place of business. The delay can be allowed when
	the applicant/agent files a petition for condonation of such delay
	to the Controller provided the situation was of such severity that
	it disrupted the normal communication in that area and that the
	petition is filed within maximum one month from the date of
	cessation of the such situation.

Such delay condoned by the Controller shall not exceed the period for which national emergency was in force, or six months from the expiry of the prescribed period, whichever is earlier.

(iii) A petition(s) as referred to above can be filed in Form 30, as no specific Form is provided for petition under rule 6 (5) and 6 (6).

03.04.04	Receiving Documents in office:	
	1. The application and any other documents with or without	
	accompanying fees is received at the Patent Office at separate	
	counters i.e., Fee Counter and Non-Fee Counter , respectively.	
	2. The fee bearing documents are sent to the fee counter and the	

	non-fee bearing documents are sent to the non-fee counter	er.
	<ol> <li>The application and any other documents with or accompanying fees is received at the Patent Office at counters i.e., Fee Counter and Non-Fee Counter, respect</li> </ol>	without separate
	4. The fee bearing documents are sent to the fee counter non-fee bearing documents are sent to the non-fee counter	
	<ol> <li>The staff at the fee counter makes relevant entries in the stamps the documents so received, generates the Cas Receipts (CBRs) and enters the CBR number, date, amou received, application number, patent number or other entries.</li> </ol>	sh Book int of fee
	<ol> <li>The staff at the non-fee counter makes relevant entr document receipt module and stamps the documents</li> </ol>	ry in the
	<ol> <li>The documents from both the counters are sent to Electr Data Processing (EDP) Section for digitization</li> </ol>	ronic
03.04.05	Language and Paper-size etc.	Rule 9
	All documents and copies of the documents, except affida	wits and
	drawings, filed with patent office, shall be:	
	<ol> <li>typewritten or printed in Hindi or English (unless o directed or allowed by the Controller) in large and characters not less than 0.28 centimetre high with deep ink with lines widely spaced not less than one and half only upon one side of the paper;</li> <li>on such paper which is flexible, strong, white, smooth, not</li> </ol>	l legible indelible f spaced, on-shiny,
	<ol> <li>typewritten or printed in Hindi or English (unless o directed or allowed by the Controller) in large and characters not less than 0.28 centimetre high with deep ink with lines widely spaced not less than one and half only upon one side of the paper;</li> </ol>	d legible indelible f spaced, on-shiny, netre by the top ght hand
	<ol> <li>typewritten or printed in Hindi or English (unless or directed or allowed by the Controller) in large and characters not less than 0.28 centimetre high with deep ink with lines widely spaced not less than one and half only upon one side of the paper;</li> <li>on such paper which is flexible, strong, white, smooth, no and durable of size A4 of approximately 29.7 centin 21centimetre with a margin of at least 4 centimetre on and left hand part and 3 centimetre on the bottom and rig part thereof;</li> </ol>	d legible indelible f spaced, on-shiny, netre by the top ght hand re of the

[		
	office as may be required by the Controller.	
	6. names and addresses of applicant and other persons shall be	
	given in full, together with their nationality and such other	
	particulars, if any, necessary for their identification.	
	Signature	
	Any signature which is not legible or which is written in a script	
	other than Hindi or English shall be accompanied by a transcription	
	of the name, either in Hindi or in English, in block letters.	
03.04.06	Sequence listing	Rule 9
	If the application for patent discloses sequence listing of nucleotides	
	or amino acid sequences, such sequence listing shall be filed in the	
	computer readable text format along with the application and no print	
	form of the sequence listing of nucleotides or amino acid sequences	
	will be required to be given.	
	A nucleotide sequence shall be listed with a maximum of 60 bases per	
	line, with a space between each group of 10 bases. The bases of a	
	nucleotide sequence (including introns) shall be listed in groups of 10	
	bases, except in the coding parts of the sequence. Leftover bases,	
	fewer than 10 in number at the end of non-coding parts of a sequence,	
	should be grouped together and separated from adjacent groups by a	
	space. The bases of the coding parts of a nucleotide sequence shall be	
	listed as triplets (codons).	
	Any sequence listing in electronic form shall be contained within one	
	electronic file encoded using IBM 39 Code Page 437, IBM Code Page	
	93240 or a compatible code page to represent the sequence listing	
	with no other codes included.	
	Any sequence listing in the electronic document format as specified	
	shall preferably be created by dedicated software such as PatentIn.	
	(REFERENCE: WIPO STANDARD ST.25 FOR THE	
	PRESENTATION OF NUCLEOTIDE AND AMINO ACID.	
	URL: https://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/standards/en/pdf/	
	<u>03-25-01.pdf</u> )	
03.04.07	Fee:	
	<ol> <li>Fee payable under the Act in case of offline filing may either be</li> </ol>	C
		Section

142, Rule 7, First Schedule

paid in cash or may be sent by bank draft or banker's cheque payable to the Controller of Patents and drawn on a scheduled bank at the place where the appropriate office is situated. Fee can also be paid through BHIM app in Controller of Patents Account.

- 2. If the draft or banker's cheque is sent by post, the fee shall be deemed to have been paid on the date on which the draft or banker's cheque has actually reached the Controller.
- Ten percent additional fee shall be payable when the application for patent and other documents are filed through physical mode, namely, in hard copy format.
- 4. In case of online filing, payment of fees can be made through a payment gateway with all the prevalent major modes of payment like Net banking, Credit Cards, Debit Cards or ATM Cards.
- 5. When a small entity/start up is an applicant, every subsequent document for which a fee has been specified, shall be accompanied by Form 28 with duly authenticated copy of the evidence of small entity/start up.
- 6. In case an application processed by a natural person is fully or partially transferred to a person other than a natural person, the difference, if any, in the scale of fee(s) between the fee(s) charged from a natural person and the fee(s) chargeable from the person other than a natural person in the same matter for all previous proceedings shall be paid by the new applicant with the request for transfer in Form 6 along with Form 30.
- 7. When an application processed by a small entity is fully or partly transferred to a person other than a natural person (except a small entity), the difference, if any, in the scale of fee(s) between the fee(s) charged from a small entity and the fee(s) chargeable from the person other than a natural person (except a small entity) in the same matter for all previous proceedings shall be paid by the new applicant with the request for transfer in Form 6 along with Form 30.

8. When an application is filed by a startup is fully or partly

	transferred to any person other than a natural person or a	
	startup, the difference, if any, in the scale of fees between the	
	fees charged from a startup and such person to whom the	
	application is transferred, shall be paid by the new applicant for	
	all previous proceedings along with the request for transfer in	
	Form 6 along with Form 30.	
	<b>Explanation-</b> Where the startup ceases to be a startup after	
	having filed an application for patent due to lapse of more	
	than seven/ten years from the date of its incorporation or	
	registration as applicable or the turnover subsequently	
	crosses the financial threshold limit as defined, no such	
	difference in the scale of fees shall be payable.	
	9. Where a fee is payable in respect of a document, the entire fee	
	shall accompany the document.	
	10. Where a fee is payable in respect of the doing of an act by the	
	Controller, the Controller shall not do that act until the fee has	
	been paid.	
	11. Fee once paid in respect of any proceedings shall not be	
	ordinarily refunded whether the proceedings have taken place or	
	not. However, on the request by the applicant/agent in Form 30	
	and if the Controller is satisfied that during the online filing	
	process, the fee was paid more than once for the same	
	proceeding, the excess fee shall be refunded.	
	12. Prescribed fee for various proceedings under the Act is given in the First Schedule.	
03.05	Processing of Application	
03.05.01		
03.05.01	Initial processing:	
	1. On receipt of an application, the Office accords a date and serial	
	number to it. Requests for examination are accorded separate serial	
	numbers.	
	2. Applications and other documents filed in physical form are digitized,	
	verified, screened, classified and uploaded to the internal server of the	
	Office.	
	3. Patent applications and other documents are arranged in e-wrapper.	

	4.	The Application is screened for:
	<del>1</del> .	
		a. International Patent Classification
		b. Technical field of invention for allocation to an examiner in
		the respective field
		c. Relevance to defence or atomic energy.
		d. Correctness and completeness of abstract.
		If the abstract is found as not appropriate, the same will be
		amended suitably by the office, so as to provide better
		information to third parties. However, care is taken that such
		amendment does not result in a change in the nature of
		invention.
03.05.02	i.	Numbering System for Applications
	•	FORMAT: YYYY J T NNNNNN , Where,
	•	"YYYY" is Four digit fixed length "Year of filing"
		( in YYYY/MM/DD )
	•	"J" is fixed length single digit "Jurisdiction" in numerals
		(1 for Delhi, 2 for Mumbai, 3 for Kolkata, 4 for Chennai)
	•	"T" is fixed length single digit "Type of Application" in
		numerals:
		(1 for Ordinary; 2 for Ordinary-Divisional;3 for Ordinary-Patent
		of Addition; 4 for Convention; 5 for Convention-Divisional; 6
		for Convention-Patent of Addition; 7 for PCT NP; 8 for PCT
		NP-Divisional and 9 for PCT NP-Patent of Addition)
	•	"NNNNNN" is 6 digits fixed length common continuous
		running serial number of applications applicable for all Patent
		Offices in India
	•	Thus, 1 <sup>st</sup> application (Ordinary) filed in Delhi in 2019 will be
		numbered as 201911000001.
		If 2 <sup>nd</sup> application in 2019 is "Convention" application from
		Mumbai it would be numbered as 201924000002.

03.05.03	ii.	Numbering Format for Request for Examination
	•	Format: RYYYYJNNNNN, Where,
	•	"R" denotes Request for examination u/r 24B(1)(i) "YYYY"
		denotes four digit fixed length "Year of filing"
	•	"J" denotes Jurisdiction for Patent Application against which
		Request of Examination has been filed
	•	"NNNNNN" denotes 6 digits fixed length common
		continuous running serial number applicable for all Patent Offices in India.
	iii.	Numbering Format for Request for Expedited Examination
	•	Format: EYYYYJNNNNNN
		Where, "E denotes Request for Expedited Examination under rule
		24 (C ).
03.05.04	Sc	rutiny of Application
	1.	The Office checks whether the Application has been filed in
		Appropriate Jurisdiction (see 03.02). The address for service
		shall mandatorily include e-mail address and PIN code. If the
		address for service is not complete, the application shall be
		returned and the applicant will be informed accordingly.
	2.	The Office checks for <b>Proof of Right</b> to file the application (See
		<b>03.04</b> ). If proof of right is not filed along with the application, the
		same shall be filed within a period of six months from the date of
		filing of the application. Otherwise, the applicant shall file the
		same along with a petition under Rule 137/138. The Office
		checks whether the application and other documents have been
		filed in the prescribed format i.e. prescribed forms, request,
		petitions, assignment deeds, translation etc.
	3.	Further, the Office checks whether:
		a. the documents have been prepared on a proper sized paper
		and typed in appropriate font with proper spacing,
		b. the documents are duly signed
		c. abstract, drawings (if any) have been filed in proper format
		d. meaningful claim(s) are present in a complete specification,

	e. whether authoristion of an Agent in Form 26 or in the	
	form of a power of attorney is filed within a period of	
	three months from the date of filing of such application or	
	document.	
	f. whether Form-5 has been filed, if required.	
	g. whether the invention has been assigned to another person,	
	and if yes, whether Form 6 has been duly filed along with	
	the deed of assignment. If the right is assigned from an	
	individual to a legal entity, the legal entity is invited to pay	
	the balance fees.	
03.05.05	Secrecy Directions and consequences thereof-	Section
	1. If in the opinion of the Controller, an invention pertains to a	35, 36, 37,
	subject matter relevant for the purpose of defence, as notified by	38
	the Central Government, or otherwise the invention appears to	Rule 72
	the Controller to be so relevant, he will issue directions prohibiting	
	the publication of the application and will notify the applicant.	
	2. Where the Controller gives any such direction, he shall refer the	
	matter to the Central Government for consideration as to whether	
	the publication of the application would be prejudicial to the	
	defence of India.	
	3. If the Central Government, after considering the merits of the	
	secrecy direction, is of the opinion that the publication of the	
	invention would not so prejudice, will give notice to the Controller	
	to that effect, who shall thereupon revoke the directions and notify	
	the applicant accordingly.	
	4. The Central Government reviews the continuation of secrecy	
	directions in the following circumstances:	
	i) periodically at an interval of six months, or	
	ii) on a request made by the applicant. If the applicant requests	
	for reconsideration of the secrecy direction already imposed,	
	and, if the same is found reasonable by the Controller, he	
	shall request the Central Government for review.	
	If on such reconsideration, it appears to the Central	
	Government that the publication of the invention would no	

longer be prejudicial to the defence of India, it shall forthwith give notice to the Controller to revoke the direction and the Controllers shall thereupon revoke the directions previously given by him.

 iii) In case the application filed by a foreign applicant is found to be relevant for defence purposes by the Central Government but published outside India, it shall give notice to the Controller to revoke the directions previously given by him.

The result of every re-consideration under sub-section 36 (1), shall be communicated to the applicant within 15 days of the receipt of the notice by the Controller.

- 5. If the Central Government is of the opinion that an invention in respect of which the Controller has not imposed a secrecy direction and is relevant for defence purposes, it may at any time before the grant of the patent notify the Controller to that effect. Thereupon, the Controller invokes the provisions of Section 35(1) and notify the Central Government accordingly.
- 6. So long as any directions under Section 35 are in force, the Controller shall not take a decision on grant/refusal of the application. However, the application may, subject to the directions, proceed up to the stage of " in order for grant", but the application and the specification found to be in order for grant of the patent shall not be published, and no patent shall be granted in pursuance of that application. Further, no appeal shall lie from any order of the Controller passed in respect thereof.
- 7. Where an application is found to be in order for grant of the patent during the continuance in force of the directions, then-
  - (a) if, any use of the invention is made by or on behalf of, or to the order of the Government, the provisions of sections 100, 101 and 103 shall apply in relation to that use, as if the patent had been granted for the invention; and,
  - (b) if it appears to the Central Government that the applicant for the patent has suffered hardship by reason of the

	continuance in force of the directions, the Central	
	Government may make to him such payment (if any) by way	
	of solatium as appears to the Central Government to be	
	reasonable having regard to the novelty and utility of the	
	invention and the purpose for which it is designed, and to	
	any other relevant circumstances.	
	(c) Where a patent is granted in pursuance of an application in	
	respect of which directions have been given under section	
	35, no renewal fee shall be payable in respect of any period	
	during which those directions were in force.	
03.05.06	Inventions relating to Atomic Energy	Section 4
	No Patent is granted in respect of an invention relating to	of the
	atomic energy falling within sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the	Patents
	Atomic Energy Act, 1962.	Act, 1970;
	According to Section 20(1) of Atomic Energy Act, atomic energy	
	means energy released from atomic nuclei as a result of any process	Section 2
	including the fission and fusion processes. Under this Act,	of the
	"prescribed substances" means any substance including any mineral	Atomic
	which the Central Government may, by notification, prescribe,	Energy
	being a substance which in its opinion is or may be used for the	Act,
	production or use of atomic energy or research.	1962.
	The updated list of "prescribed substances" under Atomic Energy Act	S.O.61(E)
	1962, published vide notification dated 28th April, 2016, may be	
	accessed at http://dae.nic.in/writereaddata/Prescribed-eng.pdf	
	Upon screening, if an Application is found to be falling within the	
	purview of the Atomic Energy Act, the Controller refers the	
	Application to the Central Government.	
	The Central Government upon consideration may issue a direction to	
	the Controller, which is final. The same shall be informed to the	
	applicant.	
	The opinion of the Central Government is not open to an appeal.	
03.06	Withdrawal of patent application	Section11
	The applicant may, at any time after filing the application but before the	А
	grant of a patent, withdraw the application by making a request in	(3)(c),

writing. A request for withdrawing the application under sub-section	11B(4),
(4) of section 11B shall be made in Form 29.	Rule 7(4A)
However, if the applicant makes a request for withdrawal within 15	and 26,
months from the date of filing or priority of the application,	Form 29,
whichever is earlier, the application will not be published.	First
Withdrawal and Refund of Fees :	Schedule
If request for withdrawal of an application is filed in respect of which	
a request for examination has been filed but FER has not been issued,	
90% of the fee paid for request for examination/expedited	
examination can be refunded as prescribed in the First Schedule, on a	
request made by the applicant in Form 29.	

04.01	Publication of Patent Application	
	<ul> <li>a) An application for Patent is not open to public before the expiry of 18 months from the date of filing or date of priority, whichever is earlier.</li> </ul>	Section 11A, Rule 24
	<ul> <li>b) At the end of the period as mentioned in para (a) above, the Application is published in the Official Journal <u>except</u> in the cases, where:</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>i. Secrecy direction u/s 35 is in force.</li> <li>ii. Application is abandoned u/s 9 (1) (i.e., complete Specification not filed within twelve months from the date of filing of Provisional Specification).</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>iii. Application is withdrawn three months prior to the due date of publication, i.e., before the end of 15th month from the date of filing or priority, whichever is earlier.</li></ul>	
	c) The Patent Office publishes applications in the official e-Journal, ordinarily within one month from the date of expiry of the period as specified in para (a) above.	
	<ul><li>d) Where a secrecy direction has been given, then the application will be published after the expiry of the period as mentioned in para (a) above or when the secrecy direction has ceased to operate, whichever is later.</li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>e) Publication of application under sub-sections (2) and (5) of section 11A shall be identified by the letter 'A' along with the number of application.</li> </ul>	
04.02	Early Publication	Section11-
	a) A request for early publication may be made in Form-9 with the	A(2),
	prescribed fee.	Rule 24-A,
	b) Such a request will be considered only if the Complete	Form-9,
	<ul><li>specification has been filed in the instant case.</li><li>c) Further, a patent application shall not be considered for early publication if an invention pertains to subject matter relevant for</li></ul>	First Schedule

## Chapter – 4 : Publication of Application

	defence purpose.		
	d) The application is published within one month from the date of		
	such request.		
04.03	Particulars of Publication	Section	
	The Patent Office Journal is published on every Friday with the	11A	
	following particulars in respect of application:		
	i. Application number		
	ii. Country		
	iii. Date of filing		
	iv. Publication date		
	v. Title of invention		
	vi. International Patent Classification		
	vii. Priority details like priority document number, priority date, Priority country, International Application No. and Filing date, etc.		
	viii. Name and address of the applicant		
	ix. Name of the inventor(s)		
	x. Reference in respect of Patent of Addition shall be the		
	application number and filing date of the main application.		
	xi. Reference in respect of Divisional Application shall be the		
	application number and filing date of the of the first mentioned		
	application.		
	xii. Abstract		
	xiii. No. of pages		
	xiv. No. of claims		
04.04	Effects of Publication	Section	
	a) Upon publication, the Patent Office makes the specification	11A(6),	
	(complete as well as provisional, if any), abstract, drawings and		27,
	any other documents filed in respect of the application available	55 (1A).	
	to the public on its website and copies of the same can also		
	be made available on payment of the prescribed fee as given in $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{2} $		
	the First Schedule of Patents Rules 2003 (as amended), if such a request is filed.		

b) After publication of the application for Patent, the depository	
institution shall make the biological material, mentioned in the	
specification, available to the public.	
c) On and from the date of publication of the application for patent	
and until the date of grant of a patent in respect of such	
application, the applicant shall have the like privileges and rights	
as if a patent for the invention had been granted on the date of	
publication of the application:	
Provided that the applicant shall not be entitled to institute any	
proceedings for infringement until the patent has been granted:	
d) No patent shall be granted before the expiry of six months from	
the date of publication of the application.	

### 05.01 Specification The Specification is a techno-legal document containing scientific and Section 9. technical disclosure and claims for the invention which is the basis of 10, 57, 59. rights of a patent. The Specification, thus, forms a crucial part of the Rule 13, patent application. 14, 24A, A specification may be filed either as a provisional or complete Form-1, 2 specification. Provisional or Complete Specification shall be submitted in Form-2 along with the Application Form-1 and other documents accompanied with the prescribed fee as given in the First Schedule. The first page of Form - 2 shall contain: Title of the invention; a) b) Name, address and nationality of each of the applicants for patent; and c) Preamble to the description: If the provisional specification is filed, the Preamble shall i) be as under: "The following Specification describes the invention". If the complete specification is filed, the Preamble shall be ii) as under: "The following specification particularly describes the invention and the manner in which it is to be performed." Title of the invention shall disclose the specific features of the invention normally in not more than fifteen words. The applicant shall submit drawings, wherever required. Further, the Controller may also require the applicant to submit drawings, if necessary at the examination stage. Such drawings shall form a part of the Specification and suitable references thereto shall be made in the Specification. The Controller may require the applicant to submit, any time before the grant, models or samples related to the invention for better illustration of the invention. However, such models or samples shall not form part of the Specification.

### Chapter - 5: Provisional and Complete Specification

	Filing amendments to the specification:		
	1)	When amendments are made to a provisional or complete specification or any drawing accompanying it, the pages incorporating such amendments shall be retyped and submitted to form a continuous document.	
	2)	A marked copy clearly identifying the amendments carried out and a statement clearly indicating the portion (page number and line number) of the specification or drawing being amended along with the reason shall also be filed.	
	3)	Amendments shall not be made by slips pasted on, or as footnotes or by writing in the margin of any of the said documents.	
	4)	When a retyped page or pages incorporating amendments are submitted, the corresponding earlier page(s) shall be deemed to have been superseded and cancelled by the applicant.	
05.02	Provisional Specification		Section 9,
	a)	When the applicant finds that his invention has reached a stage where it can be disclosed but has not attained the final stage, he may prepare a disclosure of the invention in the form of a written description and submit it to Patent Office as a Provisional Specification.	11A (3) (b), 17
	b)	A Provisional Specification secures a priority date for the application.	
	c)	An application filed with provisional specification is deemed to be abandoned if no complete specification is filed within twelve months from the date of filing of the provisional specification [S. 9 (1)].	
	d)	If in the opinion of the Controller, two or more provisional specifications filed by an applicant are cognate, or if one is a modification of the other, he may allow the applicant to file one complete specification in respect of all such provisional specifications. Such a complete specification shall have to be filed within twelve months from the date of filing of the first provisional application. In such cases, date of filing of the	

r				
		application is the date of filing of the earliest provisional specification and shall bear the number of that application [S9 (2)].		
	e)	An applicant, within twelve months from the filing of a complete specification (not being a convention application or PCT National Phase Application), may request the Controller to convert the complete specification so filed to a provisional specification. Consequently, the applicant has to file a complete specification within twelve months from the date of first filing. [ (S. 9 (3)]		
	f)	After filing a complete Specification, the applicant may request the Controller at any time before grant of patent to cancel the provisional specification (i.e. the one filed directly u/s 9 (1) or the one converted from a complete specification (u/s 9 (3)), the Controller may cancel the provisional specification and post-date the application to the date of filing of the complete specification.		
05.02.02	Con			
		Provisional specification is a techno-legal document which describes the invention and it is followed by a complete specification.		
	a)	A provisional specification shall essentially contain the title and description of the invention.		
		It is advisable not to include claims in the provisional specification as the purpose of filing a provisional specification is to claim a priority date and it is only meant for describing the invention.		
	b)	The description starts from the second page with the field of invention, background of the invention, object of the invention and statement of the invention.		
	c)	It is advisable to include as much information as the applicant has in a provisional specification, at the time of filing.		
	d)	It may be noted that a provisional specification cannot be filed in case of a Divisional, Convention or PCT National Phase Application.		

05.03	Complete Specification	Section 10
	Complete Specification is a techno-legal document which fully and	
	particularly describes the invention and discloses the best method of performing the invention.	
	As a complete specification is an important document in the patent	
	proceedings, it is advised that it should be drafted with utmost care	
	without any ambiguity.	
	It is mandatory on the part of applicant to disclose fully and	
	particularly various features constituting the invention. Disclosure of	
	invention in a complete specification must be such that a person of	
	average skill and average knowledge in India should be able to	
	perform the invention based on what is disclosed in the specification.	
05.03.01	Contents of Complete Specification	Section
	Every complete specification shall:	10(4)
	a) fully and particularly describe the invention and its	
	operation or use and the method by which it is performed;	
	b) disclose the best method of performing the invention which is	
	known to the applicant for which he is entitled to claim	
	protection;	
	c) end with a claim or set of claims defining the scope of the	
	invention for which the protection is claimed;	
	d) make reference to deposit of the biological material in the	
	international depository authority, if applicable;	
	e) disclose the source and geographical origin of biological material	
	specified in the Specification;	
	f) be accompanied by an abstract; and	
	g) not include irrelevant or other matter, not necessary for	
	elucidation of the invention, from the title, description, claims	
	and drawings.	
	h) in case of a specification for Divisional Application, shall contain specific reference to the number of original application from	
	specific reference to the number of original application from which the divisional application is made.	
	<ul><li>i) in case of a specification for Patent of Addition, shall contain a</li></ul>	
	specific reference to the number of main patent or application	
	specific reference to the number of main patent of application	

	for patent, as the case may be, and a definite statement that	
	invention comprises an improvement in or a modification of the	
	invention claimed in the specification of the main patent granted	
	or applied for.	
05.03.02	National phase applications	Section
	In case of national phase applications, the title, description, drawings,	10(4A),
	abstract and claims filed with the international application are taken as	Rule 20(1)
	a complete specification. An application corresponding to an	
	International application filed under PCT may be made in Form-1.	
	However, the applicant, while filing such application corresponding to	
	an international application designating India, may delete a claim, in	
	accordance with the provisions contained in rule 14.	
	(For further details of the PCT National Phase Applications,	
	please see Chapter 7)	
05.03.03	Title of invention	Rule
	The title should be sufficiently indicative of the subject matter of the	13(7)(a)
	invention and shall disclose the specific features of the invention. It	
	need not be the same as the preamble of the main claim. It shall be	
	brief, free from fancy expressions or ambiguity and as precise and	
	definite as possible, but it need not go into the details of the	
	invention itself. Title should not ordinarily exceed fifteen words.	
05.03.04	Field of invention and use of invention	
	The description should preferably begin with a general statement of	
	the invention so as to indicate briefly the subject matter to which the	
	invention relates, e.g. "This invention relates to".	
	Thereafter, the advantages of the invention may be mentioned to	
	bring out clearly the areas of application and preferable use of the	
	invention. The applicant may substantiate industrial applicability of the	
	invention in this part.	
05.03.05	Prior art and Problem to be solved	
	This part should generally indicate the status of technology in the field	
	of invention with reference to developments in the field including	
	patents and pending patent applications in the specific art. When the	
	invention relates to improvement over the existing product or process,	

	a short statement of the closest prior art known to the applicant in that
	respect shall also be given. However, the description should fully and
	particularly describe the invention by clearly distinguishing it from
	such closest prior art, known to the applicant.
05.03.06	Objects of invention
	The purpose of this part is to clearly bring out the objectives to be
	achieved by the invention. It shall clearly mention the technical
	problems associated with the existing technology and the solution for
	that, bringing out the differences between the claimed invention and
	the prior art. The solution sought by the invention should be clearly
	brought out as object(s) of inventions with statements like,
	"The principal object of this invention is",
	"Another object of this invention is",
	"A further object of this invention is" etc.
05.03.07	Summary of invention
	The description should include a summary of invention before giving
	details of the invention and the method of performing it. The
	statement should clearly set forth the distinguishing features of the
	invention for which protection is desired. This part is intended to
	declare different aspects of the invention.
05.03.08	Brief Description of Drawings, if any
	Drawing(s), if form(s) a part of the specification, shall be enlisted
	properly.
05.03.09	Detailed Description of invention
	a) Description of an invention is required to be furnished in
	sufficient detail so as to give a complete picture of the invention
	and follows the Summary of invention. The nature of
	improvements or modifications effected with respect to the prior
	art should be clearly and sufficiently described. It may include
	examples/drawings or both for clearly describing and ascertaining
	the nature of invention. Examples must be included in the
	description, especially in the case of chemical related inventions.
	b) Disclosure of invention in a complete specification must be such
	that a person of average skill and average knowledge in India

should be able to perform the invention based on what is disclosed in the specification.

- c) Reference to the drawings should be specific and preferably in the following form: *"This invention is illustrated with the help of the accompanying drawings......"*
- d) The Specification in respect of Patent of Addition should contain at the beginning of the description, a definite statement indicating an improvement in or modification of the original invention and the serial number of the Application for Patent in respect of the original invention. The Specifications should also contain a short statement of the invention as disclosed in the earlier Specification.
- e) Terms in other languages, if any, used in the description should be accompanied by their English equivalents. The use of vague words, slang and colloquialisms is objectionable and shall be avoided.
- f) In case a biological material described in the specification is not available to the public and cannot be described adequately as per the provisions of the Act, such material shall be deposited with the International Depository Authority under the Budapest Treaty, on or before the date of filing.
- g) The International Depository Authority in India are :
  - Microbial Type Culture Collection and Gene Bank (MTCC) Chandigarh.

For further information on Microbial Type Culture Collection and Gene Bank (MTCC) please visithttps://mtccindia.res.in/

## • Microbial Culture Collection (MCC), Pune

For further information on NCCS please visithttp://www.nccs.res.in/g) Reference to such biological material shall be made in the Specification within three months from the date of filing, giving all the available characteristics of the material required for it to be correctly identified or indicated including the name, address of the depository institution and the date and number of the deposit of the material at the institution.

	h) If there is any request for early publication, then the said reference	
	shall be given on or before the date of filing of such request.	
	<ul> <li>Further, the source and geographical origin of the biological material specified in the Specification shall also be disclosed.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>j) Access to the material in the depository institution is available only after the date of application for patent in India.</li> </ul>	
	k) In the case of Biotechnology related inventions, reference to the	
	relevant sequence ID (SEQ ID), if any, shall be mentioned in the	
	description/claims of the specification.	
05.03.10	Drawings	Rule 15
	<ul> <li>a) Drawings or sketches, which require a special illustration of the invention, shall not appear in the description itself. Such drawings shall be on separate sheet(s).</li> </ul>	
	b) Drawings shall be prepared neatly and clearly on durable paper sheet.	
	c) Drawings shall be on standard A4 size sheets with a clear margin of at least 4 cm on the top and left hand and 3 cm at the bottom and right hand of every sheet.	
	<ul> <li>Drawings shall be on a scale sufficiently large to show the inventions clearly and dimensions shall not be marked on the drawings.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>e) Drawings shall be sequentially or systematically numbered and shall bear - <ol> <li>in the left hand top corner, the name of the applicant;</li> <li>in the right hand top corner, the number of the sheets of drawings, and the consecutive number of each sheet; and</li> <li>iii. In the right hand bottom corner, the signature of the applicant or his agent.</li> </ol></li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>f) No descriptive matter shall appear on the drawings except in the flow diagrams.</li> </ul>	
05.03.11	Abstract	Rule 13 (7)
	<ul> <li>a) Every complete specification shall be accompanied by an abstract to provide technical information on the invention. The abstract shall commence with the title of the invention.</li> </ul>	

	b) The abstract shall be so drafted that it constitutes an efficient	
	instrument for the purpose of searching in the particular technical	
	field.	
	c) The abstract shall contain a concise summary of the matter	
	contained in the specification. The summary shall indicate clearly	
	the technical field to which the invention belongs, technical	
	problem to which the invention relates and the solution to the	
	problem through the invention and principal use or uses of the	
	invention. Wherever necessary, the abstract shall contain the	
	chemical formula which characterizes the invention.	
	d) The abstract may not contain more than 150 words.	
	e) If the specification contains any drawing, the applicant shall	
	indicate reference numerals of drawings in the abstract, which may	
	accompany the abstract when published. Each main feature	
	mentioned in the abstract and illustrated by a drawing shall be	
	followed by the reference sign used in that drawing.	
	f) The Controller may amend the abstract for providing better	
	information to third parties.	
05.03.12	Best Method	
	The Act specifically requires that the complete specification must	Section 10
	describe the best method of performing the invention known to the	
	applicant, including the one, which he may have acquired during the	
	period of provisional protection prior to the date of filing the complete	
	specification.	
05.03.13	Claims	
	Claims define the contours of rights, if and when a patent is granted for	Section
	an invention. Hence, claims are the most critical part of a patent	10(4)(c)
	application. In a complete specification the description is followed by	
	claims. Since, claims of the invention define the scope of legal	
	protection, it should be drafted carefully to cover all the aspects of the	
	invention for which protection being sought; at the same time	
	adequately distinguishing the prior art from the claimed invention.	
05.03.14	Unity of invention and Clarity of claims	Section
		10(5)
	a) Claim(s) of a Complete Specification shall relate to a single	

	1		
		invention, or to a group of inventions linked so as to form a single	
		inventive concept.	
	b)	Claims shall be clear and succinct and fairly based on the matter	
		disclosed in the specification.	
05.03.15	Sig	nificance of Claims	
	a)	A claim is a statement of technical facts expressed in legal terms	
		defining the scope of the invention to be protected. No exclusivity	
		is obtained for any matter described in the Complete Specification	
		unless it is claimed in the claims. What is not claimed in the	
		claims(including amended claims) stands disclaimed and is open	
		to public use, even if the matter is disclosed in the description.	
	b)	Claims define the boundaries of legal protection sought by the	
		patentee and form a protective fence around the invention which	
		is defined by the words and phrases in the claims.	
	c)	Claims shall define clearly the scope of the invention with	
		conciseness, precision and accuracy, so that others may know the	
		exact boundary into which they should not trespass.	
	d)	Each claim is evaluated on its own merit and, therefore, if one of	
		the claims is objected, it does not mean that the rest of the claims	
		are invalid. It is therefore important to make claims on all aspects	
		of the invention to ensure that the applicant gets the widest	
		possible protection.	
05.03.16	Sco	pe of Claims	
	a)	Claims must not be too broad to embrace more than what the	
		applicant has in fact invented. A Claim which is too broad may	
		encroach upon the subject matter which is in public domain or	
		belongs to others.	
	b)	However, a claim may not be too narrow also because such a	
		claim would not be sufficiently effective against potential	
		infringement. An infringer would go scot-free, if the claims were	
		too narrow and hence, the full benefit of the invention may not	
		accrue to the inventor.	
	c)	Having many claims, where each claim has a different scope,	

	1	
		allows the applicant to have a legal title to different aspects of the
		invention.
	d)	Terms of the claim which confuse the scope of the invention, or
		claim that are not specific (e.g. any novel matter) should be
		avoided.
05.03.17	Stru	acture of Claims
	a)	The description of invention in the complete specification is to
		be followed by a 'statement of Claims' preceded by the preamble,
		'I / We Claim' as the case may be.
	b)	Claims should start from a fresh page, after detailed description
		of the invention and should be serially numbered.
	c)	Each claim should be in a single sentence.
	d)	Each claim should be clear and succinct.
	e)	A claim should not be verbose.
	f)	The claims should relate to a single invention, or to a group of
		inventions linked to a single inventive concept.
	g)	There is no restriction as to the number of claims that can be
		incorporated in the specification. Applicant has to pay
		additional fee for each claim, if total number of claims is more
		than ten.
	h)	Each claim should be fairly based on matter disclosed in the
		specification.
	i)	The claims must be fully supported by the description.
	j)	A claim should be clear in the sense that it should not cause any
		speculation with respect to scope of the claimed invention. For
		example, words like 'thin', 'strong', 'a major part', 'such as',
		'when required' or 'any' are used in the claims, the same may lead
		to a subjective interpretation. Where ever use of such terms are
		unavoidable and are considered as clear and definitive to a person
		skilled in the art, the same may be allowable.
	k)	A claim must be specific and not vague, ambiguous or
		hypothetical in nature. Each claim should be complete so that it
		covers the inventive feature and enough elements around it to
		put the invention in the proper context.
	1)	Any term which is used in the claim, must be either found in the
	1)	description or fairly inferred from the description.

m)	Trade Marks should not be used in Patent Applications where a	
	generic term can be used instead, since a Trademark is an	
	indication of the origin rather than the composition or content of	
	goods, However, Trade Marks are only permitted in claims	
	where it can be shown that their use is unavoidable and does	
	not introduce ambiguity.	
n)	A claim usually consists of three parts:	
	- Preamble,	
	- Transitional phrase; and	
	- Body.	
0)	An introductory phrase(Preamble) identifies the category of	
	invention and sometimes the purpose (for example, a machine	
	for waxing paper, and a composition for fertilizing soil).	
p)	The transition phrase may be words and phrases such as:	
	- comprising	
	<ul><li>including</li><li>consisting of</li></ul>	
	- consisting essentially of	
q)	If the invention is an improvement on a product or a process	
	existing in the prior art, the invention should be characterized by	
	the feature(s) comprising such improvement over the prior art. In	
	such cases, the claim will have two parts separated by the word	
	'characterized by' or 'wherein'.	
r)	The first claim is always an independent claim also known as	
	'Principal Claim'. It should clearly define the essential features of	
	the embodiment(s) of the process/product that constitutes the	
	invention. The claim should be properly characterized with respect	
	to the 'prior art', defining all the technical features essential to the	
	invention or inventive concept. The claim should bring out	
	sufficient details of interrelationship and/or operation to establish	
	that the invention achieves the intended objectives.	
s)	There may be more than one independent claim in a single	
	application if the claims fall under a single inventive concept.	
	While there is no restriction as to the number of claims,	
	including independent claims, it is advisable to limit the number of	
	claims, as well as the number of independent claims in a single	

	application so that the claims are falling under a single inventive concept.	
	A dependent claim derives antecedence from an independent	
	claim and reads into it the features of the independent claim and	
	may contain optional features.	
	parameters/ features which make it unsearchable.	
	u) Dependent claims that are not fully limited by the terms of the	
	preceding independent claim, e.g. dependent claims which omit,	
	modify or substitute a feature of an independent claim should be avoided.	
	v) The practice of including an omnibus claim does not have any	
	legal basis under the Patents Act. In fact, such a claim cannot be	
	allowed as per Section 10(4)(c) of the Act, being non-definitive	
	with respect to scope of invention for which the protection is	
	claimed.	
05.04	Priority of Claim	Section
	Each claim of a complete specification shall have a priority date.	2(1)(w), 11
	When a complete specification is filed along with the application, the	
	date of filing of the application is the date of the priority of the	
	claim(s) of the specification if the claim(s) are fairly based on the	
	matter disclosed in the specification.	
05.04.01	Priority Dates- General	Section 9,
	a) When a complete specification is filed pursuant to a Provisional	11
	Specification, the priority date thereof shall be the date of filing of	
	the provisional application.	
	b) When a complete specification is filed based on two or more	
	provisional specifications which are cognate, the priority dates for	
	claims arising from each of the provisional specifications will be	
	the date of the respective provisional specification.	
	c) When a complete specification is converted into provisional	
	specification and a fresh complete specification is filed thereafter,	
	the priority date of claims shall be the date of disclosure of the	
	relevant specification in which the claimed subject matter was first	
	disclosed.	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	d) When a complete specification is filed after the provisional	
	specification, the Controller may on the request of the applicant	
	made any time before the grant, cancel the provisional	
	specification and post-date the provisional specification to the	
	date of complete specification.	
	e) If the claim is fairly based on the matter disclosed partly in one	
	and partly in another such previous application accompanying	
	provisional specification, the priority date of the claim shall be the	
	date of the later filed Specification.	
	f) Where a complete specification based on a previously filed	
	application in India has been filed within twelve months from the	
	date of that application and the claim is fairly based on the matter	
	disclosed in the previously filed application, the priority date of	
	that claim shall be the date of the previously filed application in	
	which the matter was first disclosed.	
	g) A claim in a complete specification of a patent shall not be	
	invalidated by the reason only if:	
	i. the publication or use of the invention so far as claimed in	
	that claim on or after the priority date of such claim; or	
	ii. the grant of another patent which claims the invention, so far	
	as claimed in the first mentioned claim, in a claim of the same	
	or a later priority date.	
05.04.02	Divisional application	Section
	In case of a Divisional Application, priority date of the claim(s) is the	11(4)
	date of filing of the first mentioned application.	
05.04.03	Convention application	Section
	The priority date of the claim(s) of a convention application is the date	11(6), 135
	of filing of the basic application filed in the convention country.	
05.04.04	Effect of Priority Date of Claim	Section
	The novelty of a claim is dependent on its date of priority. Nothing	11(8)
	published on or after the date of priority of a claim can be cited to	
	destroy the novelty of that invention.	

## Chapter- 6: Divisional Application and Patent of Addition

06.01	Divisional Application	
06.01.01	General	Section 16
	i. An applicant may, at any time before the grant of a patent, if he so	(1)
	desires, or with a view to remedy the objection raised by the	
	Controller on the ground that claims of a complete specification	
	relate to more than one invention, may file further application(s) in	
	respect of invention disclosed in the provisional or complete	
	specification already filed in respect of the first-mentioned	
	application.	
	ii.(a) If the Power of Attorney, filed with the first mentioned application,	
	expressly authorizes patent agent to act on his/her behalf in	
	connection with such application, including any divisional	
	application(s) arising out therefrom, a copy of the power of attorney	
	is not required to be filed again in case of divisional application so	
	filed. In absence of such express authorization in the Power of	
	Attorney filed with the first mentioned application, a fresh Power of	
	Attorney is required to be filed in case of divisional application.	
	(b) If the applicant has submitted the proof of right in the prescribed	
	manner with the first mentioned application, the same is not	
	required to be filed again in case of divisional application.	
	iii. Examination of a divisional application is always done vis-	
	à-vis the first mentioned application. If two or more divisional	
	applications are filed based on a first mentioned application,	
	examination of the second or subsequent divisional application(s)	
	shall be done vis-à-vis the first mentioned application, and other	
	divisional application(s), examined earlier, if any, to avoid double	
	patenting.	
	iv. The date of filing of a divisional application shall be the same as that	
	of the first mentioned application, from which it has been divided.	
	v. The term of patent for a divisional application shall be twenty years	
	from the date of filing of the first mentioned application or	
	international filing date in case the application was divided out of	

	National phase application under PCT.	
	vi. Claims of divisional application(s) shall be based on the claims of	
	first mentioned (or earlier application for that matter) from which	
	instant application is divided out and no addition of claims, which	
	do not fall within the scope of said claims, is allowable.	
06.01.02	Contents	
	Divisional Application(s) shall be accompanied by a complete	Section
	specification and shall not include any matter not in substance disclosed	16(2),
	in the first mentioned application. The first mentioned application and	16(3)
	the divisional application(s) may be amended upon requirement/	
	direction of Controller to ensure that neither of the complete	
	specifications includes a claim for any matter claimed in the other.	
06.01.03	Priority	
	• The divisional application is treated as a substantive application and	Section 16,
	accorded the date of filing of the first mentioned application along	Explanation
	with a separate application number.	Section
	• The claims of divisional application shall have the same priority date	11(4)
	as that indicated in the first mentioned application.	
	• A divisional application shall be examined vis-à-vis the first	
	mentioned application so as to avoid claim overlap resulting in	
	double patenting.	
	• A divisional application is treated as a substantive application in the	
	sense that:	
	a) separate fee(s) is required to be paid;	
	b) separate request for examination requires to be made;	
	c) it can be prosecuted separately;	
	d) it results in an independent patent.	
06.01.04	Appropriate Office	Rule 4 (5)
	The divisional application shall be filed at the appropriate office of the	
	first mentioned application only.	
06.01.05	Reference	
	The Complete Specification accompanying the divisional application	Rule 13(2)
	shall contain a specific reference to the original application	
	from which the divisional application is made.	

06.01.06	Fee	Section 16
	For all purposes under the Patents Act, the divisional application is	
	treated as a substantive application and, hence, all fees applicable to a	
	patent application, shall be payable.	
06.02	Patent of Addition	
06.02.01	General	Section 54,
	<ul> <li>a) When an applicant comes up with an improvement in or modification of the invention described or disclosed in the main application for which he has already applied for or has obtained a patent, the applicant may make an application for patent of addition.</li> <li>b) An application for a Patent of Addition shall be filed on the same or subsequent date of filing of the application for main Patent.</li> <li>c) A Patent of Addition shall be granted only after the grant of the</li> </ul>	55
	<ul> <li>main patent.</li> <li>d) When a patentee holds two patents, it is possible to convert one of the independent patents to a patent of addition of the other, if the subject matter was an improvement in or modification to the other patented invention.</li> <li>e) There is no need to pay separate renewal fee for the Patent of</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>addition during the term of the main patent.</li> <li>f) A patent of addition expires along with the main patent. However, if the main patent is revoked, the patent of addition may be converted into an independent patent, if so requested by the patentee and the renewal fee for the remaining term of the patent need to be paid accordingly.</li> <li>g) Date of filing shall be the date on which the application for patent of addition has been filed.</li> </ul>	
06.02.02	Novelty and Inventive step An application for Patent of Addition cannot be challenged on the ground of lack of inventive step with respect to the disclosure in the main application or patent. But the disclosure in main application or patent may be cited for novelty against the application for patent of addition.	Section 56

	In the matter of Ravi Kamal Bali v/s Kala Tech and others the	
	Bombay High Court on 12th February, 2008 dismissed the	
	defendant's arguments that Patent of addition can only be granted if it	
	has an inventive step over the main application.	
06.02.03	Reference	Rule 13(3)
	The complete specification of application for patent of addition shall include specific reference to the number of main patent or the application for main patent, as the case may be, and a definite statement that the invention comprises an improvement in, or a modification of the invention claimed in the specification of the main patent, granted or applied for.	

# Chapter -7: Convention Application, International Application and National Phase Application

07.01	Convention Application	
07.01.01	Paris Convention and WTO Agreement	Section
	Paris Convention of 1883 provides reciprocity in filing with the right	133
	of priority. India became member of the Paris Convention in 1998.	
	India became a member of WTO Agreement in 1995 and member of	
	Patent Co-operation Treaty in 1998.	
	India became a member of the Budapest Treaty on the International	
	recognition of the deposit of micro-organism for the purpose of	
	patent procedure in 2001. It provides a mechanism for depositing	
	biological material in the internationally recognized depository	
	authorities for the purpose of supplementing the description in the	
	specification.	
07.01.02	Convention Country	Section
	Any country which is a signatory or party or a group of countries,	2(1)(d),
	union of countries or inter-governmental organizations which are	133, 134
	signatories or parties to an international, regional or bi-lateral treaty,	
	convention or arrangement to which India is also a signatory or party,	
	and, which affords to the applicants for patents	
	in India or to citizens of India similar privileges as are granted to their	
	own citizens or citizens to their member countries in respect of the	
	grant of patents and protection of patent rights, shall be a convention	
	country or convention countries for the purposes of this Act.	
	At present, India is a member of WTO and a member country to the	
	Paris Convention and a contracting state to the PCT. Any country,	
	union of countries or inter-governmental organizations, which are	
	members/contracting states to the above convention/treaty/	
	agreement, are convention countries for the purposes of the Act.	
07.01.03	Convention Application	Section
	a) Where a person has made an application for a patent in respect of	135
	an invention in a Convention country (basic application), and that	
	person or the legal representative or assignee of that person makes	

b)	an application under this Act for a patent within twelve months after the date on which the basic application was made, the priority date of a claim of the complete specification, being a claim based on matter disclosed in the basic application, is the date of making of the basic application. Where applications have been made for similar protection in respect of an invention in two or more convention countries, the period of twelve months referred to in this sub-section shall be reckoned from the date on which the earlier or earliest of the said applications was made. Where applications for protection have been made in one or more convention countries in respect of two or more inventions which are cognate or of which one is a modification of another, a single convention application may be made in respect of those inventions at any time within twelve months from the date of the earliest of the said applications for protection. However, the fee payable in respect of such application shall be the same as if separate applications have been made in respect of each of the said inventions.	
07.01.04 D	ocuments to be submitted	Section
1)	<ul> <li>Every convention application shall: <ol> <li>be accompanied by a complete specification;</li> <li>specify the date on which the first of such applications was made and the convention country in which such application for protection is sought and</li> </ol> </li> <li>state that no application for protection in respect of the invention had been made in a convention country before that date by the applicant or by any person from whom he derives title.</li> <li>a complete specification filed with a convention application may include claims in respect of developments of, or additions to, the invention in respect of which the application for protection was made in a convention country, being developments or additions in respect of which the application for a patent.</li> </ul>	136, 138. Rule 121

	<ul> <li>3) be accompanied by an abstract</li> <li>4) a certified copy of the priority document, if the Controller requires the same, may be filed within 3 months from the date of communication by the Controller of such requirement.</li> <li>5) accompanied by a verified English translation of such document, if</li> </ul>	
	the priority document is in a language other than English.	
07.01.05	Multiple priorities	Section
	<ul> <li>a) When two or more applications for patents constituting one invention have been made in one or more convention countries, one application may be made within twelve months from the date on which the earlier or earliest of those applications was made in respect of the inventions disclosed in the specifications which accompanied the basic applications.</li> </ul>	135(2), 137
	<ul><li>b) The priority date of a claim of the complete specification, being a claim based on matters disclosed in one or more of the basic applications, is the date on which that matter was first so disclosed.</li></ul>	
	c) For the purposes of this Act, a matter shall be deemed to have been disclosed in a basic application for protection in a convention country if it was claimed or disclosed (otherwise than by way of disclaimer or acknowledgement of a prior art) in that application, or any documents submitted by the applicant for protection in support of and at the same time as that application but no account shall be taken of any disclosure effected by any such document unless a copy of the documents is filed at the patent office with the convention application or within such period as may be prescribed after the filing of that application.	
07.01.06	Other conditions	Section
	<ul><li>a) The term of patent of a convention application shall be twenty years from the date of filing of the Application in India.</li><li>b) A Convention Application can be divided, and the divided Application shall have the same priority date.</li></ul>	136, 139
	c) A Convention Application shall not be post-dated under sub- section (1) of section 17 to a date later than the date on which the	

	application could have been made under the provisions of this Act.	
07.02	International Application under PCT	
07.02.01	PCT International application by Indian applicant	Section 39,
	An Indian applicant can file a PCT International application in the	118, Rule 4
	following manner:	
	i. If the international application is filed before 6 weeks from the date	
	of the priority in India, the foreign filling permission under section	
	39 has to be obtained from the appropriate patent office.	
	ii. If the international application is filed directly at RO/IB or RO/IN	
	(i.e. without a priority claim), foreign filling permission under	
	section 39 has to be obtained from the appropriate patent office.	
	If the applicant fails to obtain the foreign filling permission under	
	section 39, the application will not be considered as international	
	application by RO/IN.	
	If any person fails to comply with any direction given under section 35	
	or makes or causes to be made an application for the grant of a patent in	
	contravention of section 39, he shall be punishable with imprisonment	
	for a term which may extend to two years, or with fine, or with both.	
07.02.02	Indian Patent Office as Receiving Office and requirements:	Section
	(a) (i) Online filing:	2(1) (ia),
	An International patent application can be filed in Indian Patent	7(1A), 39
	Office as a Receiving Office, in request form (PCT/RO/101),	PCT/RO/
	through the e-PCT module of WIPO (https://pct.wipo.int) along	101
	with application body, declaration, PoA (In case of agents),	
	MSME Certificate in case of small entity or certificate of	
	recognition as Startup issued by DPIIT for claiming fee	
	reduction in transmittal and priority document fees.	
	(ii) Offline filing:	
	An International patent application can be filed in Indian Patent	
	Office as a Receiving Office, in request form (PCT/RO/101),	
	which can be downloaded from the WIPO website, and has to be	
	submitted in triplicates along with application body, declaration,	
	PoA (in case of agents), MSME Certificate in case of small entity	
	or certificate of recognition as Startup issued by DPIIT for	

	claiming fee reduction in transmittal and priority document fees. In case of both online and offline filing, a foreign filing	
	permission under section 39 shall be submitted, if required.	
	(b) If the applicant is a natural person, who is national and resident of India, is entitled to a reduction of 90% of international filing fee.	
	If there is more than one applicant, each must satisfy the above mentioned criteria.	
	(c) In case ISA/US is selected as Search Authority is, the applicant	
	has to submit the following documents:	
	• Certificate of Micro Entity status in Form PTO/SB/15A of USPTO for claiming micro-entity fee reduction.	
	• Small Entity assertion/declaration for claiming small entity fee reduction.	
	International Bureau as Receiving Office	
	An international patent application can be directly filed with IB along	
	with the prescribed fee, in request form (PCT/RO/ 101), in	
	duplicate. Permission u/s 39 is required for filing directly in IB. Such	
	application can also be filed electronically via e-PCT route.	
07.02.03	Functions of Indian Patent Office as Receiving Office:	Section 35,
	• Receiving Office (RO)receives International Application (IA) and does the following verifications:	Article 3, 4,11, 14,
	✓ Formality Check :Nationality/Residence	16 of PCT.
	✓ At least one of the applicants must have the right to file with	PCT-
	the RO	Receiving
	$\checkmark$ The application must be in a language accepted by the RO	Office
	(English/Hindi)	Guidelines
	✓ If formality criteria are not satisfied, then IA may be referred	
	to IB for further processing.	
	✓ Accords or refuses the international filing date (Article $11(1)$ )	
	• Checks the technical elements of International application.	
	The application must contain at least:	
	✓ An indication that it is intended as an international application	
	$\checkmark$ A request which has the effect of making all possible	
	designations (Article 4 and Rules 3 and 4.9)	

✓ The name of the applicant (Rule 4.5)	
✓ A description (Rule 5)	
✓ A claim (Rule 6)	
• Decides on requests for incorporation by reference of missing	
elements or parts (Rules 20.5 to 20.7)	
• Checks whether translation of international application is required	
(Rules 12.3 and 12.4)	
• Checks if the required fees (RO/IB /ISA) are timely paid	
(Rule14,15, 16bis)	
• Checks priority claim(s) (Rules 4.10 and 26bis)	
• Decides on requests for restoration of the priority right (Rule 26bis.3)	
• Specifies the International Searching Authority	
• Specifies the International Preliminary Examining Authority	
• Checks for national security clearance/FFL (as per section 39 of	
Patent Act 1970)	
• Forwards the record copy to IB and the search copy to ISA,	
including any required translation (Article 12, Rules 22.1 and 23.1)	
• Forwards and receives correspondence from applicants and the	
international authorities	
• Prepares the priority documents of PCT applications filed with it.	
COMPETENT INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING	
AUTHORITIES (ISAs) (Article 16, Rule 35)	
✓ Indian Patent Office (IN)	
✓ Austrian Patent Office (AT)	
✓ Australian Patent Office (AU)	
✓ European Patent Office (EP)	
✓ The State Intellectual Property Office of The People's	
Republic of China (CN)	
✓ United States Patent & Trademark Office (US)	
✓ Swedish Patent And Registration Office (SE)	
Updated information is available on WIPO website (www.wipo.int)	

	• Fees payable to the receiving Office (RO)		
	transmittal fee ( No fee , if applied the	rough e-PCT)	
	<ul><li>international filing fee (for IB)</li></ul>		
	search fee (for ISA)		
	supplement to the international filing	fee for each sheet in	n
	excess of 30 (for IB)		
	> fee for priority document (No fee to b	be paid if applied	
	through WIPO DAS)		
	late payment fee		
	late furnishing fee (translation of inter	rnational	
	application)		
	<ul> <li>fee for copies of documents</li> </ul>		
	y jet for copies of accuments		
	(Fees indicated in italics are to be paid only	ly as per instructions	
	from RO).		
	Intimation to the applicant concerning pa prescribed fee is sent in Form PCT/RO/1	•	
07.02.04	PCT Fee (may vary from time to time)		Rule 16 <i>bis</i>
	All PCT fees are subject to change period	ically. For latest fees,	of
	please refer the latest PCT newsletter at URI	www.wipo.int.	Regulations
	International Filing Fee	USD 1,352	under the
	Search Fees		РСТ
	(AT)	USD 2,053	
	(AU)	USD 1,589	
	(CN)	USD 306	
	(EP)	USD 2053	
	(SE)	USD 2095	
	(US)	USD 2080	
		For small entity USD 1,040	
		For micro entity:	
		USD 520	
	(IN) INR 10000 (other than natural person)	USD 144	
	INR 2500 (for natural person)	USD 36	
	The applicant can make the payment toward	ds International filing	
	fee and ISA fee (except ISA/IN) directly the	C	
	and submit the UTR/Ref no via email to RC	8	
	<ul> <li>RO prepares debit instructions to the bar</li> </ul>		
	$\bullet$ <b>NUT THEN ALES THE WE WILL THE WALLS TO THE TEM</b>	is any transmits the	

	payment subsequently to IB and ISA and also intimate the same	
	through e mail to the concerned International authority.	
07.02.05	International Search	
	<ol> <li>International search report is established by the International Searching Authority chosen by the applicant in international application. The International Searching Authority provides a written opinion on patentability along with international search report.</li> </ol>	Article 15, 16,17, 18, 19 of PCT.
	<ol> <li>If the international application did not claim priority of a previously filed Indian patent application, the international search report is normally made available within nine months from the international filing date.</li> <li>If priority is claimed, that report is made available usually by the16th month from the priority date.</li> <li>Even where priority is claimed, the international search report is normally made available before publication of the international application. This allows time for the applicant to withdraw the application before publication, if desired.</li> <li>On receipt of the international search report the applicant may</li> </ol>	
	<ul> <li>5. On receipt of the international search report the applicant may amend the claims (under Article 19) in light of the international search report with effect in all designated States. The time limit referred to in Article 19 is two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report to the International Bureau and to the applicant by the International Searching Authority or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. However, any amendment made under Article19 which is received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit is considered to have been received by the Bureau on the last day of the time limit if it reaches before the technical preparations for international publication have been completed.</li> <li>4. Such amendments save costs for preparation of different sets of amendments and for local Agents filing such amendments before</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Designated Offices.</li><li>5. Indian Patent Office has been recognized as an International Searching Authority (ISA) and an International Preliminary</li></ul>	

	-	
	Examining Authority (IPEA) at the meeting of the General	
	Assemblies of WIPO held in September – October, 2007.	
	6. The Indian Patent Office started functioning as an International	
	Searching Authority (ISA) and an International Preliminary	
	Examining Authority (IPEA) from October, 2013.	
07.02.06	Withdrawals of International Application	
	Withdrawal of Application	Article 8(1),
	1. The applicant may withdraw the international application at any	20 (1),
	time prior to the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.	
	2. Withdrawal shall be effective on receipt of a notice addressed by	21(2) (a),
	the applicant, at his option, to the International Bureau, to the	23 (2),
	Receiving Office or, where Article 39(1) applies to the	39(1),
	International Preliminary Examining Authority.	40 (2) of
	3. No international publication of the international application shall	PCT. Rule
	be effected if the notice of withdrawal sent by the applicant or	4.15 (b),
	transmitted by the Receiving Office or the International	45 <i>bis</i> .8,
	Preliminary Examining Authority reaches the International	
	Bureau before the technical preparations for international	53.8 (b),
	publication have been completed.	90 <i>bis</i> ,
	Withdrawal of Designations	90.2(b) of
	<ol> <li>The applicant may withdraw the designation of any designated State at any time prior to the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Withdrawal of the designation of a State which has been elected shall entail withdrawal of the corresponding election under Rule 90bis.4.</li> </ol>	Regulations under the PCT.
	2. Where a State has been designated for the purpose of obtaining both, a national patent and a regional patent, withdrawal of the designation of that State shall be taken to mean withdrawal of only the designation for the purpose of obtaining a national patent, except where otherwise indicated.	
	3. Withdrawal of designations of all designated States shall be treated as withdrawal of the international application under Rule 90bis.1.	
	<ol> <li>Withdrawal shall be effective on receipt of a notice addressed by the applicant, at his option, to the International Bureau, to the Receiving Office or, where Article 39(1) applies, to the</li> </ol>	

International Preliminary Examining Authority.

5. No international publication of the designation shall be effected if the notice of withdrawal sent by the applicant or transmitted by the Receiving Office or the International Preliminary Examining Authority reaches the International Bureau before the technical preparations for international publication have been completed.

#### Withdrawal of Priority Claims

- 1. The applicant may withdraw a priority claim, made in the international application under Article 8(1), at any time prior to the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.
- 2. Where the international application contains more than one priority claim, the applicant may exercise the right provided for in paragraph (a) of PCT Rule 90bis3 in respect of one or more or all of the priority claims.
- 3. Withdrawal shall be effective on receipt of a notice addressed by the applicant, at his option, to the International Bureau, to the Receiving Office or, where Article 39(1) applies, to the International Preliminary Examining Authority.
- 4. Where the withdrawal of a priority claim causes a change in the priority date, any time limit which is computed from the original priority date and which has not already expired shall, subject to paragraph (e)of PCT Rule 90*bis*3, be computed from the priority date resulting from that change.
- 5. In the case of the time limit referred to in Article 21(2)(a), the International Bureau may nevertheless proceed with the international publication on the basis of the said time limit as computed from the original priority date if the notice of withdrawal sent by the applicant or transmitted by the Receiving Office or the International Preliminary Examining Authority reaches the International Bureau after the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

#### Withdrawal of Supplementary Search Request

1. The applicant may withdraw a supplementary search request at any time prior to the date of transmittal to the applicant and to the

International Bureau, under Rule 45bis.8(a), of the supplementary international search report or the declaration that no such report will be established.

2. Withdrawal shall be effective on receipt, within the time limit under paragraph (a) of PCT Rule 90*bis.3bis*, of a notice addressed by the applicant, at his option, to the Authority specified for supplementary search or to the International Bureau, provided that, where the notice does not reach the Authority specified for supplementary search in sufficient time to prevent the transmittal of the report or declaration referred to in paragraph (a) of PCT Rule 90*bis.3bis*, the communication of that report or declaration under Article 20(1), as applicable by virtue of Rule 45bis.8(b), shall nevertheless be effected.

## Withdrawal of Demand or of Elections

- 1. The applicant may withdraw the demand or any or all elections at any time prior to the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.
- 2. Withdrawal shall be effective upon receipt of a notice addressed by the applicant to the International Bureau.
- 3. If the notice of withdrawal is submitted by the applicant to the International Preliminary Examining Authority, that Authority shall mark the date of receipt on the notice and transmit it promptly to the International Bureau. The notice shall be considered to have been submitted to the International Bureau on the date marked.

#### Signature

1. Any notice of withdrawal referred to in Rules 90*bis*.1 to 90bis.4 shall be signed by the applicant or, if there are two or more applicants, by all of them. An applicant who is considered to be the common representative under Rule 90.2(b) shall, not be entitled to sign such a notice on behalf of the other applicants.

## Effect of Withdrawal

1. Withdrawal under Rule 90bis of the international application, any designation, any priority claim, the demand or any election shall

	<ul> <li>have no effect in any designated or elected Office where the processing or examination of the international application has already started under Article 23(2) or Article 40(2).</li> <li>2. Where the international application is withdrawn under Rule 90bis.1, the international processing of the international application shall be discontinued.</li> <li>3. Where a supplementary search request is withdrawn under Rule 90bis.3bis, the supplementary international search by the Authority concerned shall be discontinued.</li> <li>4. Where the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing of a supplementary for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing of a supplementary for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing of a supplementary for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing of a supplementary for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing for the demand or all elections are withdrawn under Rule 90bis.4 and processing for the demand or 90bis.4 and processing for the demand</li></ul>	
	90bis.4, the processing of the international application by the International Preliminary Examining Authority shall be discontinued.	
07.02.07	International Preliminary Examination	
	Significance	Article 33,
	1. International Preliminary Examination is optional for the applicant and provides, in addition to the International Search Report, a second opinion on the usual criteria of patentability before expenses are incurred for the national phase (for translation, fees for Agents/Attorneys etc.).	34, 35, 17(2)
	2. Helps the applicant to adapt the international application in accordance with the results of the international search report;	
	3. If the report is negative, the applicant may decide to abandon the application. However, the opinions from ISA & IPEA are non-binding on the member countries.	
	International Preliminary Examining Authorities	
	For an Indian applicant, the following are competent International Preliminary Examining Authorities (IPEAs):	
	<ol> <li>Austrian Patent Office (AT)</li> <li>Australian Patent Office (AU)</li> <li>European Patent Office (EP) (Only if ISA was AT, EP or SE)</li> <li>The State Intellectual Property Office of the People's Republic of China (CN)</li> <li>United States Patent &amp; Trademark Office (US)</li> </ol>	

	( Sandiah Deterrition d Desistantian Office (SE)	
	6. Swedish Patent and Registration Office (SE)	
	7. Indian Patent Office (IN)	
	Updated information is available on WIPO Website ( <u>www.wipo.int</u> )	
	Demand for International Preliminary Examination	
	<ol> <li>The demand for international preliminary examination shall be made separately from the international application.</li> <li>The demand for international preliminary examination may be made to the Indian Patent Office, Delhi, International Bureau or to any of the six competent International Preliminary Examining Authorities mentioned above.</li> <li>The demand shall contain the prescribed particulars and shall be in the prescribed language and form. The demand shall be subject to payment of the prescribed fees within the prescribed time limit.</li> <li>The demand for International Preliminary Examination has to be made:         <ul> <li>Within 22 months from the date of priority, or</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	
	<ul><li>b. Within3 months from the date of transmittal of International Search Report and written opinion to the applicant or the declaration under Article17(2), whichever is later.</li><li>The fees to be paid by the applicant are given in the PCT Newsletter which is available on the WIPO website, www.wipo.int.</li></ul>	
07.03	PCT National Phase Application	
07.03.01	General	Article 22,
	<ol> <li>The national phase follows the international phase. It is necessary for an applicant to file a national phase application in each designated country, where protection is sought, within the time prescribed under PCT, i.e., within 30/31 months from the priority date, as the case may be. However, this time limit may be increased through national laws by each member country.</li> <li>Indian Patent Law provides a time limit of 31 months from the priority date. Some countries allow extension of such time limit on</li> </ol>	23 of PCT, Rule 20 of The Patent Rules, 2003
	payment of additional fee. For making a national phase application before the Designated	

		Office, the applicant shall:	
		a. pay the prescribed national fee; and	
		b. file a duly verified translation of basic application, if	
		necessary.	
	3.	International filing date is the deemed date of filing in India if the	
		applicant enters the national phase in India by filing a national	
		phase application within thirty one months from the date of	
		priority.	
	4.	The international filing allows the preservation of priority from	
		the date of filing of first application in the convention country.	
07.03.02	Ba	sic Requirements to enter National Phase in India	Rule 14,
	1.	The applicant has to file national phase application within 31	20, 21
		months from the priority date or international filing date of	
		application, whichever is earlier. The application with respect to	
		the national phase application may be made in Form -1.	
	2.	The appropriate office for filing of national phase application	
	2.	shall be decided as per address for service in India, which shall	
		be furnished by the applicant in Form 1.	
	3.	Where the international application has not been filed or	
		published in one of the official languages (Hindi or English), a	
		translation of the application, description, claims (if amended,	
		both as originally filed and amended together with any	
		statement), drawings, if any, and abstract should be submitted	
		along with the application.	
	4.	For the national phase application, the title, description,	
		drawings, abstract and claims as filed with the international	
		application under PCT shall be taken as the complete	
		specification. However, if the applicant has amended the	
		complete specification under Chapter-I and/or Chapter-II of	
		the PCT, such amended specification shall be taken as the	
		complete specification for the purpose of filing in India.	
		In case of difference between the translation of title as	
		translated by the PCT and that provided in the verified English	
		translation, the latter shall be taken on record.	

5. The applicant may make a request to the Controller	
for amendment of the complete specification which was filed	
with the national phase application, as a separate request in	
Form-13, along with the application. The fee payable in respect	
of a national phase application is calculated as per the number	
of pages and claims as they stand in the PCT international	
application on the date of filing in India.	
6. If the applicant makes an amendment in an international application	
before ISA and/or IPEA, it shall, if the applicant so desires, be	
taken as an amendment before the Patent Office, prior to entry in	
national phase.	
7. However, at the time of filing the national phase application	
corresponding to international application designating India,	
the applicant may delete a claim as provided in rule 20 (1), in	
accordance with the provisions contained in Rule 14, without	
filing an application for amendment.	
It is clarified that only deletion of claims is allowable and no	
other amendment, such as addition, revision or modification of	
claims, is allowable.	
However, this option as to deletion of claims is not applicable	
for the applications filed prior to amendments of the Patents	
Rules 2003 implemented w.e.f. 16-05-2016.	
It is further clarified that if deletion of claim(s) results in	
consequent changes in dependency of remaining of claims, the	
same shall be allowable and no separate request for	
amendment is required.	
8. If a change in the name of applicant/ change of applicant has	
occurred after the international filing date and has not been	
reflected in the notification from the International Bureau (Form	
PCT/IB/306), such change may be effected by filing Form	
13/Form 6, as the case may be.	
9. If PCT/IB/304 is available on the website of WIPO, the Patent	
Office shall not require the applicant to submit the priority	
document. If not available on the website of WIPO, the Office	

may request for the same from the applicant. If the applicant filed a priority document through WIPO-DAS in such case, the applicant shall provide the code for accessing the priority document.

- 10. However, if the applicant has not complied with the requirements of rule 17.1 paragraph(a) or paragraph (b)of the regulations made under the PCT, he shall submit the priority document to the office before the expiry of thirty one months from the date of priority.
- 11. Where the applicant does not comply with the requirements of (10) above, the Controller shall invite the applicant to file the priority document or the translation thereof within three months from the date of such invitation. If the applicant fails to do so, the claim of the applicant for the priority shall be disregarded for the purposes of the Act.
- 12. All other formalities that are required for filing and processing an ordinary patent application shall apply to a national phase application.
- 13. Processing of a national phase application will not commence before the expiry of 31 months from the date of priority. However, the applicant may file an express request for examination before 31months in Form 18 under Rule 20 (4)(ii).
- 14. If the applicant for national phase application is willing for expedited examination under Rule 24 C (1) before the expiry of 31 months from the date of priority, he shall file Form 18-A with requisite fees for expedited examination along with express request in Form 18 under Rule 20 (4)(ii) with fees.
- 15. International application filed under PCT designating India is considered as a convention application under Section 135 for which the filing date is the date of international application.

# Chapter - 8: Indian International Searching Authority and Indian International Preliminary Examination Authority

08.01	India as ISA/IPEA	
	The Patent Cooperation Treaty, administered by the World Intellectual	
	Property Organization (WIPO), provides the applicant from a member	
	country, the facility for filing a single international application for grant	
	of patent in all the PCT member countries. It also has the additional	
	benefits of obtaining International Search Report (ISR), and	
	International Preliminary Examination Report (IPER) before entering	
	the national phase in each individual country. The ISR and IPER are	
	established according to high internationally regulated standards, by	
	one of the Patent Offices of the world that are highly experienced in	
	examining patent applications and that have been specially appointed	
	by WIPO to carry out international search and examination.	
	The Indian Patent Office (IPO) was recognized as an International	
	Searching Authority (ISA) and International Preliminary Examining	
	Authority (IPEA) under the PCT and started functioning as ISA and	
	IPEA from 15th October 2013. As per requirement for ISA/IPEA,	
	IPO has access to the comprehensive collection of patent and non-	
	patent literature in order to fulfill PCT minimum documentation	
	requirement, integrated search platform IPATS to enable one click	
	search through the vast collection of information and professionally	
	qualified and skilled Examiners. IPO has also established a Quality	
	Management System to monitor the ISA/IPEA reports. Fully	
	electronic processing system ensures speedy disposal and dissemination	
	of information on real time basis.	
08.02	Applicants in ISA/IPEA	
	Applicants for PCT international applications who are nationals/	
	residents of India and Iran can select Indian Patent Office as ISA/	
	IPEA. Indian applicants choosing IPO as ISA/ IPEA include	
	individual inventors, startups, research institutions, universities, Indian	
	multi-national conglomerates, Indian units of foreign multi-national	
	firms and foreign firms with Indian inventors or Indian companies as	
	co-applicants.	

08.03	Indian International Searching Authority	Rule 19-A
	<ol> <li>Patent Office, Delhi performs the functions of Indian International Searching Authority under the Treaty in accordance with the agreement between Indian Patent Office and International Bureau.</li> <li>The former like to the formation of the international formation of the second second</li></ol>	
	<ol> <li>The fees payable to the Searching Authority includes fees as specified in the Fifth Schedule, in addition to the fees specified in the regulations made under the Treaty.</li> </ol>	
	3. The Searching Authority establishes international search report in respect of international applications or, as the case may be, declares, in accordance with sub-rule (3) of rule 19B that meaningful search cannot be established, in cases where India has been indicated as a competent International Searching Authority.	
08.04	International Search Report	Rule 19-B
	<ol> <li>The Searching Authority, on receipt of the search copy, notifies International Bureau and the applicant about receipt of search copy, with identification mark 'ISA/IN' along with international application number and its serial number and date of receipt of the search copy.</li> </ol>	
	2. Notwithstanding anything contained in the proviso to item (i) of sub-rule (2) of rule 24B, the Searching Authority shall, upon receipt of the search copy, refer the international application, in the order in which the search copy was received, to an examiner or any other officer appointed under sub-section (2) of Section 73 of the Act for preparing an international search report, in accordance with the provisions contained in the Treaty and the regulations under the Treaty, ordinarily within a period of one month, but not exceeding two months from the date of such reference.	
	3. If the Searching Authority considers that, in accordance with rule 19-B(3), the international application relates to a subject matter which the Searching Authority is not required to search and, accordingly, decides not to search; or the description, claims or drawings fail to comply with the requirements prescribed under the regulation under the Treaty to such an extent that a meaningful search could not be carried out, then the Authority declares and	

notifies the applicant and International Bureau that no international search report shall be established.

- 4. In a case where any situation referred to in clause (a) or clause (b) of rule 19-B(3) is found to exist in connection with certain claims only, the Searching Authority indicates this fact in the International Search Report in respect of such claims, and for other claims, it establishes the International Search Report.
- 5. If the Searching Authority considers that the international application does not comply with the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with the provisions contained in Rule 13 of the regulations under the Treaty, then it sends a notice specifying the reasons because of which the international application is not considered as complying with the requirement of unity of invention.

### Subsequently, the applicant is invited to:

- pay the additional fees as specified in the Fifth Schedule indicating the amount of fees to be paid, within a period of one month from the date of such invitation; and,
- b. pay, where applicable, the protest fee specified in the Fifth Schedule indicating the amount of fee to be paid, within a period of one month from the date of such invitation.
- 6. The Searching Authority establishes International Search Report on those parts of the international application which relate to the invention first mentioned in the claims (main invention) and, subject to payment of additional fee within the period specified in sub-rule (5), on those parts of the international application which relate to inventions in respect of which such additional fees were paid.
- 7. Any applicant may pay the additional fees under protest, that is, accompanied by a reasoned statement to the effect that the international application complies with the requirement of unity of invention or that the amount of the required additional fees is excessive.
- 8. The examination of the protest referred to in sub-rule (7) is carried out by a Review Committee constituted by the Controller.

	0 The Devices Committee as activated and a set of an low set (0) are activated to	
	9. The Review Committee constituted under sub-rule (8) examines the	
	extent to which the protest is justified and, accordingly, orders for	
	the total or partial reimbursement of the additional fee to the	
	applicant.	
	10. Where the applicant has not paid fees for the protest in accordance	
	with clause (b) of sub-rule (5), the protest is considered not to have	
	been made and the same is declared by the Searching Authority.	
	11. Where the international application contains the disclosure of one	
	or more nucleotide or amino acid sequences and the sequences are	
	not furnished in computer-readable text format, the Searching	
	Authority sends a notice to the applicant to submit the sequence	
	listing in computer-readable text format and pay the late furnishing	
	fee as specified in the Fifth Schedule, within a period of one month	
	from the date of such notice and, if the applicant fails to comply	
	with the notice, the Searching Authority searches the international	
	application to the extent that a meaningful search can be carried out	
	without the sequence listing.	
08.05	Time limit for establishing international search report	Rule 19-C
	The Searching Authority establishes the International Search Report and	
	written opinion or, as the case may be, the declaration referred to in	
	sub-rule (3) of rule 19B within a period of three months from the date	
	of receipt of the search copy by the Searching Authority, or within a	
	period of nine months from the date of priority, whichever expires later.	
08.06	Transmittal of International Search Report and written opinion	Rule 19-D
	The Searching Authority transmits one copy of the International	
	Search Report or of the declaration referred to in Article 17(2)(a) of the	
	Treaty, and one copy of the written opinion established under Rule	
	43bis.1 of the regulations under the Treaty, to the International Bureau	
	and one copy to the applicant, on the same day.	
08.07	Confidential treatment	Rule 19-E
	All matters pertaining to international applications are kept confidential	
	in accordance with the treaty and the regulations under the Treaty.	
08.08	Indian International Preliminary Examining Authority	Rule 19-F
	1) The Patent Office, Delhi branch performs the functions of the	
	International Preliminary Examining Authority under the Treaty in	

	accordance with an agreement between the Indian Patent Office and	
	the International Bureau.	
	2) The Examining Authority establishes-	
	a) the International Preliminary Examination Report in respect of all international applications electing India as an International Preliminary Examining Authority;	
	<ul> <li>b) the International Preliminary Examination Report in respect of the demands filed by the nationals or residents of other countries in accordance with an agreement between Indian Patent Office and the International Bureau, upon being notified by the International Bureau;</li> </ul>	
	c) the International Preliminary Examination in respect of	
	demands made by the nationals or residents of other countries	
	not party to the Treaty or not bound by Chapter II of the	
	Treaty, if the Assembly has so approved.	
08.09	Period for making Demand	Rule 19-G
	1. Demand for international preliminary examination is made within	
	the period specified in the Treaty or regulations under the Treaty.	
	2. In case the demand is made after the expiry of the period specified	
	in sub-rule (1), it is considered to have not been made and no	
	International Preliminary Examination Report shall be prepared.	
08.10	Fees payable to Examining Authority	Rule 19-H
	The fees payable to the Examining Authority includes the fees specified	
	in the Fifth Schedule, in addition to the fees specified in the regulations	
	under the Treaty.	
08.11	Manner of making a demand	Rule 19-I
	A demand shall be made in accordance with the provisions contained in	
	the rules, the Treaty and the regulations under the Treaty.	
08.12	Processing of demands for international preliminary examination	Rule 19-J
	The Examining Authority, on receipt of the demand for international	
	preliminary examination, if the Examining Authority is competent to	
	conduct an international preliminary examination, assigns the	
	identification mark 'IPEA/IN' and notifies the Applicant and the	
	International Bureau.	

	In case where the Examining Authority is not competent to conduct the	
	international preliminary examination of the international application, it	
	transmits the demand promptly to the International Bureau.	
08.13	International Preliminary Examination Report	Rule 19-K
08.13	<ol> <li>International Preliminary Examination Report</li> <li>Notwithstanding anything contained in the proviso to item (i) of sub-rule (2) of rule 24B, the Examining Authority refers the international application, in accordance with the provisions contained in the Treaty and the regulations under the Treaty, in the order in which the demand was received in the Examining Authority to an examiner or any other officer appointed under sub-section (2) of section 73 of the Act for preparing an International Preliminary Examination Report ordinarily within a period of three months but not exceeding four months from the date of such reference.</li> <li>Claims relating to inventions in respect of which no International Search Report has been established shall not be the subject of international preliminary examination.</li> <li>If the Examining Authority considers that-         <ul> <li>a. the international application relates to a subject matter on which the Examining Authority is not required to carry out an international preliminary examination, and, decides not to carry out such examination; or</li> <li>b. that the description, claims, or drawings, are so unclear, or the claims are so inadequately supported by the description, that no meaningful opinion can be formed on the questions of novelty, inventive step (non-obviousness), or industrial applicability,</li> <li>c. then the Examining Authority does not go into these questions and informs the applicant of this opinion and the reasons therefor.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Rule 19-K
	sub-rule (3) is found to exist in connection with certain claims only, the Examining Authority indicates this fact in the International Preliminary Examination Report in respect of such claims, and for other claims, it establishes the International Preliminary	
	other claims, it establishes the International Preliminary Examination Report.	

	5. Where the Examining Authority finds that the international	
	application does not comply with the requirement of unity of	
	invention, in accordance with the provisions contained in Rule 13 of	
	the regulations under the Treaty and chooses to invite the applicant,	
	at his option, to restrict the claims or to pay additional fees, it issues	
	a notice to the applicant:	
	a. specifying at least one possibility of restriction which. in the	
	opinion of the Examining Authority. would be in compliance	
	with the applicable requirement;	
	b. specifying the reasons for which the international application	
	is not considered as complying with the requirement of unity	
	of invention;	
	c. inviting the applicant to comply with the invitation within one	
	month from the date of such notice;	
	d. indicating the amount of the required additional fees to be	
	paid in case the applicant so chooses; and	
	e. inviting the applicant to pay, the protest fee within one month	
	from the date of such notice, and indicate the amount to be	
	paid, as specified in the Fifth Schedule.	
	6. Any applicant may pay the additional fees under protest, that is,	
	accompanied by a reasoned statement to the effect that the	
	international application complies with the requirement of unity of	
	invention or that the amount of the required additional fees is	
	excessive.	
	7. The examination of the protest is carried out by a Review	
	Committee constituted by the Controller.	
	8. The Review Committee constituted examines the extent to which	
	the protest is justified and accordingly orders for the total or partial	
	reimbursement to the applicant of the additional fee.	
	9. The protest fee is refunded to the applicant where the Review	
	Committee referred to in sub-rule (6) finds that the protest was	
	entirely justified.	
08.14	Period for establishing International Preliminary Examination	Rule 19L
	Report and its transmission	
	The period for establishing the International Preliminary Examination	
	The period for establishing the international rieminiary examination	

[	D 1 11 1	[]
	Report shall be:	
	a. twenty eight months from the priority date; or	
	b. six months from the period specified under Rule 69.1 of the	
	regulations under the Treaty for the start of the international	
	preliminary examination; or	
	c. six months from the date of receipt by the Examining	
	Authority of the translation furnished under Rule 55.2 of the	
	regulations under the Treaty, whichever expires last.	
08.15	Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report	Rule 19M
	The Examining Authority transmits one copy of International	
	Preliminary Examination Report and its annexures, if any, to the	
	International Bureau, and one copy to the applicant, on the same day.	
08.16	Conditions for and extent of refund	Rule 19N
	The fee paid by the applicant may be refunded, waived or reduced to	
	the extent and in accordance with the conditions specified in the Treaty	
	or the regulations under the Treaty and the agreement entered between	
	the Indian Patent Office and the International Bureau.	
	1	

09.01	Request for Examination	Section
	1. An Application for a Patent shall not be examined unless the	11B, 35.
	applicant or any other person interested makes a request for	Rule 20(2),
	examination. The request is to be filed in Form 18 or Form 18A (as	20(4)(ii),
	the case may be) along with the fee as prescribed in First Schedule.	24B, 24C.
	2. A request for examination has to be made within forty- eight	
	months from the date of priority of the application or from the	
	date of filing of the application, whichever is earlier. If no such	
	request for examination is filed within the prescribed time limit, the	
	application shall be treated as withdrawn by the applicant.	
	In a case where secrecy direction has been issued under Section 35,	
	the request for examination may be made within six months from	
	the date of revocation of the secrecy direction, or within forty-eight	
	months from the date of filing or priority, whichever is later.4. The	
	request for examination of application as filed according to the	
	'Explanation' under sub-section (3) of section 16 (Divisional	
	application) shall be made within forty-eight months from the date	
	of filing of the application or from the date of priority of the first	
	mentioned application or within six months from the date of filing	
	of the further application, whichever is later.	
	3. The Patent Office shall not commence processing of an application	
	filed corresponding to international application designating India	
	before the expiration of the thirty one months from the priority	
	date. However, the Patent Office may, on the express request filed	
	in Form 18 along with the fee specified in First Schedule, process	
	or examine the application at any time before thirty one months.	
	4. The Office will not examine an application unless it is published and	
	a request for examination is filed.	
	5. When a request for examination is filed by a person interested other	
	than the applicant, the Examination Report is sent to the applicant	
	only, and intimation is given to the person interested.	
	In the matter of Nippon Steel Corporation vs. Union of India	
	(UOI)-W.P. (C) 801 Of 2011 (08.02.2011): Manu/De/07/12/ 2011,	

	the Delhi High Court held that once application is deemed to have been withdrawn by applicant in terms of Section 11B(4) of Act, the	
	Controller of Patents cannot entertain application for amending any	
	portion of such application. It is not possible to accept submission of	
	petitioner that Controller of Patents is bound to allow amendment at	
	any time, even after deemed withdrawal of such application. The	
	Court also noted that the Petitioner missed the deadline for filing	
	Request for examination accordingly.	
	In the matter of Sphaera Pharma, Pte. Ltd And Anr. vs Union Of	
	India And Anr. on 16 February, 2018 the Delhi High Court held that	
	any request for extension of time prescribed has to be made before the	
	expiry of such time as prescribed in the Rules. Therefore, even if the	
	express language of Rule 138 of the Rules is ignored, the benefit of	
	Rule 138 would not be available to the petitioner (who requested for	
	extension of time under Rule 138 to file are request for examination in	
	Form 18), as no such application for extension of time was made prior	
	to expiry of the prescribed time.	
09.01.01	Expedited examination of applications	Rule 24B,
	1. An applicant may file a request for expedited examination in Form	24C
	18A, along with the fee, only by electronic transmission, duly	
	authenticated, within 48 months from the date of filing or Priority	
	date, whichever earlier on any of the following grounds:	
	(a) India has been chosen as the competent International	
	Searching Authority or elected as an International Preliminary Examining Authority in the corresponding international application; or	
	(b) that the applicant is a startup; or	
	<ul><li>(b) that the applicant is a startup; or</li><li>(c) that the applicant is a small entity; or</li></ul>	
	<ul><li>(c) that the applicant is a small entity; or</li><li>(d) that if the applicant is a natural person or in the case of joint</li></ul>	
	<ul><li>(c) that the applicant is a small entity; or</li><li>(d) that if the applicant is a natural person or in the case of joint applicants, all the applicants are natural persons, then the</li></ul>	
	<ul><li>(c) that the applicant is a small entity; or</li><li>(d) that if the applicant is a natural person or in the case of joint applicants, all the applicants are natural persons, then the applicant or at least one of the applicants is a female; or</li></ul>	

<ul> <li>the Government; or</li> <li>(g) that the applicant is a Government company as defined in clause (45) of section 2 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013); or</li> <li>(h) that the applicant is an institution wholly or substantially financed by the Government;</li> <li>Explanation:- For the purpose of this clause, the term 'substantially financed' shall have the same meaning as in the Explanation to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or</li> <li>(i) that the application pertains to a sector which is notified by</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>clause (45) of section 2 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013); or</li> <li>(h) that the applicant is an institution wholly or substantially financed by the Government;</li> <li>Explanation:- For the purpose of this clause, the term 'substantially financed' shall have the same meaning as in the Explanation to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2013); or</li> <li>(h) that the applicant is an institution wholly or substantially financed by the Government;</li> <li>Explanation:- For the purpose of this clause, the term 'substantially financed' shall have the same meaning as in the Explanation to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>(h) that the applicant is an institution wholly or substantially financed by the Government;</li> <li>Explanation:- For the purpose of this clause, the term 'substantially financed' shall have the same meaning as in the Explanation to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or</li> </ul>	
financed by the Government; Explanation:- For the purpose of this clause, the term 'substantially financed' shall have the same meaning as in the Explanation to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or	
Explanation:- For the purpose of this clause, the term 'substantially financed' shall have the same meaning as in the Explanation to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or	
'substantially financed' shall have the same meaning as in the Explanation to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or	
Explanation to sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or	
Comptroller and Auditor General's (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or	
Conditions of Service) Act, 1971(56 of 1971); or	
(i) that the application pertains to a sector which is notified by	
the Central Government on the basis of a request from the	
head of a department of the Central Government .:	
Provided that public comments are invited before any such	
notification; or	
(j) that the applicant is eligible under an arrangement for	
processing a patent application pursuant to an agreement	
between Indian Patent Office and a foreign Patent Office.	
Explanation:- The patentability of patent applications filed	
under clause (j) above will be in accordance with the	
relevant provisions of the Act.".	
2. A request for examination filed under rule 24B may be converted	
to a request for expedited examination under sub-rule (1) of rule	
24C by paying the relevant fees and submitting requisite documents	
as prescribed.	
3. If the application is not published or a request for early publication	
is not filed, the Applicant shall file Form 9 with prescribed fee	
along with Form 18A.	
4. If the request for expedited examination does not comply with the	
requirements of this rule, such a request shall be processed as	
normal request for examination, with an intimation to the	
applicant, and shall be deemed to have been filed on the date on which the request for expedited examination was filed	
which the request for expedited examination was filed.	
5. The Controller shall refer the request for expedited examination	

along with the application and specification and other documents to the examiner, in respect of the applications where the request for expedited examination has been received, in the order of filing of such requests.

- 6. Provided that a request for expedited examination filed by a startup, as defined in Rule 2 (fb), under this rule shall not be questioned merely on the ground that the startup ceased to be a startup after having filed an application for patent.
- 7. The period within which the examiner shall make the report under sub-section (2) of section 12, shall ordinarily be one month but not exceeding two months from the date of reference of the application to him by the Controller.
- 8. The period within which the Controller shall dispose of the report of the examiner shall be one month from the date of receipt of such report by the Controller.
- 9. A first statement of objections along with any document, if required, shall be issued by the Controller to the applicant or his authorised agent within fifteen days from the date of disposal of the report of examiner by the Controller.
- 10. Reply to the first statement of objections and subsequent reply, if any, in respect of an application where the request for expedited examination was filed, shall be processed in the order in which such reply for such application is received.
- 11. The time for putting an application in order for grant under section21 shall be six months from the date on which the first statementof objections is issued to the applicant.
- 12. The time for putting an application in order for grant under section 21, as prescribed in sub-rule (10) may be further extended for a period of three months on a request for extension made in Form 4 along with the prescribed fee, made to the Controller before the expiry of the period specified under sub-rule (10).

13. The Controller shall dispose of the application within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the last reply to the first

		statement of objections or within a period of three months from	
		the last date to put the application in order for grant under section	
		21 of the Act, whichever is earlier: Provided that this time limit	
		shall not be applicable in case of pre-grant opposition.	
	14.	Notwithstanding anything contained this rule, the Controller may	
		limit the number of requests for expedited examination to be	
		received during the year by way of a notice to be published in the	
		official journal.	
09.02	Re	ference for Examination	Section
	1.	Once a request for examination is received, and the application is	11A, 12.
		published under section 11A, the application is taken up for	Rule
		Examination in the chronological order of filing of request for	24B(2)(i)
		examination.	
	2.	Accordingly, the Controller shall refer the application, specification	
		and other documents related thereto to the examiner and such	
		reference shall be made in the order in which the request is filed.	
	3.	Provided that in case of a further application filed under section	
		16, the order of reference of such further application shall be the	
		same as that of the first mentioned application.	
	4.	Provided further that in case the first mentioned application has	
		already been referred for examination, the further application shall	
		have to be accompanied by a request for examination, and such	
		further application shall be published within one month and be	
		referred to the examiner within one month from the date of such	
		publication.	
	5.	The patent application is referred to an Examiner by the	
		Controller for conducting the formal as well as substantive	
		examination as per the subject matter of the invention vis-à-vis the	
		area of specialization of the Examiner. At present, the Patent	
		Office has four examination groups based on the broad area of	
		specialization viz .:	
		a. Chemistry and allied subjects.	
		b. Biotechnology, Microbiology and allied subjects.	
		c. Electrical, Electronics & related subject	
		d. Mechanical and other subjects.	

	6. The reference to the Examiner is made ordinarily within one month	]
	from the date of publication or one month from the date of	
	-	
	request for examination, whichever is later, and is made in order in	
	which the request is filed.	
	7. When an application is referred by the Controller, the Examiner	
	makes a report on the patentability as well as other matters	
	ordinarily within one month but not exceeding three months from	
	the date of such reference.	
	8. The period within which the Controller shall dispose of the report	
	of the Examiner shall ordinarily one month from the date of the	
	receipt of the report by the Controller.	
	9. A first statement of objections, along with any documents as may	
	be required, shall be issued by the Controller to the applicant or	
	his authorised agent within one month from the date of disposal	
	of the report of examiner by the Controller:	
	10. Provided that where the request for examination was filed by a	
	person interested, only an intimation of such examination may be	
	sent to such person interested.	
09.03		
1	Examination of application	
09.03.01	Examination of applicationSearch for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior	Section 13
		Section 13
	Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior	Section 13
	Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:	Section 13
	Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior         Claiming:         1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database,	Section 13
	<ul> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including</li> </ul>	Section 13
	<ul> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT</li> </ul>	Section 13
	<ul> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT Minimum documentation is searched.</li> </ul>	Section 13
	<ul> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT Minimum documentation is searched.</li> <li>2. The search is conducted to find out any publication which may</li> </ul>	Section 13
	<ul> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT Minimum documentation is searched.</li> <li>2. The search is conducted to find out any publication which may anticipate the claimed subject matter.</li> </ul>	Section 13
	<ul> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT Minimum documentation is searched.</li> <li>2. The search is conducted to find out any publication which may anticipate the claimed subject matter.</li> <li>3. Another objective of the search is to ascertain whether an</li> </ul>	Section 13
	<ul> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT Minimum documentation is searched.</li> <li>2. The search is conducted to find out any publication which may anticipate the claimed subject matter.</li> <li>3. Another objective of the search is to ascertain whether an invention as claimed in any of the claims of the complete</li> </ul>	Section 13
	<ol> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT Minimum documentation is searched.</li> <li>The search is conducted to find out any publication which may anticipate the claimed subject matter.</li> <li>Another objective of the search is to ascertain whether an invention as claimed in any of the claims of the complete specification has been claimed in any claim of any other complete</li> </ol>	Section 13
	<ol> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT Minimum documentation is searched.</li> <li>The search is conducted to find out any publication which may anticipate the claimed subject matter.</li> <li>Another objective of the search is to ascertain whether an invention as claimed in any of the claims of the complete specification has been claimed in any claim of any other complete specification, filed in India, which has been published on or after</li> </ol>	Section 13
	<ul> <li>Search for Anticipation by previous publication and Prior Claiming:</li> <li>1. The examiner conducts a search in the Indian Patent Database, starting from 1.1.1912, and all the available databases including patent/non patent literature. In addition to the above, PCT Minimum documentation is searched.</li> <li>2. The search is conducted to find out any publication which may anticipate the claimed subject matter.</li> <li>3. Another objective of the search is to ascertain whether an invention as claimed in any of the claims of the complete specification has been claimed in any claim of any other complete the date of filing of the applicant's complete specification being a</li> </ul>	Section 13

	that date.	
	4. The search is conducted with respect to the date of filing of	
	complete specification.	
	5. The examiner ascertains the following:	
	a) International Patent classification.	
	b) Search strategy.	
	c) Keyword(s) used.	
	d) Databases consulted for both Patent and non-Patent	
	literature.	
	e) Prior art findings and analysis regarding the patentability.	
	f) Limitation on search if any, such as non-clarity of claims or	
	multiplicity of inventions or any other reason due to which a	
	reasonable search cannot be conducted.	
09.03.02	Novelty	Section
	1. An invention is considered as new(novel), if it is not anticipated by	2(1)(j), 13,
	prior publication in patent and non-patent literature, i.e., an	29- 34
	invention is novel if it has not been disclosed in the prior art,	
	where the prior art means everything that has been published,	
	presented or otherwise disclosed to the public before the date of	
	filing/priority date of complete specification.	
	2. An invention is considered as novel, if it has not been anticipated	
	by prior use or prior public knowledge in India .	
	3. For the purpose of determining novelty, an application for patent	
	filed at the Indian Patent Office before the date of filing of	
	complete specification of a later filed application, but published	
	after the same, is considered for the purposes of prior claiming.	
	4. While ascertaining novelty, the Examiner takes into consideration,	
	inter alia, the following documents:	
	- which have been published before the date of filing of the	
	application in any of the specifications filed in pursuance of	
	application for patent in India on or after 1st January, 1912.	
	- such Indian Patent Applications which have been filed before the date of filing of complete specification and published on or	
	the date of filing of complete specification and published on or after the date of filing of the complete specification, but claims	
	after the date of ming of the complete specification, but claims	

		·
	the same subject matter.	
	<ol> <li>The examiner shall make such investigation for purpose of ascertaining whether the invention, so far as claimed in any claim of the complete specification, has been anticipated by publication in India or elsewhere in any document other than those mentioned in section 13(1) before date of filing of the applicant's complete specification.</li> <li>A prior art is considered as anticipating novelty if all the features of the invention under examination are present in the cited prior</li> </ol>	
	art document.	
	7. The prior art should disclose the invention either in explicit or implicit manner. Mosaicing of prior art documents is not allowed in determination of novelty.	
	8. A generic disclosure in the prior art may not necessarily take away the novelty of a specific disclosure. For instance, a metal spring may not take away the novelty of a copper spring.	
	9. A specific disclosure in the prior art takes away the novelty of a generic disclosure. For instance, a copper spring takes away the novelty of a metal spring.	
	10. In a case where a prior art is cited as an anticipation in the Examination Report, the onus of proving that the same is not to be an anticipation by reason of Section 29-34, lies on the applicant.	
09.03.03	Inventive step	
09.03.03.01	General principle Inventive step is a feature of an invention that involves technical advance compared to the existing knowledge or having economic significance or both and that makes the invention not obvious to a person skilled in the art. While determining patentability of the invention, an Examiner first conducts investigation as to whether the novelty of the claimed	Section 2(1)(j), 2(1)(ja)
	invention is established and then proceeds to conduct examination on whether the claimed invention involves the inventive step.	

competent craftsman (or engineer as distinguished from a mere artisan), endowed with the common general knowledge at the 'priority date', who was faced with the problem solved by the patentee but without knowledge of the patented invention, would he have said, "this gives me what I want?" (Encyclopedia Britannica; ibid). To put it in another form: "Was it for practical purposes obvious to a skilled worker, in the field concerned, in the state of knowledge existing at the date of the patent to be found in the literature then available to him, that he would or should make the invention the subject of the claim concerned ?"

In the **F. Hoffman la Roche v Cipla** case the Hon'ble Delhi High Court had observed that the obviousness test is what is laid down in **Biswanath Prasad Radhey Shyam vs Hindustan Metal Industries Ltd (AIR 1982 SC 1444)** and that "Such observations made in the foreign judgments are not the guiding factors in the true sense of the term as to what qualities that person skilled in the art should possess. The reading of the said qualities would mean qualifying the said statement and the test laid down by the Supreme Court."

Hon'ble High Court further added "From the bare reading of the afore quoted observations of Supreme Court, it is manifest that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has laid down the test for the purposes of ascertaining as to what constitutes an inventive step which is to be seen from the standpoint of technological advancement as well as obviousness to a person who is skilled in the art. It is to be emphasized that what is required to be seen is that the invention should not be obvious to the person skilled in art. These are exactly the wordings of New Patents Act, 2005 u/s Section 2(ja) as seen above. Therefore, the same cannot be read to mean that there has to exist other qualities in the said person like unimaginary nature of the person or any other kind of person having distinct qualities..... Normal and grammatical meaning of the said person who is skilled in art would presuppose that the said person would have the knowledge and the skill in the said field of art and will not be unknown to a particular field of art and it is from that angle one has to see that if the said document which is prior patent if placed in the hands of the said person skilled in art whether he will be able to work upon the same in the workshop and achieve the desired result leading to patent which is under challenge. If the answer comes in affirmative, then certainly the said invention under challenge is anticipated by the prior art or in other words, obvious to the person skilled in art as a mere workshop result and otherwise it is not. The said view propounded by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Biswanath Prasad (supra) holds the field till date and has been followed from time to time by this Court till recently without any variance..... Therefore, it is proper and legally warranted to apply the same very test for testing the patent; be it any kind of patent. It would be improper to import any further doctrinal approach by making the test modified or qualified what has been laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court **in of Biswanath Prasad (supra).**"

The "obviousness" must be strictly and objectively judged. While determining inventive step, it is important to look at the invention as a whole.

Accordingly, the following points need to be objectively judged to ascertain whether, looking at the invention as a whole, the invention does have inventive step or not:

- i. Identify the "person skilled in the art", i.e. competent craftsman or engineer as distinguished from a mere artisan;
- ii. Identify the relevant common general knowledge of that person at the priority date;
- iii. Identify the inventive concept of the claim in question or if that cannot readily be done, construe it;
- iv. Identify what, if any, differences exist between the matter cited as forming part of the "state of the art" and the inventive concept of the claim or the claim as construed;
- v. Viewed without any knowledge of the alleged invention as claimed, do those differences constitute steps which would have been obvious to the person skilled in the art or do they require any degree of inventive ingenuity?

09.03.04	Industrial Applicability	Section
	1. In order for an invention to be patentable, an invention must be	2(1)(j),
	capable of industrial application. Industrial Application in relation	2(1)(ac)
	to patentability means that the invention is capable of being made	
	or used in an industry.	
	2. The Examiner shall assess if the claimed invention is capable of use in any industry or made using an industrial process. Typically, the specification explains the industrial applicability of the disclosed invention in a self-evident manner. If it is not, a mere suggestion that the matter would be industrially applicable is not sufficient. A specific utility should be indicated in the specification	
	supported by the disclosure. For example, indicating that a	
	compound may be useful in treating unspecified disorders, or that	
	the compound has useful biological properties, would not be	
	sufficient to define a specific utility for the compound. The	
09.03.05	specific usefulness has to be indicated. Inventions not patentable	Section 3
09.03.05	Under the Patents Act, 1970, the following are not inventions and	Section 5
	hence are not considered to be patentable. However, examples given	
	are mere illustrations and may not be conclusive on the subject.	
	Objective decisions may be taken on case to case basis.	
	In addition to the illustrations in the instant Manual, the following subject-specific Guidelines have been issued and the same are hyperlinked as under:	
	1) <u>Revised Guidelines for Examination of Computer-related</u> <u>Inventions (CRIs)</u>	
	2) <u>Guidelines for Processing of Patent Applications relating to</u> <u>Traditional Knowledge and Biological Material</u>	
	3) <u>Guidelines for Examination of Biotechnology Applications</u> for Patent	
	<ul> <li>4) <u>Guidelines for examination of patent applications in the field</u> of Pharmaceuticals</li> </ul>	
09.03.05.01	An invention which is frivolous or which claims anything	Section 3(a)
	obviously contrary to well established laws is not an invention.	
	Some examples of a frivolous nature and contrary to natural laws are:	

	• A machine purporting to produce perpetual motion.	
	<ul> <li>A machine alleged to be giving output without any input.</li> </ul>	
00.02.05.02	A machine allegedly giving 100% efficiency.	
09.03.05.02	An invention, the primary or intended use or commercial	Section 3(b)
	exploitation of which would be contrary to public order or	
	morality or which causes serious prejudice to human, animal or	
	plant life or health or to the environment is not an invention.	
	Some examples are:	
	a) Any device, apparatus or machine or method for committing theft/burglary.	
	b) Any machine or method for counterfeiting of currency notes.	
	c) Any device or method for gambling.	
	d) An invention the use of which can cause serious prejudice to human beings, plants and animals.	
	e) Inventions, the intended use or commercial exploitation of which is found to be injurious to public, animal or plant life or health, such as, a method of adulteration of food.	
	<ul> <li>f) An invention, the primary or intended use of which is likely to violate the well accepted and settled social, cultural, legal norms of morality, e.g. a method for cloning of humans.</li> </ul>	
	g) An invention, the primary or proposed use of which would disturb the public orders e.g. a device for house-breaking.	
	<ul> <li>h) However, if the primary or intended purpose or commercial exploitation of a claimed invention is not causing serious prejudice to human, animal or plant life or health or to the environment, such subject matter may be considered to be an invention and may be patentable, for instance, a pesticide.</li> </ul>	
09.03.05.03	The mere discovery of a scientific principle or the formulation of	Section 3(c)
	an abstract theory or discovery of any living thing or non-living	
	substance occurring in nature is not an invention.	
	A claim for discovery of scientific principle is not considered to be an	
	invention, but a process of manufacture, based on the use of such principle, resulting in a substance or an article may be considered to be	

	an invention.	
	A scientific theory is a statement about the natural world. These	
	theories themselves are not considered to be inventions, no matter	
	how radical or revolutionary an insight they may provide, since they	
	do not result in a product or process. However, any practical	
	application of such theory in the process of manufacture of an article	
	or substance, may well be patentable.	
	The fact that a known material or article is found to have a hitherto	
	unknown property is a discovery and not an invention. But if such	
	discovery leads to the conclusion that the material can be used for	
	making a particular article or in a particular process, then the article or	
	process could be considered to be an invention.	
	For example, the property of a particular known material to be able to	
	withstand mechanical shock is a discovery and therefore not	
	patentable, but a claim to a railway sleeper made of such material	
	would not fall foul of this exclusion, and would be allowable if it	
	passed the tests for novelty and inventive step.	
	Similarly, finding of a new substance or micro-organism occurring	
	freely in nature is a discovery and not an invention.	
09.03.05.04	The mere discovery of a new form of a known substance which	Section 3(d)
	does not result in the enhancement of the known efficacy of that	
	substance or the mere discovery of any new property or new use	
	for a known substance or of the mere use of a known process,	
	machine or apparatus unless such known process results in a new	
	product or employs at least one new reactant is not an invention.	
	"Explanation:- For the purposes of this clause, salts, esters,	
	ethers, polymorphs, metabolites, pure form, particle size,	
	isomers, mixtures of isomers, complexes, combinations and	
	other derivatives of known substance shall be considered to	
	be the same substance, unless they differ significantly in	
	properties with regard to efficacy".	
	According to this provision, the following are not inventions and,	
	recording to the provision, the following me not intertations and,	

• mere discovery of a new form of a known substance which	does
not result in the enhancement of the known efficacy of	that
substance;	
• the mere discovery of any new property of a known substance;	
• the mere discovery of new use for a known substance;	
• the mere discovery of use of a known process, machine	e or
apparatus unless such known process results in a new produc	ct or
employs at least one new reactant.	
Explanation to Section 3(d) further clarifies that salts, esters, eth	iers,
polymorphs, metabolites, pure form, particle size, isomers, mixture	s of
isomers, complexes, combinations and other derivatives of know	own
substance may be considered as may be considered to be the sa	ame
substance and can be patentable only if they differ significantly	y in
Properties with regard to efficacy.	
An Examiner investigates on case to case basis as to what constitu	utes
the difference in properties with regard to efficacy from the know	own
substance.	
The complete specification shall bring out clearly and categorically	y in
the description, as to how the claimed subject matter dif	fers
significantly in properties with regard to efficacy from the know	own
substance at the time of filing of the application or subsequently	v by
way of an amendment of specification under section 59.	
After analysing the legislative history of Section 3(d), the Hon	ı'ble
Supreme Court in the matter of Novartis AG Vs. Union of Inc	dia,
W.P.No. 24760/06, commented, "We have, therefore, no doubt	that
the amendment/addition made in section 3(d) is meant especially	y to
deal with chemical substances, and more particularly pharmaceut	tical
products. The amended portion of section 3(d) clearly sets u	p a
second tier of qualifying standards for chemical substance	ces/
pharmaceutical products in order to leave the door open for true	and

pharmaceutical products in order to leave the door open for true and genuine inventions but, at the same time, to check any attempt at repetitive patenting or extension of the patent term on spurious grounds."

	It was further held by the Apex Court –	
	"in the case of medicines, efficacy means "therapeutic efficacy" and	
	physico-chemical properties of substances do not meet the	
	requirement of "therapeutic efficacy".	
	It was also held that patent applicants must prove the increase in	
	therapeutic efficacy and just increased bioavailability alone may not	
	necessarily lead to an enhancement of therapeutic efficacy, and in any	
	given case, enhanced efficacy must be specifically claimed and	
	established by research data.	
	In this regard, in Para 187 of the Apex Court judgment, it is held	
	that,	
	"the physico-chemical properties of beta crystalline form of	
	Imatinib Mesylate, namely (i) more beneficial flow properties, (ii)	
	better thermodynamic stability, and (iii) lower hygroscopicity, may be	
	otherwise beneficial but these properties cannot even be taken into	
	account for the purpose of the test of section 3(d) of the Act, since	
	these properties have nothing to do with therapeutic efficacy."	
09.03.05.05		$\alpha$ · $\alpha$ ()
09.03.05.05	A substance obtained by a mere admixture resulting only in the	Section 3(e)
02.02.02.03	A substance obtained by a mere admixture resulting only in the aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a	Section 3(e)
07.03.03.03		Section 3(e)
07.03.03.03	aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.	Section 3(e)
07.03.03.03	aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention. An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as	Section 3(e)
07.03.03.03	aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention. An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants,	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention. An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.	Section 3(e)
07.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
07.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of features be one of functional reciprocity or that they show a</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of features be one of functional reciprocity or that they show a</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of features be one of functional reciprocity or that they show a combinative effect beyond the sum of their individual effects. The</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of features be one of functional reciprocity or that they show a combinative effect beyond the sum of their individual effects. The features should be functionally linked together which is the actual</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of features be one of functional reciprocity or that they show a combinative effect beyond the sum of their individual effects. The features should be functionally linked together which is the actual characteristic of a combination invention.</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.03.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of features be one of functional reciprocity or that they show a combinative effect beyond the sum of their individual effects. The features should be functionally linked together which is the actual characteristic of a combination invention.</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)
02.03.05.03	<ul> <li>aggregation of the properties of the components thereof or a process for producing such substance is not an invention.</li> <li>An admixture resulting in synergistic properties is not considered as mere admixture. Hence, substances like soap, detergent, lubricants, may be considered as patentable.</li> <li>A mere aggregation of features must be distinguished from a combination invention. The existence of a combination invention requires that the relationship between the features or groups of features be one of functional reciprocity or that they show a combinative effect beyond the sum of their individual effects. The features should be functionally linked together which is the actual characteristic of a combination invention.</li> <li>In general, all the substances which are produced by mixing components or a process of producing such substances should satisfy</li> </ul>	Section 3(e)

	way of comparison at the time of filing of the Application itself. The	
	subsequent submissions regarding synergism can be accepted in a	
	reply to the office action as a further support of synergy.	
09.03.05.06	The mere arrangement or re-arrangement or duplication of	Section 3(f)
	known devices each functioning independently of one another in	
	a known way is not an invention.	
	In order to be patentable, an improvement on something known	
	before or a combination of different matters already known, should be	
	something more than a mere workshop improvement; and must	
	independently satisfy the test of invention or an 'inventive step'. To be	
	patentable, the improvement or the combination must produce a new	
	result, or a new article or a better or cheaper article than before. A	
	combination of old known integers may be so combined that by their	
	working inter-relation, they produce a new process or an improved	
	result. Mere collocation of more than one integers or things, not	
	involving the exercise of any inventive faculty, does not qualify for the	
	grant of a patent. (Biswanath Prasad Radhey Shyam Vs.	
	Hindustan Metal Industries (1979) 2 SCC, 511).	
	A new and useful application of an old principle may be good subject-	
	matter. An improvement on something known may also afford	
	subject-matter; so also a different combination of matters already	
	known. A patentable combination is one in which the component	
	elements are so combined as to produce a new result or arrive at an	
	old result in a better or more expeditious or more economical manner.	
	If the result produced by the combination is either a new article or a	
	better or cheaper article than before, the combination may afford	
	subject-matter of a patent. (Lallubhai Chakubhai Vs. Chimanlal and Co. (AIR 1936 Bom 99.)	
	An invention claiming a mere juxtaposition of known devices in which	
	each device functions independently is not considered patentable.	
	Merely placing side-by-side old integers so that each performs its own	
	function independently of the others is not a patentable combination. [As for example, a flour mill provided with sieving means].	
	However, where the old integers when placed together have some	

working interrelation, producing a new or improved result, then there	
could be a patentable subject matter in the working interrelation	
brought about by the collection of the integers.	
When two or more features of an apparatus or device are known, and	
they are juxtaposed without any inter- dependence on their	
functioning, they should be held to have been already known.	
(Rampratap v. Bhabha Atomic Research Center, 1976 IPLR 28 P.	
<b>35</b> ), e.g., an umbrella with fan (388/Bom/73), bucket fitted with torch,	
clock and transistor in a single cabinet. These are not patentable, since	
they are nothing but mere arrangement and rearrangement of items	
without having any working interrelationship between them and are	
devices capable of functioning independently of each other.	
As for instance, in the case of an application for a patent in respect of	

As for instance, in the case of an application for a patent in respect of an apparatus for producing metallic bellows, the hydraulic machine and the roll forming machine disclosed therein were functioning as separate machines independently of each other and as such had no novel feature. Hence, there is no invention when a claim is made on known types of hydraulic forming and roll forming machines functioning independently of each other.

A new combination may be the subject matter of a patent although every part of the combination, per se, is old, for here the new article is not the parts themselves but the assembling and working of the parts, together. The merit of a new combination very much depends upon the result produced. Where a slight alteration turns that which was practically useless into what is useful and important, it is fit subject matter for a patent. (Lallubhai Chakkubhai v. Shamaldas Sankalchand Shah, AIR 1934 Bom 407).

09.03.05.07	A me	thod of agriculture or horticulture is not an invention.	Section 3(h)
	Exam	ples of subject matters excluded from patentability under this	
	provis	ion are:	
	a)	A method of producing a plant, even if it involved modification	
		of the conditions under which natural phenomena would	
		pursue their inevitable course (for instance a green-house).	
	b)	A method of producing improved soil from the soil with	
		nematodes by treating the soil with a preparation containing	

	specified phosphorathioates.	
	c) A method of producing mushrooms.	
	d) A method for cultivation of algae.	
	e) A method for removal of weeds.	
09.03.05.08	Any process for the medicinal, surgical, curative, prophylactic,	Section 3(i)
	diagnostic, therapeutic or other treatment of human beings or	
	any process for a similar treatment of animals to render them free	
	of disease or to increase their economic value or that of their	
	products is not an invention.	
	This provision excludes the following from patentability:	
	a) Medicinal methods: for example a process of administering	
	medicines orally, or through injectables, or topically or through a	
	dermal patch.	
	b) Surgical methods: for example a stitch-free incision for cataract removal.	
	c) Curative methods: for example a method of cleaning plaque from teeth.	
	d) Prophylactic methods: for example a method of vaccination.	
	e) Diagnostic methods: Diagnosis is the identification of the nature of a medical illness, usually by investigating its history and symptoms and by applying tests. Determination of the general physical state of an individual (e.g. a fitness test) is considered to be diagnostic.	
	f) Therapeutic methods: The term 'therapy' includes prevention as well as treatment or cure of disease. Therefore, the process relating to therapy may be considered as a method of treatment and as such not patentable.	
	<ul> <li>g) Any method of treatment of animal to render them free of disease or to increase their economic value or that of their products. As for example, a method of treating sheep for increasing wool yield or a method of artificially inducing the body mass of poultry.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Further examples of subject matter excluded under this provision are: any operation on the body, which requires the skill and knowledge of a surgeon and includes treatments such as cosmetic</li> </ul>	

·		
	<ul> <li>treatment, the termination of pregnancy, castration, sterilization, artificial insemination, embryo transplants, treatments for experimental and research purposes and the removal of organs, skin or bone marrow from a living donor, any therapy or diagnosis practiced on the human or animal body and further includes methods of abortion, induction of labour, control of estrus or menstrual regulation.</li> <li>i) Application of substances to the body for purely cosmetic purposes is not therapy.</li> </ul>	
	j) Patent may however be obtained for surgical, therapeutic or	
	diagnostic instrument or apparatus.	
	k) Also the manufacture of prostheses or artificial limbs and taking	
	measurements thereof on the human body are patentable.	
09.03.05.09	Plants and animals in whole or any part thereof other than	Section 3(j)
	micro-organisms but including seeds, varieties and species and	
	essentially biological processes for production or propagation of	
	plants and animals are not inventions.	
	The subject matters excluded under this provision are:	
	a) plants in whole or in part	
	b) animals in whole or in part	
	c) seeds	
	d) varieties and species of plants and animals	
	e) essentially biological process(es) for production or propagation of plants and animals.	
	Microorganisms, other than the ones discovered from the nature, may	
	be patentable. For instance, genetically modified microorganisms may	
	be patentable subject to other requirements of Patentability.	
	Plant varieties are provided protection in India under the provisions of	
	the 'Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act, 2002'.	
09.03.05.10	A mathematical or business method or a computer programme	Section 3(k)
	per se or algorithms are not inventions and hence not patentable.	
	Under this provision, mathematical methods, business methods,	
	computer programmes per se and algorithms are not considered as	

patentable subject matter.

For the purpose of this clause, refer the <u>Revised Guidelines for</u> <u>Examination of Computer-related Inventions (CRIs), 2017</u>. However, a brief of the Guidelines is given as under:

1) Claims directed as "Mathematical Method": Mathematical methods are a particular example of the principle that purely abstract or intellectual methods are not patentable. Mathematical methods like method of calculation, formulation of equations, finding square roots, cube roots and all other similar acts of mental skill are therefore, not patentable. Similarly mere manipulations of abstract idea or solving purely mathematical problem/equations without specifying a practical application also attract the exclusion under this category.

However, mere presence of a mathematical formula in a claim, to clearly specify the scope of protection being sought in an invention, may not necessarily render it to be a "mathematical method" claim. Also, such exclusions may not apply to inventions that include mathematical formulae and resulting in systems for encoding, reducing noise in communications/ electrical/electronic systems or encrypting/ decrypting electronic communications.

2) Claims directed as "Business Method": The term "Business Methods" involves whole gamut of activities in a commercial or industrial enterprise relating to transaction of goods or services. The claims drafted not directly as "business methods" but apparently with some unspecified means are held non-patentable. However, if the claimed subject matter specifies an apparatus and/or a technical process for carrying out the invention even partly, the claims shall be examined as a whole. When a claim is "business methods" in substance, it is not to be considered a patentable subject matter. However, mere presence of the words such as "enterprise", "business", "business rules", "supply-chain", "order", "sales", "transactions", "commerce", "payment" etc. in the claims may not lead to conclusion of an invention being just a "Business Method", but if the subject matter is essentially about

	carrying out business/trade/financial activity/transaction and/or a	
	method of buying/selling goods through web (e.g. providing web	
	service functionality), the same should be treated as business	
	method and shall not be patentable.	
	3) Claims directed as "Algorithm": Algorithms in all forms	
	including but not limited to, a set of rules or procedures or any	
	sequence of steps or any method expressed by way of a finite list of	
	defined instructions, whether for solving a problem or otherwise,	
	and whether employing a logical, arithmetical or computational	
	method, recursive or otherwise, are excluded from patentability.	
	4) Claims directed as "Computer Programme per se": Claims	
	which are directed towards computer programs per se are	
	excluded from patentability, like,	
	a) Claims directed at computer programmes/set of instructions/	
	Routines and/or Sub-routines.	
	b) Claims directed at "computer programme products"/	
	"Storage Medium having instructions"/"Database"/	
	"Computer Memory with instruction" stored in a computer	
	readable medium.	
	The legislative intent to attach suffix per se to computer programme is	
	evident by the following view expressed by the Joint Parliamentary	
	Committee while introducing Patents (Amendments) Act, 2002: "In	
	the new proposed clause (k) the words "per se" have been inserted.	
	This change has been proposed because sometimes the computer	
	programme may include certain other things, ancillary thereto or	
	developed thereon. The intention here is not to reject them for grant	
	of patent if they are inventions. However, the computer programmes	
	as such are not intended to be granted patent. This amendment has	
	been proposed to clarify the purpose."	
09.03.05.11	A literary, dramatic, musical or artistic work or any other	Section 3(l)
	aesthetic creation whatsoever including cinematographic	
	works and television productions is not an invention.	
	Writings, music, works of fine arts, paintings, sculptures, computer	
	Writings, music, works of fine arts, paintings, sculptures, computer programmes, electronic databases, pamphlets, lectures, drawings,	

	illustrations, maps, plans, sketches, topography, translations,	
	adaptations, etc. are not patentable. Such works fall within the domain	
09.03.05.12	of the Copyright Act, 1957. <i>A mere scheme or rule or method of performing mental act or</i>	Section
07.00.00.12	method of playing game is not an invention.	3(m)
	A mere scheme or rule or method of performing mental act or	
	method of playing game, are excluded from patentability, because they	
	are considered as outcome of mere mental process. For example,	
	a) Method of playing chess.	
	b) Method of teaching.	
00.02.05.42	c) Method of learning.	
09.03.05.13	A presentation of information is not an invention.	Section 3(n)
	Any manner, means or method of expressing information whether	
	visual, audible or tangible by words, codes, signals, symbols, diagrams	
	or any other mode of representation is not patentable. For example, a	
	speech instruction means in the form of printed text where horizontal	
	underlining indicated stress and vertical separating lines divided the	
	works into rhythmic groups is not patentable. For instance, railway	
09.03.05.14	time table, 100 years calendar etc.	Section 2(a)
09.05.05.14	Topography of integrated circuits is not an invention.	Section 3(o)
	Since protection of Layout Designs of Integrated Circuits is governed	
	separately under the Semiconductor Integrated Circuit Lay-out	
	Designs Act, 2000, three-dimensional configuration of the electronic	
	circuits used in microchips and semiconductor chips is not patentable.	
09.03.05.15	An invention which in effect, is traditional knowledge or which	Section 3(p)
	is an aggregation or duplication of known properties of	
	traditionally known component or components is not an	
	invention.	
	Traditional Knowledge, being knowledge already existing, is not	
	patentable. An example is the antiseptic properties of turmeric for	
	wound healing. Another example is the pesticidal and insecticidal	
	properties of neem.	
	The Examiner conducts investigation by using Traditional Knowledge	

	Digital Library (TKDL) and other resources to decide as to whether	
	the claimed subject matter falls within the purview of this provision.	
09.03.06	Information and undertaking regarding foreign applications	Section 8,
	<ul> <li>One of the requirement for the grant of a patent application and continuation of a patent is to provide information and undertaking regarding foreign applications in Form 3, in accordance with Section 8 of the Patents Act, 1970 and Rule 12 of the Patents (Amendment) Rule, 2003.</li> </ul>	Rule 12
	• No fee has been prescribed in the Act or Rules if submission is in	
	<ul> <li>accordance to the timelines as prescribed in rule 12.</li> <li>Similar provision, which is in accordance with TRIPS Agreement, is available in the laws of other countries like USA, China, EPO, Mexico, Phillipines etc.</li> </ul>	
	Provisions in Section 8: Filing of Information regarding	
	foreign applications:	
	<ul> <li>(1) The applicant shall file along with his application or within six months from the date of filing the application-</li> <li>(a) a statement setting out the name of the country where the application is being prosecuted, the serial number and date of filing of the application and such other particulars as may be prescribed; and</li> </ul>	
	(b) an undertaking that, up to the date of grant in India, he would keep the Controller informed in writing, from time to time, of details of the nature referred to in clause (a) in respect of every other application relating to the same or substantially the same invention, if any, filed in any country outside India subsequently to the filing of the statement referred to in the aforesaid clause, within the period of 6 months.	
	<ul> <li>The period of six months in case of an application corresponding to an international application in which India is designated shall be reckoned from the actual date on which the corresponding application is filed in India.</li> <li>For corresponding foreign applications filed after the filing of the statement and undertaking in form 3, the</li> </ul>	

period of six months shall be reckoned from the date of filing of the said corresponding foreign application.

(2) The Controller may also require the applicant to furnish, as far as may be available to the applicant, details relating to the objections, if any, taken to any such application as is referred to in sub-section (1) on the ground that the invention is lacking in novelty or patentability, the amendments effected in the specifications, the claims allowed in respect thereof and such other particulars as he may require, within six months from the date of such communication from the Controller.

Recent arrangement with WIPO regarding access to Patent Information

#### A. WIPO DIGITAL ACCESS SERVICE

The WIPO Digital Access Service (DAS) is an electronic system allowing priority documents and similar documents to be securely exchanged between participating intellectual property (IP) offices. This initiative makes the procedure simpler for the applicant, in that, instead of the tedious task of requesting documents from one country and then supplying them to his/her own country office, the DAS system allows for electronic exchange of documents directly between the offices. The documents are uploaded on a secure platform, by a participating office upon request by the applicant, and can then be accessed by a different participating office as required. This step further strengthens the data accessibility of priority documents in the Indian Patent Office.

On 12th March 2018, a public notice has been issued to the stakeholders informing the availability of the WIPO Digital Access Service under WIPO-India Cooperation Agreement.

## B. WIPO CENTRALIZED ACCESS TO SEARCH ANDEXAMINATION (WIPO CASE)

The WIPO CASE system enables patent offices to securely share search and examination documentation related to patent applications in order to facilitate work sharing programs. Offices can share their dossier information either directly through the WIPO CASE system or through the IP5 One Portal Dossier linkage system.

The rationale of joining WIPO CASE is based on the fact that the same patent applications are filed in multiple offices, patent examiners can increase the efficiency and quality of their work by sharing their examination results.

The Indian Patent Office started its operations as an Accessing Office under WIPO CASE from June 1, 2015 and commenced its operations as a Providing Office in February 2018. Thus, it has now access to search and examination reports of the corresponding applications filed in major patent offices.

#### • Legal jurisprudence:

Indian Courts while deciding the petitions on non-compliance of section 8 requirement by the patentee/applicant have analyzed the matter. The gist of rulings by the Courts is as under:

- the provision for revocation of patent under section 64(1) (m) on the ground of non-compliance of Section 8 should not be exercised solely and automatically just because it exists in the Act, as there lies a discretion in the Court not to revoke the patent on the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case. The said discretion exists by use of the word, "may" under Section 64 of the Act.
- It is also necessary to consider the question whether there was deliberate or willful suppression and whether the undisclosed information was "material" to the grant of the patent. The Court can decide the fate of revocation petition only after considering such issues at trial on the basis of evidence submitted.

[REFERENCES: (1) HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE LTD. VS. CIPLA (CS (OS) No.89/2008 and C.C. 52/2008, 07.09.2012, (II) Koninklijke Philips Electronics vs. Maj. (retd.) Sukesh Behl & anr (CS (OS) No. 2206 of 2012, 6-11-2013, (iii) Maj. (retd.) Sukesh behl & anr. Vs. Koninklijke philips electronics (FAO (OS) No.16 of 2014 (Division bench order)-

Appeal to the CS (OS) No. 2206 of 2012 (Single judge order) ,07.11.2014 and (iv) **FRESENIUS KABI ONCOLOGY LIMITED V. GLAXO GROUP LIMITED &ANR** (IPAB-ORA 17 of 2012/PT/KOL & M.P. Nos. 4 of 2013, 9 of 2013, 10 of 2013 & 49 of 2013; 27.07.2013)]

# • Guiding Principles to Patent Examiners and Controllers regarding requirement Under Section 8:

With the successful implementation of the WIPO CASE and WIPO Digital Access Service (DAS), and the legal jurisprudence evolved with respect to Section 8; the requirement of section 8 mandates shall be fulfilled by the Patent Office as under:

- 1. The examiner/Controller shall check whether the applicant has filed a statement to the effect that the stipulated documents are available in WIPO CASE and DAS, from where the Office can access the documents. If such a statement has not been filed, then the applicant is required to provide all relevant documents and/or information, without fail.
- 2. The examiner/Controller shall check and ascertain whether the priority documents and similar documents pertaining to the application being processed in the Indian Patent Office are available in the WIPO Digital Access Service (DAS). If such documents are available, further information with regards to priority may not be sought for, from the applicant.
- 3. The examiner/Controller shall utilise all the facilities available in WIPO CASE regarding processing of corresponding patent applications in other countries, including access to Search and Examination reports, and other information available with Patent Offices that are part of WIPO CASE (currently Australia, Brunei Darussalam, Canada, Chile, European Patent Office (EPO), India, Israel, Japan, New Zealand, Republic of Korea, Singapore, International Bureau of WIPO, United Kingdom, United States of America).
- Notwithstanding any of the steps mentioned above, the Controller may seek details as stipulated under Section 8(2) of the Patents Act, 1970 relating to the processing of the application in a country

	outside India, including but not limited to, Search and/or	
	examination reports, Claims of application allowed/ disallowed,	
	Amendments made, if any, etc. n other Patent offices.	
	5. Wherever, the Controller accepts fulfillment of section 8 and 138	
	requirements through the documents available in WIPO Case and	
	WIPO DAS, all such documents therefrom shall be uploaded in a	
	file wrapper by the office.	
09.03.07	Sufficiency of Disclosure	Section 10
	Sufficiency of disclosure is yet another aspect, which is checked by the	
	Examiner while examining a patent application. The Examiner will	
	look for whether:	
	a) the specification is properly titled.	
	b) the subject matter is fully and particularly described in the	
	specification.	
	c) the claims define the scope of the invention properly.	
	d) the Specification describes the best method of performing the	
	invention or not.	
	e) the source and geographical origin of the same has been	
	disclosed in the specification if the invention is related to	
	biological material and/or the biological material is used in the	
	invention,	
	f) approval obtained from National Biodiversity Authority, (NBA)	
	wherever applicable.	
	g) if the applicant mentions a biological material in the	
	specification which may not be described and if such material is	
	not available to the public, the application shall be completed by	
	depositing the material to international depository authority	
	under the Budapest treaty.	
	h) Accession Number and date of deposition of the material in the	
	depository institution along with name and address of the	
	depository authority shall be given, if applicable.	
09.03.08	Unity of Invention	Section
	1) The Claims of a Specification shall relate to a single inventive	10(5)
	concept. In case, an application comprises a plurality of inventive	
	concepts the examiner refers to the same in his report. The	
	concepts the examiner refers to the same in his report. The	

application may be divided in order to meet the objection of plurality of distinct inventions.

- 2) The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.
- Unity of invention between process and apparatus or means requires that the apparatus or means have been specifically designed for carrying out the process.
- 4) Independent claims of different categories may relate to a single inventive concept and may be allowed in one application, when they are linked to form a single inventive concept and are supported by the description.

### For example:

- a) Claims for a product and process specially adapted for manufacture of the product.
- b) Claims for a process and apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the process.
- c) A mould for casting an article, a method of making that mould, a process of casting the article by using the said mould will constitute a single invention.
- A locking system containing plug and socket wherein separate independent claims for a plug and socket may constitute a single inventive concept.
- e) A broadcasting system comprising transmitter and receiver.
- f) If an invention relates to a new type of spray bottle, claims may be directed to the spray bottle itself (a product) and a method of making the spray bottle (a process).
- g) In case of a genetically modified Gene Sequence/Amino Acid Sequence claims may be directed to a Gene sequence/ Amino Acid sequence, a method of expressing the sequence, an antibody against that protein/sequence, a kit containing

	such antibody/ sequence.	
	<ul> <li>h) In case of a drug or pharmaceutical product, claims may be directed to a drug or pharmaceutical product, a process of making the product, a composition containing the drug.</li> </ul>	
09.03.09	Report of Examiner	Section 3,
	<ol> <li>The examiner makes a report after carrying out detailed examination with respect to the following matters:</li> </ol>	4, 12, 13
	a) whether the application and the specification and other documents relating thereto are in accordance with the requirements of the Act and rules made thereunder;	
	<ul> <li>b) whether there is any lawful ground of objection to the grant of patent under the Act;</li> </ul>	
	c) the result of investigations under Section 13;	
	d) any other matter which may be prescribed.	
	2) The examiner prepares the report after conducting a prior art search	
	to ascertain the novelty, and examining as to whether the invention	
	disclosed in the specification is inventive and industrially applicable.	
	The Examiner also examines whether the invention belongs to one	
	of the categories of non-patentable inventions coming under	
	Section 3 and 4, and whether the application is in conformity with	
	all the provisions of the Act.	
09.04	Consideration of Report by Controller and issuance of First	Section
	statement of objection/ First Examination Report (FER)	14, 15, 18,
	1) The Controller considers the report of the examiner ordinarily	21, Rule
	within one month from the date of the receipt of such report and a	24B, 28, 28
	gist of objections, if any, is sent to the applicant in the form of a	А
	report-First Examination Report (FER)-along with the application	
	and specification, if required. If there is no objection to the grant of	
	patent and no pre-grant opposition under Section 25 (1) is pending,	
	the patent is granted at the earliest.	
	2) The FER is sent to the applicant, even when the request for examination has been filed by a person interested. An intimation	

	regarding the issue of FER is given to such person interested.	
3)	First Examination Report (FER) may contain office objections relating to:	
a)	Lack of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability.	
b)	Subject matter relating to a category, which falls within the purview of Sections 3 and 4.	
c)	Non-fulfillment of any other requirement under the Act & Rules.	
4)	The applicant is required to comply with all the requirements imposed upon him by the Act as communicated through FER. However, if applicant fails to respond to the FER within six months from the date of issuance of FER or within an extended period of 3 months, the application is deemed to have been abandoned under Section 21(1) of the Act. A communication to	
5)	that effect is sent to the applicant for information. The period of 6 months is extendable only once for a maximum period of three months, if requested in Form 4 within the prescribed period of 6 months, along with fees.	
6)	When the applicant re-files the documents within stipulated time, the application is examined in a fresh manner by the examiner, whereupon the examiner sends the report to the Controller with his observations. If it is found that the requirements of the Act and Rules have been met, the Controller grants a patent.	
7)	If the response/amendment(s) filed by the applicant do not satisfy the requirements laid down by the Act or if the applicant contests any of the objections communicated by the Controller to him, the Controller shall offer an opportunity of hearing and decide the case on merits.	
8)	Hearing may also be held through video-conferencing or audio- visual communication devices. Such hearing shall be deemed to have taken place at the appropriate office. Explanation.—For the purposes of this rule, the expression communication device shall have the same meaning as assigned to it in clause (ha) of sub-section (1) of section 2 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 (21 of 2000).	

	<ul> <li>D) In all cases of hearing, written submissions and the relevant documents, if any, shall be filed by the applicant within fifteen days from the date of hearing.</li> <li>0) After hearing the applicant, the Controller may specify or permit such amendment as he thinks fit and grant the patent.</li> <li>11) If the requirements of the Act and Rules are not complied with, the application is refused by the controller under Section 15 of the Act. A decision by the Controller for refusal of patent shall be a speaking order.</li> </ul>	
	2) No patent is refused without giving an opportunity of being heard under Section 14 of the Act.	
	13) An order of Controller for refusal of patent under Section 15 is appealable before the Intellectual Property Appellate Board.	
09.05	Post-dating of Application for Patent	
	<ul> <li>1) The application for patent may be post-dated to a date not later than six months from the date of filing of application for patent of on a request made by the applicant at any time before the grant of patent along with the prescribed fee[Section 17 (1)].</li> <li>a) Where such request is made before the examination of application, the same may be allowed and the examination shall be conducted with reference to the date so post-dated.</li> <li>b) Where such request is made after the issuance of First Examination Report, the same may be allowed subject to fresh examination with reference to the date so post-dated.</li> </ul>	4),
	2) If the application or specification (or drawings, if any) or any document is required to be amended under section 15 to comply with the requirements of the Act or the Rules, the Controller may direct that the application or specification or other documents related thereto be deemed to have been made on the date on which the requirements are complied with or the date on which it is re-filed after complying with the requirements [Section 17(2)]. While invoking the above provision, the controller shall direct to republish the application and examine it afresh with reference to	

the date of filing of such specification and documents.

- Regarding date of filing in case of Post-dating of application, the following should be kept in mind:
  - i) Applicant can file an application with Provisional Specification and then file Complete Specification within the prescribed period of 12 months. In this case, the date of filing of application with provisional specification will be the date of application.
  - ii) Applicant can file an application with Complete Specification and, thereafter, request to convert it into Provisional Specification within 12 months from the original filing date and file Complete Specification within 12 months from the original filing date. In this case, the date of filing of application will still be the original filing date [Section 9(3)].
- iii) After filing the complete specification, the applicant may cancel the provisional specification [i.e. the one filed directly under Section 9(1) or the one converted from a complete specification under Section 9(3)], and may request the Controller to post-date the application to the date of filing of the complete specification.
  In this case, the date of application will be the date on which such complete specification is filed [Section 9(4)].
- iv) Section 17 (1):Subject to the provisions of section 9, at any time after the filing of application and before the grant of patent, the Controller may, at the request of applicant made in the prescribed manner, direct that the application shall be post-dated to the maximum period of six months from the date of filing the application.

Therefore, the said period of six months as provided in section 17(1), shall be counted from the Date of application as stated above in (i), (ii) or (iii), as the case may be.

If postdating is allowed after publication of the application, the fact of postdating should be published in the Official Journal to specify a new date of filing.

09.06 P	re-Grant Opposition	Section
1)	Any person may file an opposition by way of representation (Pre- Grant Opposition)to the Controller in Form 7A against the grant of Patent, at the appropriate office, at any time after publication of patent application u/s 11A but before the grant of Patent on any of the grounds mentioned in Section 25(1) with a copy to the applicant.	11A, 25(1). Rule 55.
2)	The date of grant of Patent is the date on which the Controller orders the grant of patent in the file. For the purposes of Section 43(1) of the Patent Act, patent is 'granted' on the date on which the Controller passes a final order to that effect on the file.	
3)	If any pre-grant opposition is received after the grant of the patent, the Controller shall return the pre- grant opposition to the opponent and shall intimate such opponent about the fact of grant of the patent. If the opponent is a person interested, he may file a formal post grant opposition.	
4)	A patent is not granted before the expiry of six months from the date of publication under Section 11A. Therefore, a person may file a pre-grant opposition within the assured period of six months from the date of Publication, to make sure that the pre-grant opposition is filed before the grant of patent.	
5)	The representation shall include a statement and evidence, if any, in support of such representation and a request for hearing, if so desired.	
6)	The Controller shall consider the representation only after a Request for Examination for that Application has been filed.	
7)	Any pre-grant opposition, if available on record, is considered by the Controller along with the report of the Examiner.	
8)	On consideration of the representation, if the Controller is of the opinion that pre-grant opposition has merit and the application shall be refused or amended, a notice is given to the applicant.	
9)		

	of his application within three months from the date of the notice,	
	with a copy to the opponent.	
	10) On consideration of the statement and evidence filed by the	
	applicant, the representation including the statement and evidence	
	filed by the opponent, submissions made by the parties, and after	
	hearing the parties, if so requested, the Controller may either reject	
	the representation or require the complete specification and other	
	documents to be amended to his satisfaction before the patent is	
	granted or refuse to grant a patent on the application, by passing a	
	speaking order under Section 15, to simultaneously decide on the	
	application and the representation, ordinarily within one month	
	from the completion of above proceedings.	
	In the matter of Neon Laboratories Pvt. Ltd. vs. Troikaa Pharma	
	Limited and Ors. (Writ Petition No. 211 of 2010), the Bombay	
	High Court held that "When the law consciously confers a right on a	
	person that right must be protected in the way it has been granted."	
	"Section 25(1) of Patents(Amendment) Act and Rule 55 of Patent	
	Rules contemplate that, if the original claim/application for grant of	
	patent is amended and the amendments are opposed, then, a personal	
	hearing to the objector on the amended claims is required to be given	
	if specifically requested"	
09.07	Grant of Patent	
09.07.01	Compliance of conditions under the Act	Section 43,
	A Patent is granted as expeditiously as possible when,	Rule 74
	i. the application has not been refused by the Controller by virtue	
	of any power vested in him by this Actor Rules, or	
	ii. the application has not been found to be in contravention of	
	any of the provisions of the Act or Rules;	
	The date of grant of patent is the date on which the patent is granted by	
	the Controller. The date on which the patent is granted shall be entered	
	in the register. The fact that the patent has been granted is published in	
	the official journal of the Patent Office.	
	As the Patent Office has moved to electronic processing, the fact of	
	grant of patent by the Controller, Patent Number is reflected on the	
	1	

	official website on real time basis. The patent certificate is also made	
	available in the website.	
00.07.00		0
09.07.02	Consequences of grant	Section 43,
	1) On the grant of patent, every patent is allotted a serial number by	45, 146 (2)
	the electronic system. A Certificate of Patent is generated in the	Rule 74-A,
	prescribed format and an entry in the e-register is made	131(Form-
	simultaneously. In the present electronic system, the date of recordal	27)
	of Patent in the Register of Patents is the same as the date of grant	
	of Patent by the Controller.	
	2) The complete specification as granted is made available to public	
	through official website.	
	3) The application, specification and other related documents are	
	open for public inspection on payment of prescribed fee.	
	<ul><li>4) On grant of patent, the patentee is required to pay the accumulated</li></ul>	
	fee within 3 months from the date of recordal of patent in the	
	Register of Patents, which is now the same as the date of grant of	
	patent. The said period is extendable by six months, provided the	
	request is made before the expiry of extendable period.	
	5) Post-grant opposition can be filed under section 25(2) by any	
	person interested, within one year from the date of publication of	
	grant.	
	6) Every patentee and licensee has to furnish a statement regarding	
	working of the patented invention on commercial scale in India at	
	regular intervals (not less than six months) in the prescribed	
	format.	
09.07.03	Date of Patent	Section 45
	1) The date of Patent is the date of filing of the Application.	7(1-B)
	However, in case of a PCT National Phase application, the date of	
	patent is the date of filing of the PCT international application.	
	2) Notwithstanding anything contained in this section, no suit or	
	other proceeding shall be commenced or prosecuted in respect of	
	an infringement committed before the date of publication of the	
	application.	
	However, on and from the date of publication of the application for	
	patent and until the date of grant of a patent in respect of such	

	T	application the applicant shall have the life privileges and rights -	
		application, the applicant shall have the like privileges and rights as	
		if a patent for the invention had been granted on the date of	
		publication of the application.	
09.07.04	Co	onditions subject to which Patent is granted	Section 47
	1)	any machine, apparatus or other article in respect of which the	
		patent is granted or any article made by using a process in respect	
		of which the patent is granted, may be imported or made by or on	
		behalf of the government for the purpose merely of its own use;	
	2)	any process in respect of which the patent is granted may be used	
		by or on behalf of the government for the purpose merely of its	
	2)	own use;	
	3)	any machine, apparatus or other article in respect of which the	
		patent is granted or any article made by the use of the process in	
		respect of which the patent is granted, may be made or used, and	
		any process in respect of which the patent is granted may be used,	
		by any person, for the purpose merely of experiment or research	
		including the imparting of instructions to pupils; and	
	4)	in the case of a patent in respect of any medicine or drug, the	
		medicine or drug may be imported by the government for the	
		purpose merely of its own use or for distribution in any	
		dispensary, hospital or other medical institution maintained by or	
		on behalf of the government or any other dispensary, hospital or	
		medical institution which the Central Government may, having	
		regard to the public service that such dispensary, hospital or	
		medical institution renders, specify in this behalf by notification in	
		the Official Gazette.	
09.07.05	Ri	ghts of Patentee	Section 48
	1)	In case of a patented product, the patentee shall have the exclusive	
		right to prevent third parties from the act of making, using,	
		offering for sale, selling or importing for those purposes that	
		product in India.	
	2)	In case of a patented process, the patentee shall have the exclusive	
		right to prevent third parties from the act of using that process, and	
		from the act of using, offering for sale, selling or importing for	
		those purposes the product obtained directly by that process in	
·	1		

	India.	
09.07.06	Rights of co-owners	Section 50
	<ol> <li>Where a patent is granted to two or more persons, each of those persons shall, unless an agreement to the contrary is in force, be entitled to an equal undivided share in the patent.</li> </ol>	
	2) Subject to the provisions contained in this section and in Section 51, where two or more persons are registered as grantee or proprietor of a patent, then, unless an agreement to the contrary is in force, each of those persons shall be entitled, by himself or his agents, to the rights conferred by Section 48 for his own benefit without accounting to the other person or persons.	
	3) Subject to the provisions contained in this section and in section 51 and to any agreement for the time being in force, where two or more persons are registered as grantee or proprietor of a patent, then, a licence under the patent shall not be granted and a share in the patent shall not be assigned by one of such persons except with the consent of the other person or persons.	
	4) Where a patented article is sold by one of two or more persons registered as grantee or proprietor of a patent, the purchaser and any person claiming through him shall be entitled to deal with the article in the same manner as if the article had been sold by a sole patentee.	
	5) Subject to the provisions contained in this section, the rules of law applicable to ownership and devolution of movable property generally, shall apply in relation to patents, and nothing contained in sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) of section 50 shall affect the mutual rights or obligations of trustees or of the legal representatives of a deceased person or their rights or obligations as such.	
	<ol> <li>Nothing under section 50 shall affect the rights of the assignees of partial interest in a patent created before commencement of this Act.</li> </ol>	
09.07.07	Term of Patent	Section 53
	The term of Patent is 20 years from the date of filing of application in	

respect of all the patents, including those for which the term had not
expired on 20th May, 2003, when the Patent (Amendment) Act, 2002
came into force, provided that the renewal fee is paid every year
before the due date or within the extended period (maximum six
months).

# Chapter - 10: Post Grant Opposition

10.01	Post-grant Opposition	Section
	1) Any person interested can give a notice of opposition against	2(1)(t),
	the grant of Patent in Form 7at the appropriate Office, any time	25(2). Rule
	after the grant but within one year from the date of publication	55A, 60,
	of grant of patent.	126, 127.
	2) The opponent shall, along with the notice of opposition, send a written statement setting out the nature of opponent's interest, the facts upon which he bases his case and relief which he seeks and evidence, if any.	Form 7.
	<ol> <li>The post-grant opposition can be filed on any of the grounds as mentioned in Section 25(2), but on no other grounds.</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>After receipt of the notice of opposition, the Controller shall notify the patentee about the fact of receipt of such notice, without any delay.</li> </ol>	
	<ol> <li>A copy of the statement and evidence, if any, shall also be delivered to the patentee by the opponent.</li> </ol>	
	6) If the patentee desires to contest the opposition, he shall file a reply statement setting out fully the grounds upon which the opposition is contested and evidence, if any, in support of his case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the copy of opponent's written statement and evidence, if any, and also deliver a copy to the opponent.	
	7) If the patentee does not desire to contest or does not file his reply and evidence within two months, the patent shall be deemed to have been abandoned and the Controller shall issue the order of revocation of Patent and the fact of revocation shall be entered in the register of patents.	
	8) After receipt of reply from the patentee, the opponent may file his evidence in reply within one month from the date of delivery to him of a copy of the patentee's reply statement and evidence. The evidence in reply by the opponent shall be strictly confined to the matters in the patentee's evidence. The opponent shall also deliver a	

	copy of his reply evidence to the patentee.	
	9) No further evidence shall be delivered by either party, except with	
	the leave or direction of Controller.	
	10) Further evidence can be filed by either party if the same is prayed	
	before the hearing is fixed by the Controller under rule 62,	
	whereupon the Controller shall dispose of the petition by either	
	allowing or rejecting it.	
	11) Where a specification or other document in a language other than	
	English is referred to in the notice of opposition or any statement	
	or evidence, an attested translation thereof in English should be	
	furnished along with such notice, statement or evidence, as the case	
	may be.	
	12) Evidence shall be filed on affidavits as required under Rule 126.	
	13) Exhibits shall be filed as required under Rule 127.	
10.02	Constitution of Opposition Board	Section
	1) After receipt of a notice of opposition, an Opposition Board is	25(3)
	constituted by the Controller, by order, to examine such notice	Rule 56,
	including all documents filed under rule 57-60 in connection with the	57, 58, 59,
	opposition by the opponent as well as patentee.	60
	2) The Opposition Board consists of three members with one of them	
	as Chairman.	
	3) The Examiner may be a member of the Board. However, the	
	Examiner who has dealt with the application for patent during the	
	prosecution proceedings for grant of patent thereon shall not be	
	included as a member of the Board.	
	4. The Board shall submit the report with reasons on each ground taken	
	in the notice of opposition, after examining the notice along with	
	all statements, documents and evidence submitted by the parties as a	
	joint recommendation within three months from the date on which	
	all such documents were forwarded to them.	
	5. A copy of the recommendation of opposition board should be	
	provided to the parties to the proceedings by the Controller along	
	with the hearing notice.	

10.03	He	earing	Rule 62
	1)	On completion of the presentation of evidence, if any, and after	
		receiving the recommendation of Opposition Board, the Controller	
		shall fix a date and time for the hearing of opposition and	
		inform the parties at least ten days in advance.	
	2)	On receipt of the notice of hearing, if either party desires to be	
		heard, he shall inform the Controller by a notice along with the prescribed fee.	
	3)	The Controller may require the members of Opposition Board to be	
	5)	present in the hearing	
	4)	The Controller may refuse to hear any party, which has not given	
		such notice and not paid the prescribed fee.	
	5)	If either party intends to rely on any Publication at the hearing not	
		already mentioned in the notice of opposition, statement or	
		evidence, he shall give to the other party and to the Controller a	
		notice of his intention to do so, together with details of such	
		publication. Such notice shall be given at least five days before the	
		date of hearing.	
	6)	After hearing the party or parties desirous of being heard, or if	
		neither party desires to be heard, then without a hearing and after	
		taking into consideration the recommendation of Opposition	
		Board, the Controller shall decide the opposition, i.e. revoke the	
		patent or order amendments in the Patent or refuse the opposition	
		by issuing a speaking order accordingly.	
	7)	If amendment of specification or any other document is ordered by	
		the Controller, the patentee shall submit such amended documents	
		to the office within a reasonable time, as directed by the Controller.	

# Chapter 11: Post-grant procedures

11.01	i.	Maintenance of Patent – Renewal:	Section 53,
		To keep a patent in force, the renewal fees shall be payable at the	55(2), 142
		expiration of the second year from the date of the patent or of any	(4).
		succeeding year and the same shall be remitted to the Patent Office	Rule 80.
		before the expiration of the second or the succeeding year.	Form-4.
	ii.	Further, renewal of a patent can be done beyond the due date in	
		the extended period of six months from the due date by filing	
		Form-4 along with the prescribed fee.	
	 111.	Where a patent is granted later than two years from the date of	
		filing of the application, the fees which have become due in	
		the meantime shall be paid within a period of three months from	
		the date of recording of the patent in the Register of Patents. If a	
		patent is granted before the expiry of two years from the date of	
		filing of application, the first renewal fee becomes due in respect of	
		the third year. However, the same shall be paid before the expiry of	
		second year. First Renewal fee for a patent becomes due in respect	
		of the third year counted from the date of filing of application for	
		patent. However, the renewal fee for third year has to be paid	
		before the expiry of second year. For clarification, the renewal fee	
		may be paid before the expiration of the fourth year from the date	
		of Patent for the fifth year and so on.	
	iv.	While paying the renewal fee, the patentee shall quote the correct	
		patent number and the year in respect of which the renewal fee is	
		being paid. Any mistake in the above said particulars may lead to a	
		lapse of patent.	
	v.	A renewal fee is not required to be paid in respect of a patent of	
		addition. However, if any such patent becomes an independent	
		patent the same fees shall thereafter be payable.	
	vi.	Annual renewal fee can be paid for more than one year in advance.	
11.02	Re	storation of Lapsed Patents	Section 60.
	1)	When a Patent has ceased to have effect due to non-	Rule 84,
		payment of renewal fees within the prescribed time, the Patent may	94. Form-
		be restored by filing an application for restoration in Form-15	15.

		within eighteen months from the date on which the patent ceased	
		to have effect. Such application for restoration can be made by the	
		patentee/assignee, or his legal representative and in case of joint	
		applicants, then, with the leave of the Controller, any one or more	
		of them without joining the others.	
	2)	The applicant has to state, the circumstances which led to the	
		failure of payment of renewal fees. The application must include a	
		statement fully setting out such circumstances that led to	
		the failure to pay the renewal fee. This statement is to be supported	
		by evidence along with copies of relevant documents.	
	3)	The evidence must support the patentee's claim that the failure to	
		pay was unintentional and there has been no undue delay in	
		applying for the restoration.	
	4)	The Controller may call for further evidence to justify that the	
		failure to pay was unintentional and that there has been no undue	
		delay for making the application.	
	5)	If a patentee has failed to register a change of name or new address	
		of service before cessation, he shall first apply under Rule 94 for	
		such alteration(s) in the register. If he changed his name after	
		cessation he must prove his identity. In both cases he must draw	
		and sign the application in his new name but in the latter case must	
		add 'formerly known as' to his identification.	
11.02.01	Pro	ocedure for disposal of application for Restoration	Section 61,
	1)	When the Controller is prima facie satisfied after verification of	62. Rule
		evidence submitted in support of Form 15 that the failure to pay	84, 85, 86.
		the renewal fee was unintentional and there had been no undue	Form-14,
		delay, the application for restoration will be published in the official	15.
		journal under rule 84(3).	
	2)	If the Controller is satisfied that prima facie case for restoration has	
		not been made, the Controller may issue a notice to the applicant to	
		that effect. Within one month from the date of notice, if the	
		applicant makes a request to be heard on the matter, a hearing shall	
		be given and the restoration application may be disposed. If no	
		request for hearing is received within one month from the date of	
	I		

notice by the Controller, the application for restoration will be refused. In case of rejection of the application for restoration, a speaking order shall be issued.

- 3) Any person interested may give notice of opposition in Form 14, in the prescribed manner, to the application for restoration within two months of the date of publication in the official journal on the grounds that the failure to pay the renewal fee was not unintentional or that there has been undue delay in the making of the application.
- 4) The notice of opposition shall include a statement setting out the nature of the opponent's interest, the grounds of opposition and the facts relied upon. The notice of opposition shall be sent to the applicant by the Controller.
- 5) The procedure specified in rules 57 to 63 for post grant opposition relating to filing of written statement, reply statement, reply evidence, hearing and cost shall apply in this case, except the procedural part related to Opposition Board provided under rule 62 (1) and 62 (5).
- 6) When no opposition is received within a period of two months from the date of publication of application for restoration, or opposition, if any, is disposed of in favour of the applicant for restoration, the Controller shall issue an order allowing the application for restoration. The unpaid renewal fee and the additional fee, as mentioned in the first schedule, shall be paid within one month from the date of order of the Controller.
- 7) The fact that a patent has been restored shall be published in the official journal.
- 8) To protect the persons who have begun to use the applicant's invention between the date when the patent ceased to have effect and the date of publication of the application for restoration, every order for restoration shall include the provisions and other conditions, as the Controller may impose for protection and compensation of above-mentioned persons. No suit or other proceeding shall be commenced or prosecuted in respect of an infringement of a patent committed between the date on which the

			1
		Patent ceased to have effect and the date of the Publication of the	
		Application for restoration of the patent.	
11.03	Re	gistration of Assignments/Transfer of Right	Section 68
	1)	An assignment of a patent or of a share in a patent, a mortgage,	69.
		licence or the creation of any other interest in a patent shall be valid	Rule 90,
		only if the same were in writing and the agreement between the	91, 92.
		parties concerned is reduced to the form of a document embodying	Form-16.
		all the terms and conditions governing their rights and obligations	
		and has been duly executed.	
	2)	Any person who becomes entitled by assignment, transmission or	
		operation of law to a patent or to a share in patent or becomes	
		entitled as a mortgagee, licensee or otherwise to any other interest in	
		a patent, may apply in writing in Form-16 to the Controller for the	
		registration of his title or notice of his interest in the register, as the	
		case may be. Such an application can also be made by the assignor,	
		mortgagor, licensor or other party as the case may be. Provided that	
		in the case of a license granted under a patent, the Controller shall,	
		if so requested by the patentee or licensee, take steps for securing	
		that the terms of the license are not disclosed to any person except	
		under the order of a court.	
	3)	Where such application is made for the registration of title or notice	
		of interest of any person, the Controller, upon proof of title or	
		interest to his satisfaction, shall enter in the register such particulars	
		as are appropriate.	
	4)	If there is any dispute between the parties, the Controller may refuse	
		to take any action to make an entry in the register until the rights of	
		the parties have been determined by a competent court.	
	5)	Except for the purpose of making an application for registration of	
		right, title or interest in the register of patents, or for an	
		application for rectification of the register of patents in the	
		Appellate Board, a document in respect of which no entry has been	
		made in the register, shall not be admitted by the Controller or by	
		any court as evidence of the title of any person to a patent or to a	
		share or interest therein unless the Controller or the court, for	

		1
	reasons to be recorded in writing, otherwise directs.	
	6) If requested by the patentee / licensee, the terms of the license shall	
	be kept confidential and not disclosed to any person, except under	
	the order of Court.	
	7) Every assignment and every other document giving effect to or	
	being evidence of the transfer of a patent or affecting the	
	proprietorship thereof or creating an interest therein as claimed in	
	such application, shall, unless the Controller otherwise directs, be	
	presented to him together with the application which shall be	
	accompanied by a copy (scanned) of the assignment or other	
	document certified to be true copies by the applicant or his agent	
	and the Controller may call for such other proof of title or written	
	consent as he may require.	
11.04	Surrender Of Patents	Section 63.
	1) The patentee may at any time offer to surrender his patent through	Rules 57-
	an application on plain paper. On receipt of such an offer, the	63 and 87.
	Controller shall publish the offer in the Official Journal and also	Form-14.
	notifies every person (other than the patentee) whose name appears	
	in the register as having an interest in the patent.	
	2) An opposition against the offer to surrender the patent may be filed	
	by any person interested in Form 14 within 3 months from the date	
	of publication of such offer in the Official Journal. The Controller	
	shall inform the Patentee on receipt of such notice.	
	3) The procedure relating to filing of written statement, reply	
	statement, leaving evidence, hearing and cost of the opposition is	
	similar to that of post-grant opposition as per Rules 57-63, except	
	the procedural part related to Opposition Board included under rule	
	62 (1) and 62 (5).	
	4) In case, the Controller accepts the patentee's offer to surrender the	
	patent, he may revoke it and publish such revocation in the official	
	jou <del>r</del> nal.	
11.05	Working of Patents	Section 83,
	1) Patents are granted to encourage inventions and to secure that the	146. Rule
	inventions are worked in India on a commercial scale and to the	131(2)

	fullest extent that is reasonably practicable without undue delay.	Form-27
	2) The Controller has the power to call for the information such as	
	periodical statements as to the extent to which the patented	
	invention has been commercially worked in India, as may be	
	specified in the notice issued to that effect at any time during the	
	continuance of the Patent.	
	3) A patentee or a licensee shall furnish such information within two	
	months from the date of such notice or within such further time as	
	the Controller may allow.	
	<ul><li>4) The patentee and every licensee shall furnish a statement as to the</li></ul>	
	extent to which the patented invention has been worked on a	
	commercial scale in India, in Form 27, in respect of every calendar	
11.00	year, within three months of the end of each year.	<u>с і г</u> л
11.06	Amendments after the grant of patents	Section 57,
	1) After the grant of patent, the patentee may apply for an amendment	59.
	of the application for patent, complete specification or any	Rules 57-
	document relating thereto subject to such conditions, if	63and 81-
	any, and as the Controller thinks fit. Such a request may be filed in	83. Form-
	Form-13 with the prescribed fee.	13, 14.
	2) An amendment of a complete specification may be, or include, an	
	amendment of the priority date of a claim, as per Section 57 (5).	
	3) The request shall state the nature of the proposed	
	amendment, highlighted in an annexed copy along with the reasons.	
	The amendments are allowable only by way of disclaimer, correction	
	or explanation. Such amendments shall be for the purpose of	
	incorporation of actual fact only. Further, no amendment of a	
	complete specification shall be allowed the effect of which would be	
	that the specification as amended would claim or describe matter	
	not in substance disclosed or shown in the specification	
	before the amendment, or the amended claim(s) do not fall wholly	
	within the scope of claim(s) of the specification before the amendment.	
	4) If the nature of proposed amendment is substantive, the application	
	for amendment shall be published. For instance, any application for	
	amending the complete specification or the claims or the application	

<b></b>	1		
		for patent shall be published.	
	5)	The amended pages have to be filed by the applicant.	
	6)	Any person interested may give a notice of opposition in Form-14	
		within three months from the date of publication of the application	
		for amendment. Where such a notice of opposition is filed, the	
		Controller shall notify the applicant for amendment.	
	7)	After giving an opportunity to the applicant and opponent, if any,	
		the Controller shall dispose of the case. The procedure specified in	
		rules 57 to 63 for post grant opposition for filing of written	
		statement, reply statement, reply evidence, hearing and costs shall	
		apply in this case, except the procedural part related to Opposition	
		Board included under rule 62 (1) and 62 (5).	
	8)	Amendments allowed after the grant of patent shall be published.	
	9)	A leave to amend the complete specification obtained by fraud is a	
		ground for revocation of patent under Section 64(1)(o).	
	10)	If any suit for infringement is pending before a Court or any	
		proceeding for revocation of the Patent is pending before the High	
		Court, the Controller shall not pass any order allowing or refusing	
		the application for amendment.	
11.07	Am	endment of patent granted to deceased applicant/ceased body	Section 44
	cor	porate.	Dulo 75
	1)	Where, at any time after a patent has been granted in pursuance of	Rule 75.
		an application under this Act, the Controller is satisfied that the	Form-10,.
		person to whom the patent was granted had died before the patent	
		was granted, the Controller may amend the patent by substituting	
		for the name of that person the name of the person to whom the	
		patent ought to have been granted, and the patent shall have effect,	
		and shall be deemed always to have had effect, accordingly.	
	2)	Similar procedure is to be followed in case of a body corporate	
		ceases to exist.	
		An application for above said amendment of a patent is to be made	
		in Form 10 along with substantiating evidence. Upon such	
		application, the Controller may amend the patent with the name of	
		such claimant by substituting the name of the deceased	
		applicant/the ceased body corporate.	
		· ·	

11.08	Register of Patents	Section 67.
	<ol> <li>Patent Office maintains the Register of Patents in electron which is under the control and management of the Con Patents and the same is also available on the office web Register of Patents.</li> </ol>	troller of 93, 94.
	<ol> <li>E-register of patents contains details about the grantee/ notifications in respect of assignments, transmissions of licenses under patents, and amendments, extension and rev of patents.</li> </ol>	f patents,
	3) A copy of, or extracts from, the register of patents, certified true copy under the hand of the Controller or any off authorized by the Controller is admissible in evidence in proceedings.	ficer duly
	4) Upon the grant of a patent, the Controller shall enter in the of patents, the name, address and nationality of the grant patentee thereof, the title of the invention (including the c to which the invention relates), the date of the patent and of grant thereof together with the address for service patentee. The fact of payment of renewal fee shall also be in the E-register. The Register of patents also contains p regarding proceedings under the Act, before the Control the Courts in respect of every patent.	tee as the categories I the date e of the e entered particulars
	<ul> <li>5) An application for alteration of name, nationality, ad address for service as entered in the register of patents made to the Controller in respect of any Patent. The C may require such proof of the alteration as he may think the acting on the request. If the Controller allows such a entries in the e-Register are altered accordingly.</li> </ul>	s may be Controller fit before
	6) If a patentee makes a request in writing along with the patentee fees for entering an additional address for service in India Controller is satisfied that the request shall be allow additional address shall be entered in the e-Register.	a and the
	<ol> <li>Entries in the register for each patent are available to the p the official website through e-Register of Patents.</li> </ol>	public on

11.09	Ree	ctification of Register of Patents	Section 71
	1)	An application for rectification of register of patents may be made	
		to the Intellectual Property Appellate Board (IPAB) by any person	
		aggrieved:	
		a) by the absence or omission from the register of any entry; or	
		b) by any entry made in the register without sufficient cause; or	
		c) by any entry wrongly remaining on the register; or	
		d) by any error or defect in any entry in the register.	
	2)	Notice of such application made before the IPAB is given to the	
		Controller, who is entitled to be heard on the application. Besides,	
		if so ordered, the Controller shall appear before the IPAB.	
	3)	If IPAB passes any order rectifying the register, a notice of	
		rectification is served upon the Controller, who, upon such receipt,	
		shall rectify the register.	

### Chapter-12: Appeals

12.01	Appellate Board and Appeals	
12.01.01	Intellectual Property Appellate Board (IPAB)	Section
	Intellectual Property Appellate Board (IPAB), established under	116,
	Section 83 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999, is the Appellate Board for the	117A(2)
	purposes of the Patents Act, 1970. An appeal lies to the Appellate	
	Board from any decision, order or directions of the Controller or of the	
	Central Government passed under the provisions mentioned in Section	
	117A (2) only, and not against any other decision or direction.	
12.01.02	Appeals	
	<ul> <li>a) No appeal to IPAB shall lie from any order or direction of the Central Government, or of the Controller, except in case of orders/decisions, issued by the Controller or Central Government under Sections 15, 16,17, 18, 19, 20, 25(4), 28, 51, 54, 57, 60, 61, 63, 66, 69(3), 78,84(1) to (5), 85, 88, 91, 92 and 94 of the Act.</li> </ul>	Section 117A(2), 81.
	<ul><li>b) No appeal lies from an Order of the Controller granting extension of time when such extension is provided in any provision of the Act or of the rules made thereunder.</li></ul>	
12.02	Appeal procedure	Section
	<ul> <li>a. Every appeal from the decision of the Controller under relevant sections as mentioned in Section 117A (2) shall be accompanied by the certified copy of the decision, order or direction appealed against. Such application shall be filed in the form and fee prescribed under the IPAB Rules , 2011.</li> </ul>	117E, 117F.
	<ul><li>b. Every appeal should be made within three months from the date of the decision, order or direction of the Controller or of the Central Government, as the case may be, or within such further time as the IPAB may allow in accordance with the Rules.</li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>c. The Controller shall have the right to appear and be heard-</li> <li>i. in any legal proceedings before the IPAB in which the relief sought includes alteration or rectification of the register or in which any question relating to the practice of the patent office is raised;</li> </ul>	

ii. in ar	ny appeal to the IPAB from an order of the Controller on
an a	pplication for patent—
А. В.	which is not opposed and the application is either refused by the Controller or accepted for grant by him subject to any amendments, modifications, conditions or limitations, or which has been opposed and the Controller considers that his apparentes is possessery in the public
	considers that his appearance is necessary in the public interest.
d. The Cont	croller shall appear in any case, if so directed by the IPAB.
e. The Contr	roller may, in lieu of appearing, unless the IPAB otherwise
directs, s	ubmit a statement in writing signed by him, giving such
particular	rs as he thinks proper, of the proceedings before him
relating t	to the matter in issue or of the grounds of any decision
given by	him or of the practice of the patent office in like cases, or
of other	matters relevant to the issues and, within his knowledge as
the Cont	roller, may deem it necessary, and such statement shall
be evide	nce in the proceedings.

### Chapter-13: Revocation of Patent

13.01	Revocation of Patent	
13.01.01	Revocation before the High Court or Appellate Board	Section 64,
	a. Any person interested or the Central Government may make a petition	99
	on any of the grounds, specified for revocation of Patent under	
	Section 64 of the Patents Act, before the IPAB. A Patent may also be	
	revoked by the High Court on a counter-claim in a suit for	
	infringement of patent.	
	b. Grounds for revocation of the patent before the IPAB as well as the	
	High Court are elaborated in Section 64.	
	c. Without prejudice to the provisions contained in (a) above, a patent	
	may be revoked by the High Court on the petition of the Central	
	Government, if the High Court is satisfied that the patentee has	
	without reasonable cause failed to comply with the request of the	
	Central Government to make, use or exercise the patented invention	
	for the purposes of government within the meaning of Section 99	
	upon reasonable terms.	
13.01.02	Revocation by the Controller on direction of the Central	Section 65
	Government	
	a. Where at any time after grant of a patent, the Central Government is	
	satisfied that a patent is for an invention relating to atomic	
	energy for which no patent can be granted under sub-section (1) of	
	section 20 of the Atomic Energy Act, 1962 (33 of 1962), it may direct	
	the Controller to revoke the patent, and thereupon the Controller,	
	after giving notice, to the patentee and every other person whose	
	name has been entered in the register of patents as having an interest	
	in the patent, and after giving them an opportunity of being heard,	
	may revoke the patent.	
	b. In such proceedings, the Controller may allow the patentee to amend	
	the complete specification in such manner as he considers necessary	
	instead of revoking the patent.	
13.01.03	Revocation by the Central Government in public interest	Section 66
	Where the Central Government is of opinion that a patent or the mode in	
	which it is exercised is mischievous to the State or generally prejudicial to	

	the public, it may, after giving the patentee an opportunity to be heard,	
	make a declaration to that effect in the Official Gazette and thereupon	
	the patent shall be deemed to be revoked.	
13.01.04	Revocation by Controller for non-working	Section 85
	<ul> <li>a. Where, in respect of a patent, a compulsory license has been granted, the Central Government or any person interested may, after the expiration of two years from the date of the order granting the first compulsory license, apply to the Controller for an order revoking the patent on the ground: <ol> <li>that the patented invention has not been worked in the territory of India, or</li> <li>that reasonable requirements of the public with respect to the patented invention have not been satisfied, or</li> <li>that the patented invention is not available to the public at a reasonably affordable price.</li> </ol> </li> <li>b. Such an application shall contain such particulars, as may be prescribed, the facts upon which the application is based and, in the case of an application other than the one made by the Central Government, it shall also set out the nature of the applicant's interest.</li> <li>c. Such applications shall ordinarily be decided within one year from the date of presentation to the Controller.</li> </ul>	

14.01	Working of patents - General principles	Section 83
	a. Patents are granted to encourage inventions and to secure that the	
	inventions are worked in India on a commercial scale and to the	
	fullest extent that is reasonably practicable without undue delay.	
	b. Patents are not granted merely to enable patentees to enjoy a	
	monopoly for the importation of the patented article.	
	c. The protection and enforcement of Patent rights contribute to the	
	promotion of technological innovation and to the transfer and	
	dissemination of technology, to the mutual advantage of producers	
	and users of technological knowledge and in a manner conducive to	
	social and economic welfare, and to a balance of rights and	
	obligations.	
	d. Patents granted do not impede protection of public health and	
	nutrition and should act as instrument to promote public interest	
	especially in sectors of vital importance for socio-economic and	
	technological development of India.	
	e. Patents granted do not in any way prohibit Central Government in	
	taking measures to protect public health.	
	f. The Patent right shall not be abused by the patentee or person deriving	
	title or interest in patent from the patentee, and the patentee or a	
	person deriving title or interest in patent from the patentee does not	
	resort to practices which unreasonably restrain trade or adversely	
	affect the international transfer of technology.	
	g. Patents are granted to make the benefit of the patented invention	
	available at reasonably affordable prices to the public.	
14.02	Compulsory License	
	An application for grant of a compulsory license may be made under the	
	following provisions:	
	a. Section 84.	
	b. Section 92.	
	c. Section 92A.	
14.02.01	Compulsory License under Section 84	Section 84
	a. Any person interested may make an application to the Controller for	Form-17
	grant of Compulsory License for a patent after the expiry of three	

ſ	years from the date of grant of the patent on the following grounds:	
	<ul> <li>years from the date of grant of the patent on the following grounds: <ol> <li>that the reasonable requirements of public with respect to the patented invention have not been satisfied, or</li> <li>that the patented invention is not available to the public at reasonably affordable price, or</li> <li>that the patented invention is not worked in the territory of India.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Such an application may also be made by the licensee.</li> <li>b. No person shall be stopped from alleging the grounds i-iii above by reason of any admission made by him in the licence or otherwise or by reason of his having accepted such a licence.</li> <li>c. In considering such an application, the Controller shall take into account- <ol> <li>the nature of the invention, the time which has elapsed since the sealing of the patent and the measures already taken by the</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>patentee or any licensee to make full use of the invention;</li> <li>ii. the ability of the applicant to work the invention to the public advantage;</li> <li>iii. the capacity of the applicant to undertake the risk in providing capital and working the invention, if the</li> </ul>	
	application were granted; iv. as to whether the applicant has made efforts to obtain a license from the patentee on reasonable terms and conditions and such efforts have not been successful within a reasonable period as the Controller may deem fit. Reasonable period shall be construed as a period not ordinarily exceeding a period of six months. However, these circumstances shall not be applicable in case of national emergency or other circumstances of extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use or on	
	establishment of a ground of anti-competitive practices adopted by the patentee, but shall not be required to take into account matters subsequent to the making of the application.	
14.02.01.01	Reasonable requirements of the public The reasonable requirements of the public shall be deemed not to have been satisfied—	Section 84(7)

	I. if, by reason of the refusal of the patentee to grant a licence or	
	licences on reasonable terms,—	
	i. an existing trade or industry or the development thereof or	
	the establishment of any new trade or industry in India or the	
	trade or industry in India or the trade or industry of any person	
	or class of persons trading or manufacturing in India is	
	prejudiced; or	
	ii. the demand for the patented article has not been met to an	
	adequate extent or on reasonable terms; or	
	iii. a market for export of the patented article manufactured in	
	India is not being supplied or developed; or	
	iv. the establishment or development of commercial activities	
	in India is prejudiced; or	
	II. if, by reason of conditions imposed by the patentee upon the grant of	
	licences under the patent or upon the purchase, hire or use of the	
	patented article or process, the manufacture, use or sale of	
	materials not protected by the patent, or the establishment or	
	development of any trade or industry in India, is prejudiced; or	
	III. if the patentee imposes a condition upon the grant of licences under	
	the patent to provide exclusive grant back, prevention to challenges	
	to the validity of patent or coercive package licensing; or	
	IV. if the patented invention is not being worked in the territory of India	
	on a commercial scale to an adequate extent or is not being so	
	worked to the fullest extent that is reasonably practicable; or	
	V. if the working of the patented invention in the territory of India on a	
	commercial scale is being prevented or hindered by the importation	
	from abroad of the patented article by-	
	i. the patentee or persons claiming under him; or	
	ii. persons directly or indirectly purchasing from him; or	
	iii. other persons against whom the patentee is not taking or	
	has not taken proceedings for infringement.	
14.02.01.02	Contents of application	Section 84
	Such an application shall contain a statement setting out the nature of the	Form-17
	applicant's interest, facts upon which the application is based and terms	
	and conditions of the licence the applicant is willing to accept.	
L		1

14.02.01.03	Adjournment of application for compulsory licence in certain	Section 86
	cases:	
	(1) Where an application under section 84 or section 85, as the case may	
	be, is made on the grounds that the patented invention has not been	
	worked in the territory of India or on the ground mentioned in clause	
	(d) of sub-section (7) of section 84 and the Controller is satisfied that	
	the time which has elapsed since the sealing of the patent has for any	
	reason been insufficient to enable the invention to be worked on a	
	commercial scale to an adequate extent or to enable the invention to	
	be so worked to the fullest extent that is reasonably practicable, he	
	may, by order, adjourn the further hearing of the application for such	
	period not exceeding twelve months in the aggregate as appears to	
	him to be sufficient for the invention to be so worked:	
	Provided that in any case where the patentee establishes that the	
	reason why a patented invention could not be worked as aforesaid	
	before the date of the application was due to any State or Central Act	
	or any rule or regulation made thereunder or any order of the	
	Government imposed otherwise than by way of a condition for the	
	working of the invention in the territory of India or for the disposal	
	of the patented articles or of the articles made, by the process or by	
	the use of the patented plant, machinery, or apparatus, then, the	
	period of adjournment ordered under this sub-section shall be	
	reckoned from the date on which the period during which the	
	working of the invention was prevented by such Act, rule or	
	regulation or order of Government as computed from the date of the	
	application, expires.	
	(2) No adjournment under sub-section (1) shall be ordered unless the	
	Controller is satisfied that the patentee has taken with promptitude	
	adequate or reasonable steps to start the working of the invention in	
	the territory of India on a commercial scale and to an adequate	
	extent.	
14.02.01.04	Procedure for dealing with application for Compulsory Licence:	Section 87,
	a. Where the Controller is satisfied upon consideration of an	88
	application for compulsory licence that <i>prima facie</i> case has been made	
	out, he shall direct the applicant to serve copies of the application	-
		Rules 62,
	upon the patentee and any other person appearing from the register	

of patents to be interested in the patent in respect of which the 96-101, application is made, and shall publish the application in the official Form-14 journal.

- b. The patentee or any other person desiring to oppose the application may, within two months from the date of publication of the application or within such further time as the Controller may on application (made either before or after the expiration of the prescribed time) allow, give to the Controller notice of opposition.
- c. The notice of opposition shall include grounds on which the application is opposed and the terms and conditions of the licence, if any, the opponent is prepared to grant to the applicant and shall be accompanied by evidence in support of the opposition.
- d. The opponent shall serve a copy of his notice of opposition and evidence on the applicant and notify the Controller when such service has been effected.
- e. No further statement or evidence shall be delivered by either party except with the leave of or on requisition by the Controller.
- f. The Controller shall forthwith fix a date and time for the hearing of the case and shall give the parties not less than ten days notice of such hearing.
- g. The procedure specified in sub-rules (2) to (5) of rule 62, shall, so far as may be, apply to the procedure for hearing under this rule as they apply to the hearing in opposition proceedings.
- h. If, upon consideration of the evidence, the Controller is satisfied that a prima facie case has not been made out, he shall notify the applicant accordingly, and unless the applicant requests to be heard in the matter, the Controller shall refuse the application. The applicant shall make such a request within one month from the date of such notification.
- i. If the applicant requests for a hearing within the time allowed, the Controller shall, after giving the applicant an opportunity of being heard, determine whether the application may be proceeded with or whether it shall be refused and issue a speaking order on the matter as expeditiously as possible.

14.02.01.04	Terms and Conditions	Section 90
	In settling the terms and conditions of a licence, the Controller endeavors to secure -	
	a. that the royalty and other remuneration, if any, reserved to the patentee or other person beneficially entitled to the patent, is reasonable, having regard to the nature of the invention, the expenditure incurred by the patentee in making the invention or in developing it and obtaining a patent and keeping it in force and other relevant factors;	
	b. that the patented invention is worked to the fullest extent by the person to whom the licence is granted and with reasonable profit to him;	
	c. that the patented articles are made available to the public at reasonably affordable prices;	
	<ul> <li>d. that the licence granted is a non-exclusive licence;</li> <li>e. that the right of the licensee is non-assignable;</li> <li>f. that the licence is for the balance term of the patent unless a shorter term is consistent with public interest;</li> <li>g. that the licence is granted with a predominant purpose of supply in</li> </ul>	
	the Indian market and that the licensee may also export the patented product, if need be in accordance with the provisions of sub-clause (iii) of clause (a) of sub-section (7) of section 84;	
	h. that in the case of semi-conductor technology, the licence granted is to work the invention for public non-commercial use;	
	i. that in case the licence is granted to remedy a practice determined after judicial or administrative process to be anti-competitive, the licensee shall be permitted to export the patented product, if need be.	
	The terms and conditions of a licence settled by the Controller, may be revised upon Application by the licensee after he has worked the invention on a commercial scale for at least twelve months, on the	
	ground that the terms and conditions settled have proved to be more onerous than originally expected and that in consequence thereof the licensee is unable to work the invention except at a loss. However, no	
	such application shall be entertained a second time.	

14.02.01.05	Application by licensee	Section 88
	<ul> <li>a. Where the Controller is satisfied on an application for compulsory license that the manufacture, use or sale of materials not protected by the patent is prejudiced by reason of conditions imposed by the patentee upon the grant of licenses under the patent, or upon the purchase, hire or use of the patented article or process, he may, subject to the provisions of Section 84, order the grant of licenses under the patent to such customers of the applicant as he thinks fit as well as to the applicant.</li> <li>b. Where an application for compulsory license is made by a person being the holder of a license under the patent, the Controller may, if he</li> </ul>	
	makes an order for the grant of a license to the applicant, order the existing license to be cancelled, or may, if the thinks fit, instead of making an order for the grant of a license to the applicant,	
14.02.01.06	order the existing license to be amended. Compulsory license in case of two or more patents held by the	Section 88
14.02.02	same patentee Where two or more patents are held by the same patentee and an applicant for a compulsory license establishes that the reasonable requirements of the public have not been satisfied with respect to some only of the said patents, then, if the Controller is satisfied that the applicant cannot efficiently or satisfactorily work the license granted to him under those patents without infringing the other patents held by the patentee and if those patents involve important technical advancement or considerable economic significance in relation to the other patents, he may, by order, direct the grant of a license in respect of the other patents also to enable the licensee to work the patent or patents in regard to which a license is granted under section 84.	Section 91
14.02.02	License for related patents a. At any time after the grant of a patent, any person who has the right to work any other patented invention, either as patentee or as licensee thereof, exclusive or otherwise, may apply to the Controller for the grant of a license of the first mentioned patent on the ground that he is prevented or hindered without such license from working the other invention efficiently or to the best	Section 91 Form-17

	advantage possible.	
	b. No order under such an application shall be made unless the	
	Controller is satisfied –	
	i. that the applicant is able and willing to grant, or procure the grant	
	to the patentee and his licensees if they so desire, of a license in	
	respect of the other invention on reasonable terms; and	
	ii. that the other invention has made a substantial contribution to the	
	establishment or development of commercial or industrial	
	activities in the territory of India.	
	c. Controller, if satisfied, that the grounds alleged have been	
	established by the applicant, he may make an order on such terms as	
	he thinks fit granting a license under the first mentioned patent and	
	a similar order under the other patent if so requested by the proprietor of the first mentioned patent or his licensee.	
	However, such a license granted by the Controller shall be non-	
	assignable except with the assignment of the respective patents.	
	d. The provisions of sections 87-90 shall apply to licenses granted under	
	this section as they apply to licenses granted under section 84.	
14.02.03		Section 92
		Form-17
	in the Sentral Soveriment is subsided, in respect of any patent in force	1 01111 17
	in circumstances of national emergency or in circumstances of	
	in circumstances of national emergency or in circumstances of extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is	
	extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is	
	extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time	
	extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time after the grant thereof to work the invention, it may make a	
	extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time after the grant thereof to work the invention, it may make a declaration to that effect, by notification in the Official Gazette, and	
	extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time after the grant thereof to work the invention, it may make a declaration to that effect, by notification in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the following provisions shall have effect, that is to say -	
	<ul> <li>extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time after the grant thereof to work the invention, it may make a declaration to that effect, by notification in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the following provisions shall have effect, that is to say -</li> <li>i. the Controller shall, on application made at any time after the notification by any person interested, grant to the applicant a license under the patent on such terms and conditions as he</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time after the grant thereof to work the invention, it may make a declaration to that effect, by notification in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the following provisions shall have effect, that is to say -</li> <li>i. the Controller shall, on application made at any time after the notification by any person interested, grant to the applicant a</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time after the grant thereof to work the invention, it may make a declaration to that effect, by notification in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the following provisions shall have effect, that is to say -</li> <li>i. the Controller shall, on application made at any time after the notification by any person interested, grant to the applicant a license under the patent on such terms and conditions as he thinks fit;</li> <li>ii. in settling the terms and conditions of a license granted under</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time after the grant thereof to work the invention, it may make a declaration to that effect, by notification in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the following provisions shall have effect, that is to say -</li> <li>i. the Controller shall, on application made at any time after the notification by any person interested, grant to the applicant a license under the patent on such terms and conditions as he thinks fit;</li> <li>ii. in settling the terms and conditions of a license granted under this section, the Controller shall endeavour to secure that the</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>extreme urgency or in case of public non-commercial use, that it is necessary that compulsory licenses should be granted at any time after the grant thereof to work the invention, it may make a declaration to that effect, by notification in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the following provisions shall have effect, that is to say -</li> <li>i. the Controller shall, on application made at any time after the notification by any person interested, grant to the applicant a license under the patent on such terms and conditions as he thinks fit;</li> <li>ii. in settling the terms and conditions of a license granted under</li> </ul>	

		1
	deriving a reasonable advantage from their patent rights.	
	iii. The procedure as mentioned in Sections 83, 87, 88, 89 and 90	
	shall apply in relation to the grant of such licenses as they apply	
	in relation to the grant of licenses under Sec. 84.	
	2. Notwithstanding anything contained in a-iii above, if the Controller is	
	satisfied on consideration of the application that, it is necessary in-	
	a. a circumstance of national emergency; or	
	b. a circumstance of extreme urgency; or	
	c. a case of public non-commercial use,	
	which may arise or is required, as the case may be, including public	
	health crisis relating to Acquired Immuno-Deficiency Syndrome,	
	Human Immune Deficiency Virus, Tuberculosis, Malaria or other	
	epidemics, the procedure as mentioned in Section 87 shall not apply;	
	provided the Controller shall, as soon as may be practicable, inform	
	the patentee of the patent relating to the application for such non-	
	application of Section 87.	
14.02.04	Compulsory license for export of patented pharmaceutical	Section 92A
14.02.04	Compulsory license for export of patented pharmaceutical products in certain exceptional circumstances	Section 92A Form-17
14.02.04		
14.02.04	products in certain exceptional circumstances	
14.02.04	<ul><li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li><li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of</li></ul>	
14.02.04	<ul><li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li><li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or</li></ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country has, by notification or otherwise, allowed importation of the patented</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country has, by notification or otherwise, allowed importation of the patented pharmaceutical products from India.</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country has, by notification or otherwise, allowed importation of the patented pharmaceutical products from India.</li> <li>b) The Controller shall, on receipt of an application in the prescribed</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country has, by notification or otherwise, allowed importation of the patented pharmaceutical products from India.</li> <li>b) The Controller shall, on receipt of an application in the prescribed manner, grant a compulsory license solely for manufacture and export</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country has, by notification or otherwise, allowed importation of the patented pharmaceutical products from India.</li> <li>b) The Controller shall, on receipt of an application in the prescribed manner, grant a compulsory license solely for manufacture and export of the concerned pharmaceutical product to such country under such</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country has, by notification or otherwise, allowed importation of the patented pharmaceutical products from India.</li> <li>b) The Controller shall, on receipt of an application in the prescribed manner, grant a compulsory license solely for manufacture and export of the concerned pharmaceutical product to such country under such terms and conditions as may be specified and published by him.</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country has, by notification or otherwise, allowed importation of the patented pharmaceutical products from India.</li> <li>b) The Controller shall, on receipt of an application in the prescribed manner, grant a compulsory license solely for manufacture and export of the concerned pharmaceutical product to such country under such terms and conditions as may be specified and published by him.</li> <li>c) The provisions of (a) and (b) shall be without prejudice to the extent</li> </ul>	
14.02.04	<ul> <li>products in certain exceptional circumstances</li> <li>a) Compulsory license shall be available for manufacture and export of patented pharmaceutical product to any country having insufficient or no manufacturing capacity in the pharmaceutical sector for the concerned product to address public health problems, provided compulsory license has been granted by such country or such country has, by notification or otherwise, allowed importation of the patented pharmaceutical products from India.</li> <li>b) The Controller shall, on receipt of an application in the prescribed manner, grant a compulsory license solely for manufacture and export of the concerned pharmaceutical product to such country under such terms and conditions as may be specified and published by him.</li> <li>c) The provisions of (a) and (b) shall be without prejudice to the extent to which pharmaceutical products products produced under a</li> </ul>	

-		
	d) 'Pharmaceutical products' means any patented product, or product	
	manufactured through a patented process, of the pharmaceutical	
	sector needed to address public health problems and shall be	
	inclusive of ingredients necessary for their manufacture and	
	diagnostic kits required for their use.	
14.02.05	Termination of Compulsory License	Section 94
	a) Patentee or any other person deriving title or interest in the patent,	
	may make an application in Form 21 along with the evidence in	
	support of the application for termination of compulsory licence	
	granted under Section 84 on the ground that the circumstances that	
	gave rise to the grant thereof no longer exist and such	
	circumstances are unlikely to recur.	
	b) The holder of the compulsory licence shall have the right to object to	
	such termination.	
	c) The procedure as elaborated under rule 102 for dealing with such	
	application for termination of compulsory licence, including	
	conducting hearing involving the both the parties, shall apply.	
	d) While considering such an application, the Controller shall take into	
	account that the interest of the person, who had previously been	
	granted the licence, is not unduly prejudiced.	
	e) If the Controller decides to terminate the compulsory licence he	
	shall forthwith issue an order giving terms and conditions, if any, of	
	such termination and serve copies of the order to both the parties.	

# Chapter 15: Use of inventions for purposes of Government and Acquisition of inventions by Central Government

15.01	Use of inventions for the purposes of Government	
	An invention is said to be used for the purpose of Government if it is	Section 99,
	made, used, exercised or vended for the purposes of Central	
	Government, a State Government or a Government undertaking.	100
15.02	Power of Central Government to use inventions for purpose of	-
	Government	
	a. Where an invention has, before the priority date of the relevant claim	
	of the complete specification, been duly recorded in a document or	
	tested or tried, by or on behalf of the government or a government	
	undertaking, otherwise than in consequence of the communication of	
	the invention directly or indirectly by the patentee or by a person	
	from whom he derives title, any use of the invention by the Central	
	Government or any person authorized in writing by it for the	
	purposes of government may be made free of any royalty or other	
	remuneration to the patentee.	
	b. If and so far as the invention has not been so recorded or tried or	
	tested as aforesaid, any use of the invention made by the Central	
	Government of any person authorized by it as above said at any time	
	after grant of the patent or in consequence of any such	
	communication as aforesaid, shall be made upon the terms as may	
	be agreed upon either before or after the use, between the Central	
	Government or any person authorised by Central Government and	
	the patentee or as may in default of agreement be determined by the	
	High Court on a reference under Section 103.	
	In case of any such use of any patent, the patentee shall be paid not	
	more than adequate remuneration in the circumstances of each case,	
	taking into account the economic value of the use of the patent.	
	c. The authorisation by the Central Government in respect of an	
	invention may be given either before or after the patent is granted and	
	either before or after the acts in respect of which such authorisation is	
	given or done, and may be given to any person, whether or not he is	
	authorised directly or indirectly by the applicant or the patentee to	

make, use, exercise or vend the invention or import the machine, apparatus or other article or medicine or drug covered by such patent.

- d. Where an invention has been used by or with the authority of the Central Government for the purposes of government then except in case of national emergency or other circumstances of extreme urgency or for non-commercial use, the government shall notify the patentee as soon as practicable of the fact and furnish him with such information as to the extent of the use of the invention as he may, from time to time, reasonably require. Where the invention has been used for the purposes of a government undertaking, the Central Government may call for such information as may be necessary for this purpose from such undertaking.
- e. The right to make, use, exercise and vend an invention for the purposes of government shall include the right to sell on noncommercial basis the goods which have been made in exercise of that right and a purchaser of goods so sold, and a person claiming through him, shall have the power to deal with the goods as if the Central Government or the person authorised by the Central Government were the patentee of the invention.
- f. Where in respect of a patent, which has been the subject of an authorisation, there is an exclusive licensee or where such patent has been assigned to the patentee in consideration of royalties or other benefits determined by reference to the use of the invention (including payments by way of minimum royalty), the notice shall also be given to such exclusive licensee or assignor, as the case may be, and the reference to the patentee shall be deemed to include a reference to such assignor or exclusive licensee.
- g. However, the provisions for use of invention by the Government specified in this chapter shall not apply in respect of any such importation, making or using of any machine, apparatus or other article or of any such using of any process or of any such importation, using or distribution of any medicine or drug, as may be made by virtue of one or more of the conditions specified in section 47.

15.03	Rights of third parties	Section 101
	a. In relation to any use of a patented invention, or an invention in	
	respect of which an application for a patent is pending, made for the	
	purposes of government-	
	i. by the Central Government or any person authorised by the	
	Central Government under section 100; or	
	ii. by the patentee or applicant for the patent to the order made	
	by the Central Government,	
	the provisions of any licence, assignment or agreement granted or	
	made between the patentee or applicant for the patent (or any	
	person who derives title from him or from whom he derives	
	title) and any person other than the Central Government, shall be of	
	no effect so far as those provisions -	
	i. restrict or regulate the use for the purposes of	
	government of the invention, or of any model,	
	document or information relating thereto, or	
	ii. provide for the making of payments in respect of any use of	
	the invention or of the model, document or information	
	relating thereto for the purposes of government,	
	and the reproduction or publication of any model or document in	
	connection with the said use for the purposes of government shall	
	not been deemed to be an infringement of any copyright subsisting	
	in the model or document.	
	b. Where the patent, or the right to apply for or obtain the patent, has	
	been assigned to the patentee in consideration of royalties or other	
	benefits determined by reference to the use of the invention then, in	
	relation to any use of the invention made for the purposes of	
	government by the patentee to the order of the Central Government,	
	sub-section (3) of section 100 shall have effect as if that use were	
	made by virtue of an authority given under that section, and any	
	use of the invention for the purposes of government by virtue of	
	sub-section (3) of that section shall have effect as if the reference to	
	the patentee included a reference to the assignor of the patent, and	
	any sum payable by virtue of that sub-section shall be divided	
	between the patentee and the assignor in such proportion as may be	
	1	

		1
	agreed upon between them or as may in default of agreement be	
	determined by the High Court on a reference under Section103.	
	c. Where by virtue of sub-section (3) of section 100, payments are	
	required to be made by the Central Government or persons	
	authorised under sub-section (1) of that section in respect of the use	
	of an invention for the purposes of government, and where in	
	respect of such patent there is an exclusive licensee authorised	
	under his licence to use the invention for the purposes of	
	government, such sum shall be shared by the patentee and such	
	licensee in such proportions, if any, as may be agreed upon	
	between them or as may in default of agreement be determined	
	by the High Court on a reference under section 103 to be just, having	
	regard to any expenditure incurred by the licensee—	
	i. in developing the said invention; or	
	i. in making payments to the patentees other than royalties or	
	other benefits determined by reference to the use of the	
	invention in consideration of the license.	
	invention in consideration of the license.	
15.04	Acquisition of inventions and patents by the Control covernment	Section 102
15.04	Acquisition of inventions and patents by the Central government	Section 102
15.04	Acquisition of inventions and patents by the Central government a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an	Section 102
15.04		Section 102
15.04	a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an	Section 102
15.04	a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a	Section 102
15.04	a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official</li> </ul>	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in</li> </ul>	Section 102
15.04	a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand	Section 102
15.04	a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand transferred to and be vested in the Central Government.	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand transferred to and be vested in the Central Government.</li> <li>b. Notice of the acquisition shall be given to the applicant, and, where a</li> </ul>	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand transferred to and be vested in the Central Government.</li> <li>b. Notice of the acquisition shall be given to the applicant, and, where a patent has been granted, to the patentee and other persons, if any, appearing in the register as having an interest in the patent.</li> </ul>	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand transferred to and be vested in the Central Government.</li> <li>b. Notice of the acquisition shall be given to the applicant, and, where a patent has been granted, to the patentee and other persons, if any, appearing in the register as having an interest in the patent.</li> <li>c. The Central Government shall pay to the applicant, or as the case may</li> </ul>	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand transferred to and be vested in the Central Government.</li> <li>b. Notice of the acquisition shall be given to the applicant, and, where a patent has been granted, to the patentee and other persons, if any, appearing in the register as having an interest in the patent.</li> <li>c. The Central Government shall pay to the applicant, or as the case may be, the patentee and other persons appearing on the register as</li> </ul>	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand transferred to and be vested in the Central Government.</li> <li>b. Notice of the acquisition shall be given to the applicant, and, where a patent has been granted, to the patentee and other persons, if any, appearing in the register as having an interest in the patent.</li> <li>c. The Central Government shall pay to the applicant, or as the case may be, the patentee and other persons appearing on the register as having an interest in the patent as may be</li> </ul>	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand transferred to and be vested in the Central Government.</li> <li>b. Notice of the acquisition shall be given to the applicant, and, where a patent has been granted, to the patentee and other persons, if any, appearing in the register as having an interest in the patent.</li> <li>c. The Central Government shall pay to the applicant, or as the case may be, the patentee and other persons appearing on the register as having an interest in the patent as may be agreed upon between the Central Government and the applicant</li> </ul>	Section 102
15.04	<ul> <li>a. The Central Government may, if satisfied that it is necessary that an invention which is the subject of an application for a patent or a patent should be acquired from the applicant or the patentee for a public purpose, publish a notification to that effect in the Official Gazette, and thereupon the invention or patent and all rights in respect of the invention or patent shall, by force of this section, stand transferred to and be vested in the Central Government.</li> <li>b. Notice of the acquisition shall be given to the applicant, and, where a patent has been granted, to the patentee and other persons, if any, appearing in the register as having an interest in the patent.</li> <li>c. The Central Government shall pay to the applicant, or as the case may be, the patentee and other persons appearing on the register as having an interest in the patent as may be</li> </ul>	Section 102

	-	
	section 103 to be just having regard to the expenditure incurred in	
	connection with the invention and, in the case of a patent, the term thereof, the period during which and the manner in which it has	
	already been worked (including the profits made during such period	
	by the patentee or by his licensee whether exclusive or otherwise) and	
45.05	other relevant factors.	
15.05	Reference of disputes to High Court regarding use/acquisition	Section
	a. Any dispute as to the exercise by the Central Government or a person	102, 103
	authorised by it of the powers conferred by section 100, or as to terms	
	for the use of an invention for the purposes of government	
	thereunder or as to the right of any person to receive any part of	
	a payment made in pursuance of sub-section (3) of that section or as	
	to the amount of compensation payable for the acquisition of an	
	invention or a patent under section102, may be referred to the High	
	Court by either party to the dispute in such manner as may be	
	prescribed by the rules of the High Court.	
	b. In any proceedings under this section to which the Central	
	Government is a party, the Central Government may—	
	i. if the patentee is a party to the proceedings, petition by way of	
	counter-claim for revocation of the patent on any ground upon	
	which a patent may be revoked under section 64; and	
	ii. whether a patentee is or is not a party to the	
	proceedings, put in issue the validity of the patent without	
	petitioning for its revocation.	
	c. If in such proceedings as aforesaid any question arises whether an	
	invention has been recorded, tested or tried as is mentioned in section	
	100, and the disclosure of any document regarding the invention, or	
	of any evidence of the test or trial thereof, would, in the opinion of	
	the Central Government, be prejudicial to the public interest, the	
	disclosure may be made confidentially to the advocate of the other	
	party or to an independent expert mutually agreed upon.	
	d. In determining under this section any dispute between the	
	Central Government and any person as to terms for the use of an	
	invention for the purposes of government, the High Court shall have	
	invention for the purposes of government, the right court shall have	

regard to any benefit or compensation which that person or any person from whom he derives title, may have received, or may be entitled to receive, directly or indirectly in respect of the use of the invention in question for the purposes of government.

- e. In any proceedings under this section, the High Court may at any time order the whole proceedings or any question or issue of fact arising therein to be referred to an official referee, commissioner or an arbitrator on such terms as the High Court may direct, and references to the High Court in the foregoing provisions of this section shall be construed accordingly.
- f. Where the invention claimed in a patent was made by a person who at time it was made was in the service of the Central Government or of a State Government or was an employee of a government undertaking and the subject-matter of the invention is certified by the relevant government or the principal officer of the government undertaking to be connected with the work done in the course of the normal duties of the government servant or employee of the government undertaking, then, notwithstanding anything contained in this section, any dispute of the nature referred to in sub-section (1) relating to the invention shall be disposed of by the Central Government conformably to the provisions of this section so far as may be applicable, but before doing so the Central Government shall give an opportunity to the patentee and such other parties as it considers have an interest in the matter to be heard.

16.01	Patent Agents	
	<ul> <li>a. A patent application can be filed and prosecuted by an Applicant himself or through a registered Indian patent agent. The Register of Patent Agents containing the names and addresses of all the registered patent agents is available at: www.ipindia.nic.in</li> </ul>	Section 125, 126, 127
	b. The Patents Act read with the Patents Rules prescribe the qualifications and the eligibility for becoming a patent agent. In order to get registered as a patent agent one has to pass an examination conducted by the Controller General of Patents annually. The notification concerning the examination is published in the official website www.ipindia.nic.in and also in at least one prominent newspaper.	Rule 108, 109
	<ul> <li>c. In order to apply for registration as a patent agent, one has to be a citizen of India, above the age of 21, and should have a Bachelor's degree in Science or Engineering from a recognized Indian University or possesses such other equivalent qualifications as the Central Government may specify in this behalf.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>d. All matters relating to registration and subsequent procedures regarding patent agents are dealt by the Office of the Controller General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks, Mumbai.</li> </ul>	
	Particulars to be contained in the Register of Patent Agents:	
	<ol> <li>The register of patent agents maintained under section 125 shall contain the name, nationality, address of the principal place of business, addresses of branch offices, if any, the qualifications, the date of registration of every registered patent agent and the details of their renewal of registration and any other particulars so specified by the Controller.</li> </ol>	
	2) Where the register of patent agents is in computer floppies, diskettes or any other electronic form, it shall be maintained and accessed only by the person who is duly authorised by the Controller and no entry or alteration of any entry or rectification of any entry in the said register shall be made by any person who is not so authorised by the Controller.	

<ul> <li>photographs of persons registered as patent agents.</li> <li>Application for Registration of Patent Agents : <ul> <li>(1) Every person who desires to be registered as a patent agent shall make an application in Form 22.</li> <li>(2) The applicant shall furnish such other information as may be required by the Controller.</li> <li>(3) A person desirous to appear in the qualifying examination under rule 110 shall make a request to the Controller along with the fee</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Every person who desires to be registered as a patent agent shall make an application in Form 22.</li> <li>The applicant shall furnish such other information as may be required by the Controller.</li> <li>A person desirous to appear in the qualifying examination under</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>make an application in Form 22.</li> <li>(2) The applicant shall furnish such other information as may be required by the Controller.</li> <li>(3) A person desirous to appear in the qualifying examination under</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(2) The applicant shall furnish such other information as may be required by the Controller.</li> <li>(3) A person desirous to appear in the qualifying examination under</li> </ul>
<ul><li>required by the Controller.</li><li>(3) A person desirous to appear in the qualifying examination under</li></ul>
rule 110 shall make a request to the Controller along with the fee
specified in the First Schedule after announcement of such
examination and within the period as may be specified in the
announcement.
16.02Disqualifications for Registration as Patent AgentRule 114
A person shall not be eligible to be registered as s patent agent,
if he-
a. has been adjudged by a competent court to be of unsound mind;
b. is an undischarged insolvent;
c. being a discharged insolvent, has not obtained from the court
a certificate to the effect that his insolvency was caused by
misfortune without any misconduct on his part;
d. has been convicted by a competent court, whether within or outside
India of an offence to undergo a term of imprisonment, unless the
offence of which he has been convicted has been pardoned or
unless on an application made by him, the Central Government
has, by order in this behalf, removed the disability;
e. being a legal practitioner, has been guilty of professional misconduct; or
f. being a chartered accountant, has been guilty of negligence or misconduct.
16.03Rights of patent agentsSection 127
A patent agent is entitled-
a. to practice before the Controller; and
b. to prepare all documents, transact all business and discharge such
other functions as may be prescribed in connection with any
proceedings before the Controller under this Act.

16.04	Subscription and verification of certain documents by a Patent Agent	Section 128
	All applications and communications to the Controller under this Act	
	may be signed by a patent agent authorized in writing in this behalf by	
	the person concerned.	
16.05	Restrictions on Practice as Patent Agents	Section 129
10.05	Only a person registered as a patent agent is authorized to practice. In the case of a partnership, the firm may be described or held out as Patent Agent, only if all of the partners of the Firm are registered as patent agents. No company or other body corporate shall practice, describe itself or hold itself out as Patent Agents or permit itself to be so described or held out.	36000129
16.06	Power of Controller to refuse to deal with certain agents	Section 131
	<ul> <li>The Controller may refuse to recognize as agent in respect of any business under this Act:</li> <li>a. any individual whose name has been removed from, and not restored to, the register;</li> <li>b. any person who has been convicted of an offence under section 123 of the Act;</li> <li>c. any person, not being registered as a patent agent, who in the opinion of the Controller is engaged wholly or mainly in acting as agent in applying for patents in India or elsewhere in the name or for the benefit of the person by whom he is employed;</li> <li>d. any company or firm, if any person whom the Controller could refuse to recognize as agent in respect of any business under this</li> </ul>	
	Act, is acting as a director or manager of the company or is a partner in the firm.	
	e. any person who neither resides nor has a place of business in India.	
16.07	Power of Controller to remove the name of a Patent Agent:	Section 130.
	a. The name of any person from the Register can be removed if the Controller is satisfied that:-	Rule 116.
	i. his/her name has been entered in the Register by error on account of misrepresentation or suppression of material fact; or	

[		
	<ul><li>ii. he/she has been convicted of any offence and sentenced to a term of imprisonment or has been guilty of misconduct in his professional capacity which in the opinion of the Controller renders him unfit to be kept in the register.</li></ul>	
	b. The Controller shall take such decision after giving that person a reasonable opportunity of being heard and after any further inquiry, as he thinks fit to make.	
	Removal of a name from the Register of patent agents-	
	<ol> <li>The Controller may delete from the register of patent agents, the name of any patent agent-</li> <li>(a) from whom a request has been received to that effect; or</li> <li>(b) when he is dead; or</li> </ol>	
	<ul><li>(c) when the Controller has removed the name of a person under sub-section (1) of section 130; or</li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>(d) if he has defaulted in the payment of fees specified in rule 115, by more than three months after they are due; or</li> <li>(e) if he ceases to be a citizen of India:</li> </ul>	
	Provided that except under clause(a) and (b), before removing the name of any person from the register of patent agents under this rule, such person shall be given a reasonable opportunity of being heard.	
	<ol> <li>The decision of the removal of the name of any person from the Register of Patent Agents shall be published and shall be communicated to the person concerned.</li> </ol>	
16.08	Restoration of names of Patent Agents	Rule 117
	<ul><li>a. Restoration of names of persons removed from the register of Patent Agents can be made by the Controller on Application made in form 23 within two months from the date of such removal.</li><li>b. The restoration of name to the register shall be published on official website and communicated to the person concerned.</li><li>c. If the name of a person is entered in the register of Patents Agents,</li></ul>	Form-23
	his name shall be continued therein for a period of one year from the date on which his last annual fee became due.	

16.09	Alteration of names of Patent Agents	Section 125
	1) A patent agent may apply for the alteration of his name, address of	Rule 118
	the principal place of business and branch offices, if any, or the	
	qualifications entered in the register of patent agents, e-mail address,	
	telephone number, fax number or any other particulars under sub-	
	section (1) of section 125. On receipt of such application and the	
	fee specified therefor in the First Schedule for such request for	
	alteration of particulars, the Controller shall cause the necessary	
	alterations to be made in the register of patent agents.	
	2) Every alteration made in the register of patent agents shall be	
	published.	

17.01	Contravention of Section 35 or 39	Section 118
	If any person fails to comply with any direction given under section	
	35 or makes or causes to be made an application for the grant of a	
	patent in contravention of section 39, he shall be punishable with	
	imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years, or with	
	fine, or with both.	
17.02	Falsification of entries in register, etc.	Section 119
	If any person makes, a false entry in any register kept under the	
	Patents Act or provides any writing or evidence as a result of which	
	the entry in the register results into a false entry, knowing the entry	
	or writing to be false, then he is punishable with imprisonment for	
	a term that may extend to two years or with fine or with both.	
17.03	Unauthorized Claim of Patent Rights	Section 120
	If any person falsely represents that any article sold by him is	
	patented in India or is the subject of an Application for a Patent in	
	India, he shall be punishable with fine that may extend to rupees	
	one lakh.	
17.04	Wrongful use of words, "Patent Office"	Section 121
	If any person uses on his place of business or any document issued	
	by him which would reasonably lead to the belief that either his	
	by min when would reasonably lead to the benef that entiter mo	
	place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with	
	place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with	
17.05	place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a	Section 122
17.05	place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term that may extend to 6 months, or with fine, or with both.	Section 122 Form-27
17.05	<ul> <li>place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term that may extend to 6 months, or with fine, or with both.</li> <li>Refusal or failure to supply information</li> </ul>	
17.05	<ul> <li>place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term that may extend to 6 months, or with fine, or with both.</li> <li><b>Refusal or failure to supply information</b></li> <li>If any person refuses or fails to furnish information as required</li> </ul>	
17.05	<ul> <li>place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term that may extend to 6 months, or with fine, or with both.</li> <li><b>Refusal or failure to supply information</b></li> <li>If any person refuses or fails to furnish information as required under Sections 100(5) and 146, he shall be punishable with fine</li> </ul>	
17.05	<ul> <li>place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term that may extend to 6 months, or with fine, or with both.</li> <li><b>Refusal or failure to supply information</b></li> <li>If any person refuses or fails to furnish information as required under Sections 100(5) and 146, he shall be punishable with fine which may go up to rupees ten lakh.</li> </ul>	
17.05	<ul> <li>place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term that may extend to 6 months, or with fine, or with both.</li> <li><b>Refusal or failure to supply information</b></li> <li>If any person refuses or fails to furnish information as required under Sections 100(5) and 146, he shall be punishable with fine which may go up to rupees ten lakh.</li> <li>Section 100(5) provides that any person including Government</li> </ul>	
17.05	<ul> <li>place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term that may extend to 6 months, or with fine, or with both.</li> <li><b>Refusal or failure to supply information</b></li> <li>If any person refuses or fails to furnish information as required under Sections 100(5) and 146, he shall be punishable with fine which may go up to rupees ten lakh.</li> <li>Section 100(5) provides that any person including Government undertaking using a patented invention for the purpose of</li> </ul>	
17.05	<ul> <li>place of business is the Patent Office or is officially connected with the Patent Office, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term that may extend to 6 months, or with fine, or with both.</li> <li><b>Refusal or failure to supply information</b></li> <li>If any person refuses or fails to furnish information as required under Sections 100(5) and 146, he shall be punishable with fine which may go up to rupees ten lakh.</li> <li>Section 100(5) provides that any person including Government undertaking using a patented invention for the purpose of Government has to furnish any information on the use of</li> </ul>	

## Chapter 17: Offences and Penalties

	scale in India in Form 27. This has to be done annually within 3	
	months of the end of each calendar year for that calendar year. If	
	he furnishes false information knowingly he shall be punishable	
	with imprisonment that may extend to 6 months or with fine or	
	with both.	
17.06	Practice by non-registered persons	Section 123
	Any person practicing as a Patent Agent without registering himself	
	as such is liable to be punished with a fine of rupees one lakh for	
	the first offence and rupees five lakh for subsequent offence.	
17.07	Offences by Companies	Section 124
	a. When an offence is committed by a company, the company as	
	well as every person in charge of, and responsible to the	
	company for the conduct of its business at the time of the	
	commission of the offence, shall be deemed to be guilty and	
	shall be liable to be proceeded against and punished	
	accordingly.	
	However, if such person proves that the offence was committed	
	without his knowledge or that he exercised all due diligence to	
	prevent the commission of the office, he shall not be liable.	
	b. Notwithstanding anything contained above, where an offence	
	under this Act has been committed by a company and it is	
	proved that the offence has been committed with the consent,	
	connivance or that the commission of the offence is	
	attributable to any neglect on the part of any director, manager,	
	secretary or other office of the company, such director,	
	manager, secretary or other officer shall also be deemed to be	
	guilty of that offence and shall be liable to be proceeded against	
	and punished accordingly.	

## Chapter 18: General Powers of Controller

18.01	Certain Powers of Civil Court to Controllers:	
	<ul> <li>Subject to any rules made in this behalf, the Controller in any proceedings before him under this Act, have the powers of a Civil Court while trying a suit under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Act No. 5 of 1908) in respect of the following matters, namely:</li> <li>a. Summoning and enforcing the attendance of any person and examining him on oath;</li> <li>b. Requiring the discovery and production of any document;</li> <li>c. Receiving evidence on affidavits;</li> <li>d. Issuing commissions for the examination of witnesses of documents;</li> <li>e. Awarding costs;</li> <li>f. Reviewing his own decision on application made within the prescribed time and in the prescribed manner;</li> <li>g. Setting aside an order passed ex-parte on application made within the prescribed time and in the prescribed manner;</li> </ul>	Section 77 Rule 136 Order XLVII of CPC, 1908.
18.02	<ul> <li>h. Any other matter which may be prescribed.</li> <li>Awarding Costs <ul> <li>a. Any order for costs awarded by the Controller in exercise of the powers conferred upon him is executable as a decree of a civil court. In all proceedings before the Controller, costs may be awarded by the Controller, as he considers reasonable, having regard to all the circumstances of the case.</li> <li>b. However, the amount of costs awarded in respect of any matter set forth in the Fourth Schedule shall not exceed the amount specified therein.</li> <li>c. Notwithstanding anything contained in (a) above, the Controller may, in his discretion, award a compensatory cost in any proceeding before him which in his opinion is false or vexatious.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Section 77(1)(e) Rule 63, 136
18.03	<b>Review</b> a. Any person considering himself aggrieved by any order or decree	Section

	of the Controller from which an appeal is allowed but no appeal	77(1)(f).
	has been preferred, or from which no appeal is allowed, and who,	Rule 130.
	from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence	Form-4, 24.
	which, after the exercise of due diligence was not within his	Section 114
	knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the	& Order
	order or decree was passed or order made, or on account of some	XLVII of
	mistake or error apparent on the face of the record or for	CPC, 1908.
	any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the	
	decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review	
	of the order or decree to the Controller.	
	b. An application to the Controller for the review of his decision	
	under clause (f) of sub-section (1) of section 77 shall be made	
	within one month from the date of communication of such	
	decision to the applicant or within such further period not	
	exceeding one month thereafter as the Controller may on a	
	request allow.	
	c. An application for review shall be accompanied by a statement	
	setting forth the grounds on which the review is sought.	
	d. Where the decision in question concerns any other person in	
	addition to the applicant, the Controller shall forthwith transmit a	
	copy of each of the application and the statement to the other	
	person concerned.	
	e. An application to the Controller for setting aside an order passed	
	by him ex-parte under clause (g) of sub-section (1) of section 77	
	shall be made within one month from the date of communication	
	of such order to the applicant or within such further period not	
	exceeding one month as the Controller may on a request allow	
	and shall be accompanied by a statement setting forth the grounds	
	on which the application is based. Where the order concerns any	
	other person in addition to the applicant, the Controller shall,	
	forthwith transmit a copy each of the application and the	
	statement to the other person concerned.	
18.04	Petition for Obviating an Irregularity	Rule 137.
	Any document for the amendment of which no special provision is	Nippon
	made in the Act may be amended and any irregularity in procedure,	Steel

	which in the opinion of the Controller may be obviated without	Corporation
	detriment to the interests of any person, may be corrected if the	Vs. Union
	Controller thinks fit and upon such terms as he may direct.	of India
18.05	Mention of Inventor in Patent	Section 28.
	If the controller is satisfied, upon a request or claim made in	Rules 57-63,
	accordance with the provisions of this section,	66-70.
	i) that the person in respect of or by whom the request or claim	Form 8.
	is made is the inventor of an invention in respect of which	
	application for a patent has been made, or of a substantial part of that invention; and	
	<ul><li>ii) that the application for the patent is a direct consequence of his being the inventor,</li></ul>	
	the Controller shall, subject to the provisions of this section, cause	
	him to be mentioned as inventor in any patent granted in	
	pursuance of the application in the complete specification and in the Register of patents:	
	a. Such a request or claim shall be accompanied by a statement setting out the circumstances under which the claim is made.	
	b. However, the mention of any person as inventor under this section shall not confer or derogate from any rights under the patent.	
	c. A request that any person shall be mentioned as aforesaid may	
	be made in the prescribed manner by the applicant for the	
	patent or (where the person alleged to be the inventor is not the applicant or one of the applicants) by the applicant and that person.	
	<ul> <li>d. If any person [other than a person in respect of whom a request in relation to the application in question has been made under sub- section (2)] desires to be mentioned as aforesaid, he may make a claim in the prescribed manner in that behalf.</li> </ul>	
	e. A request or claim under the foregoing provisions of this	

section shall be made before the grant of patent.

- f. Where such a claim is made, the Controller shall give notice of the claim to every applicant for the patent (not being the claimant) and to any other person whom the Controller may consider to be interested and before deciding upon any such request or claim, the Controller shall, if required, hear the person in respect of or by whom the request or claim is made, and also any person to whom notice of the claim has been given as aforesaid.
- g. Where any person has been mentioned as inventor in pursuance of such an application, any other person who alleges that he ought not to have been so mentioned may at any time apply to the Controller for a certificate to that effect, and the Controller may, after hearing, if required, any person whom he may consider to be interested, issue such a certificate, and if he does so, he shall rectify the specification and the register accordingly.
- h. The procedure specified in rules 55A and 57 to 63 relating to the filing of notice of opposition, written statement, reply statement, leaving evidence, hearing and cost (except the procedural part related to Opposition Board included under rule 62 (1) and 62 (5) ) shall, so far as the case may be, apply to the hearing of such a claim or application as they apply to the opposition proceedings subject to the modification that reference to patentee shall be construed as the person making the claim, or an application, as the case may be.
- i. Any mention of the inventor under sub-section (1) of section28 shall be made in the relevant documents in the following form namely:-

The inventor of this invention/substantial part of this invention within the meaning of section 28 of the Patents Act,1970, is.....of......

	1 aconto 110317 + 03 1011110111111	
18.06	Directions Not Otherwise Prescribed	Rule 128
	a. Where for the proper prosecution or completion of any	

	<ul> <li>proceedings under the Act or these rules, the Controller is of the opinion that it is necessary for a party to such proceedings to perform an Act, file a document or produce evidence, for which provision has not been made in the Act or these rules, he may, by notice in writing, require such party to perform the Act, file the document or produce the evidence specified in such notice.</li> <li>b. Where an applicant or a party to a proceeding desires to be heard or not heard, the Controller may, at any time, require him to submit his statement in writing giving such information as the Controller may deem necessary within the time specified by him.</li> </ul>
18.07	<ul> <li>Exercise of Discretionary Power by the Controller</li> <li>a. Before acting adverse to any party, the Controller shall give an opportunity of being heard to the party. The discretionary powers shall be exercised with due care and caution and not in an arbitrary manner. Such reasons shall be taken judiciously and the reasons shall be recorded in the file. However, this will not apply to actions resulting from provisions in the Act and Rules.</li> <li>b. A party desiring a hearing shall make the request for such hearing to the Controller at least ten days in advance of the expiry of the</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>time-limit specified in respect of the proceeding.</li> <li>c. Before exercising any discretionary power under the Act or these rules which is likely to affect an applicant for a patent or a party to a proceeding adversely, the Controller shall give such applicant or party, a hearing, after giving him or them, ten days' notice of such hearing ordinarily.</li> <li>d. An applicant for patent or a party to a proceeding may make a</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>request for adjournment of the hearing with reasonable cause along with the prescribed fee prescribed in First Schedule, at least three days before the date of hearing.</li> <li>e. The Controller, if he thinks fit to do so, and upon such terms as he may direct, may adjourn the hearing not more than twice and intimate the parties accordingly. Each adjournment shall not be for more than thirty days.</li> </ul>

18.08	Power of Controller to Correct Clerical Errors, etc.	
	a. Without prejudice to the provisions contained in sections 57 and 59 as regards amendment of applications for patents or complete specifications or other documents relating thereto and subject to the provisions of section 44, the Controller may, in accordance with the provisions of this section, correct any clerical error in any patent or in any specification or other document filed in pursuance of such application or in any application for a patent or any clerical error in any matter which is entered in the register.	Section 78. Rule 122, 124.
	b. A correction may be made in pursuance of this section either upon a request in writing made by any person interested and accompanied by the prescribed fee, or without such a request.	
	c. Where the Controller proposes to make any such correction as aforesaid otherwise than in pursuance of a request, he shall give notice of the proposal to the patentee or the applicant for the patent, as the case may be, and to any other person who appears to him to be concerned, and shall give them an opportunity to be heard before making the correction.	
	d. Where a request is made under section 78 for the correction of any error in a patent or application for a patent or any document filed in pursuance of such an application, and it appears to the Controller that the correction would materially alter the meaning or scope of the document to which the request relates and ought not to be made without notice to persons affected thereby, he shall require notice of the nature of the proposed correction to be published in the official journal.	
	e. Such a request for the correction of a clerical error in any document shall be accompanied by a copy of the document highlighting the corrections clearly along with the prescribed fees.	
	f. Within the prescribed time after any such publication as aforesaid any person interested may give notice to the Controller of opposition to the request, and, where such notice of opposition is given, the Controller shall give notice thereof to the person by	

whom the request was made, and shall give to him and to the	
opponent an opportunity to be heard before he decides the case.	
g. The procedure specified in rules 58 to 63 relating to the filing of	
reply statement, leaving evidence, hearing and costs shall, so far as	
may be, will be applicable to the above proceedings, except the	
procedural part related to Opposition Board provided under rule	
62 (1) and 62 (5).	

## Chapter 19: General Services

19.01	General Services	
	a. Patent Office provides certain statutory and non- statutory services	
	for the dissemination of information related to patent processing.	
	b. It may be noted that references to some of these services have	
	already been made in the relevant Chapters.	
	c. These services are enumerated in the following paragraphs as per	
	standards set by the Patent Office.	
19.02	Official Journal	Section 145
	Every Friday the Controller publishes the Official Patent Journal	
	electronically, which is made available on the official website of the	
	Patent Office (www.ipindia.nic.in).	
19.03	Information relating to Patent Applications and Patents	
	a. At the request of a person (on plain paper), the Controller provides	Section 153.
	the following information regarding a Patent or an Application for	Rule 134.
	a Patent. Separate requests shall be made in respect of each item:	
	i. as to when a Complete Specification following Provisional	
	Specification has been filed or an Application for Patent is	
	deemed to be abandoned;	
	ii. as to when the information under Section 8 has been filed;	
	iii. as to when Publication of Application has been made under	
	Section 11 A;	
	iv. as to when an Application has been withdrawn under	
	Section 11B;	
	v. as to when a request for examination has been made under	
	Section 11B;	
	vi. as to when the examination report has been issued under	
	Section 12;	
	vii. as to when an Application for Patent has been refused;	
	viii. as to when a Patent has been granted;	
	ix. as to when a renewal fee has been paid;	
	x. as to when the term of a Patent has expired or shall expire;	
	xi. as to when an entry has been made in the Register or	
	Application has been made for the making of such entry; or	

	<ul> <li>xii. as to when any Application is made or action taken involving an entry in the Register, publication in the Official Journal or otherwise, if the nature of the Application or action is specified in the request.</li> <li>b. The report of the Examiner to the Controller under Section 12 is not open to public unless directed by a Court of Law.</li> <li>c. Except (b) above, most of the information relating to patents is available on the official website. However, the information available on the official website of the Patent Office would not be sufficient for legal proceedings, for which a</li> </ul>	
	person may take recourse to (a) above.	
19.04 I	inspection and supply of copies of documents	
	<ul> <li>a. After the publication of application, the application along with the complete specification, provisional specification, drawing, if any, and the abstract may be inspected at the appropriate Patent Office.</li> <li>b. After the grant of a patent, the application along with the complete specification, provisional specification, drawing, if any, and abstract and related thereto may be inspected at the concerned Patent Office.</li> </ul>	Section 72, 147,. Rule 27, 74- A, , 133 Form 30
c		
c	<ol> <li>A person may obtain copies of any document open to public upon payment of the prescribed fee.</li> </ol>	
e	e. Certified copy of any document open to public may be obtained upon payment of prescribed fee. The certified copy of any document issued by the Patent Office with its seal is admitted in evidence in all Courts and in all proceedings in lieu of the original document.	
f	E Register of Patents may be inspected during the working hours of the Patent Office by making a written application along with the prescribed fee.	
g	g. Certified copies of any entry in the Register is available upon the payment of prescribed fee.	

	h. Certified copies of any entry in the register, or certificates of, or	
	extracts from patents, specifications and other public documents	
	in the patent office, or from registers and other records including	
	records in computer floppies, diskettes or any other electronic	
	form kept there, may be furnished by the Controller on a request	
	therefor made to him and on payment of the fee specified	
	therefor in the First Schedule: Provided that certified copies shall	
	be issued in the order in which the request is filed.	
	i. Notwithstanding anything contained above, certified copies can be	
	furnished within a period of one week if such request is made	
	along with the fee specified therefore in the First Schedule.	
19.05	Annual Report of the Patent Office	Section 155
	The Patent Office publishes an annual report comprising statistical	
	information pertaining to the activities of Patent Office. Such report	
	is placed before both the Houses of Parliament, whereupon the	
	report is made available on the official website.	
19.06	Information available at the Website	
	The official website (www.ipindia.nic.in) provides the following	
	information:	
	a. Indian Patent Advanced Search System (inPASS) provides	
	information on:	
	i. Granted Patents;	
	ii. Details of Published Patent Applications with status;	
	iii. Status of granted patents	
	iv. e-Register of Patents	
	In addition, the following information is also available :	
	News & Events/ Circulars by the Controller General	
	Manuals/ Guidelines	
	• Act & Rules.	
	• Controller's decisions.	
	Ceased and Lapsed patents	
	• Dynamic patent utilities	
	List of registered Patent Agents.	
	Mobile App. A mobile App named "Intellectual Property	

India" has been developed and link is available on website for	
both android and iOS versions. Android version could also	
be downloaded from Google play store.	
• A link for video conferencing on website.	
• Feedback system	

20.01	Scientific Advisors	
	a. In any suit for infringement or in any proceeding before a Court	Section 115
	under this Act, the Court may at any time, suo-moto or on an	
	application made by a party, appoint an independent Scientific	
	Advisor to assist the Court or to inquire and report upon any	
	such questions of fact or of opinion (not involving a question	
	of interpretation of law) as it may formulate for the purpose.	
	b. The remuneration of the Scientific Advisor shall be fixed by the	
	Court and shall include the cost of preparing/making a report	
	and appropriate fee for Scientific Advisor for any day on which	
	he/she may be required to attend the hearing of the Court, and	
	such remuneration shall be defrayed out of funds provided by	
	the Parliament under law for such purpose.	
20.02	Roll of Scientific Advisors	Rule 103,
	(1) The Controller shall maintain a roll of scientific advisers for the	103A
	purpose of section 115. The roll shall be updated annually. The	
	roll shall contain the names, addresses, specimen signatures and	
	photographs of scientific advisers, their designations,	
	information regarding their educational qualifications, the	
	disciplines of their specialisation and their technical, practical	
	and research experience.	
	(2) A person shall be qualified to have his name entered in the roll	
	of scientific advisers, if he-	
	(i) holds a degree in science, engineering or technology or	
	equivalent;	
	(ii) has at least fifteen years' technical, practical or research experience; and	
	(iii) he holds or has held a responsible post in a scientific or	
	technical department of the Central or State Government	
	or in any organisation.	
	The panel/list is also accessible / made available on the	
	official website of the Patent Office at url:	
	www.ipindia.nic.in.	

	(3) Disqualifications for inclusion in the roll of scientific advisers	
	A person shall not be eligible to be included in the roll of scientific	
	advisors, if he -	
	<ul> <li>(i) has been adjudged by a competent court to be of unsound mind;</li> </ul>	
	(ii) is an undischarged insolvent;	
	(iii) being a discharged insolvent, has not obtained from the	
	court a certificate to the effect that his insolvency was	
	caused by misfortune without any misconduct on his part;	
	(iv) has been convicted by a competent court, whether within or	
	outside India of an offence to undergo a term of	
	imprisonment, unless the offence of which he has been	
	convicted has been pardoned or unless on an application	
	made by him, the Central Government has, by order in this	
	behalf, removed the disability; or	
	(v) has been guilty of professional misconduct.	
20.03	Qualifications and Procedure for empanelment/enrollment	Rule 103,
	a. Any person who is qualified to have his/her name entered in the	104,105, 106
	panel/list/roll of scientific advisors. However, where the	
	Controller is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient to	
	do so, he may, by order, for reasons to be recorded in writing,	
	relax any of the qualifications specified above, with respect	
	to any person, if such person is otherwise well qualified.	
	b. Any person interested may apply at any time to the	
	Controller for inclusion of his/her name in the roll of	
	scientific advisors by furnishing his/her bio-data.	
	c. The list/roll is updated and published annually.	
20.04	Removal from the roll/panel of Scientific Advisor	Rule 107
	a. The Controller may remove the name of any person from the	
	roll of scientific advisors, if-	
	i. such person makes a request for such removal; or	
	ii. the Controller is satisfied that his/her name has been	
	entered in the roll by error or account of	
	misrepresentation or suppression of any material fact; or	

iii. such person has been convicted for an offence and
sentenced to a term of imprisonment or has been
guilty of misconduct in his professional capacity and the
Controller is of the opinion that his/her name should be
removed from the roll:
iv. such person is dead:
Provided that except in the cases falling under clause (i)
and (iv) above, before removing the name of any person
from the roll of scientific advisers under this rule, such
person shall be given a reasonable opportunity of being
heard.
b. Before removing the name of any person from the roll of
scientific advisors under this rule, such person shall be given a
reasonable opportunity of being heard.

21.01	Affidavits	
	a. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary as and when directed by	Section 79.
	the Controller, in all proceedings before the Controller under this Act, evidence shall be given by way of an affidavit.	Rule 126.
	<ul> <li>b. In cases where the Controller deems it appropriate to do so, he may take oral evidence in lieu of, or in addition to, evidence by way of an affidavit, or may allow any party to be cross-examined on the contents stated in his/her affidavit.</li> <li>c. The affidavits required to be filed before the Controller of Patents under the Act or rules shall be duly sworn in the manner as prescribed under Clause (e) below.</li> <li>d. Affidavits shall be confined to such facts as the deponent is able, of his own knowledge, to prove except in interlocutory matters, where statements of belief of the deponent may be admitted, provided the grounds thereof are given.</li> </ul>	Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948.
	e. Affidavits shall be sworn to as follows:	
	<ul> <li>i) In India - before any Court or person having by law authority to receive evidence, or before any officer empowered by such court as aforesaid to administer oaths or to take affidavits;</li> <li>ii) In any country or place outside India - before a diplomatic or consular officer, within the meaning of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948 (41 of1948); in such country or place or before a notary public of the country or place, recognised by the Central Government under section 14 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (53 of 1952); or before a Judge or Magistrate of the country or place.</li> <li>f. Alterations and interlineations shall, before an Affidavit is sworn to or affirmed to be authenticated by the initials of the person before whom the Affidavit is sworn to.</li> </ul>	Notification s u/s 14 of the Notaries Act, 1952.
21.02	Exhibits Where there are exhibits to be filed in an opposition matter or any	Rule 127

# Chapter 21: Miscellaneous provisions

	other proceeding, a copy or impression of each exhibit shall be	
	supplied to the other party at his request and expense; if copies or	
	impressions of the exhibits cannot conveniently be furnished, the	
	originals shall be left with the Controller for inspection by the person	
	interested by prior appointment. The exhibits in original, if not already	
	left with Controller, shall be produced at the hearing.	
21.03	Officers and employees of Patent Office – Duties	Section 76
	An officer or employee of the Patent Office shall not, except when	
	required or authorised by this Act or under a direction in writing of the	
	Central Government or Appellate Board or the Controller or by order	
	of a Court—	
	a. furnish information on a matter which is being, or has been, dealt	
	with under this Act; or	
	b. prepare to assist in the preparation of a document required or	
	permitted by or under this Act to be lodged in the Patent Office ; or	
	c. conduct a search in the records of the Patent Office.	
21.04	Hearing to be in public	Rule 139
	Where the hearing is held before the Controller in respect of any dispute	
	between two or more parties relating to an application for a patent	
	or to any matter in connection with a patent after the date of the	
	publication of the complete specification, the hearing of the dispute	
	shall be in public unless the Controller, after consultation with the	
	parties to the dispute who appear in person or are represented at the	
	hearing, otherwise directs.	
21.05	Agency – Power of Attorney	Rule 135.
	a) Authorisation of an agent for the purposes of the Act and the rules	Fo <b>rm-26</b> .
	shall be made in Form 26 or in the form of a Power of Attorney	
	within a period of 3 months from the date of filing of such	
	application or document, failing which no action shall be taken on	
	such application or documents for further processing, till such	
	deficiency is removed.	
	b) Where any such authorisation has been made, service upon	
	the agent of any document relating to the proceeding or	
	matter under the Act or the rules shall be deemed to be the service	
	matter under the rate of the rules shall be deemed to be the service	

upon the person so authorising and all communications directed to
be made to a person in respect of the proceeding or matter may be
addressed to such agent, and all appearances before the Controller
relating thereto may be made by or through such agent.
c. If it is considered necessary, the office can require the personal signature or presence of an applicant, opponent or party to
such proceeding or matter.

22.01	Time limits	
	Time limits for various actions while prosecuting a patent application and also for post grant procedures have been either specifically provided in the Patents Act or prescribed through the Patents Rules. These time limits are required to be followed strictly by every person concerned. Failure to adhere to the legally imposed time limits may turn out to be detrimental to the interests of the applicants, patentees or any other person interested.	
22.02	<ul> <li>Petition for extension of time</li> <li>(1) Except for the time prescribed in clause (i) of sub-rule (4) of rule 20, sub-rule (6) of rule 20, rule 21, sub-rules (1), (5) and (6) of rule 24B, sub-rules (10) and (11) of rule 24C, sub-rule (4) of rule 55, sub-rule (1A) of rule 80 and sub-rules (1) and (2) of rule 130, the time prescribed by these rules for doing of any act or the taking of any proceeding thereunder may be extended by the Controller for a period of one month, if he thinks it fit to do so and upon such terms as he may direct.</li> <li>(2) Any request for extension of time prescribed by these rules for doing of any act or the taking of any be extended by the controller for a period of one month, if he thinks it fit to do so and upon such terms as he may direct.</li> </ul>	Rule 138
	made before the expiry of such time prescribed in these rules.	

S.N.	Description	Time	Provision
1.	Proof of right to make an	Six months from the date of Filling of	Section 7(2)
	application	application	Rule10
2.	Statement and undertaking	Six months from the date of Filing of	Section 8(1)(a)
	Regarding foreign applications	application	Rule 12(1A)
3.	Subsequent information	Six months from the date of Filing of	Section
	Corresponding to foreign filing	application outside India	8(1)(b)
			Rule 12(2)
4.	Information relating to	Six months from the date of	Section 8(2)
	objections in respect of novelty,	communication by Controller	Rule 12(3)
	patentability etc. in foreign filing		
5.	Filing a complete specification	Twelve months from the date	Section 9(1)
	after filing provisional	of filling of the Provisional	
	specification	Specification	
6.	Declaration of Inventorship	With the complete specification or	Rule 13(6)
	(Form 5)	within one month from the date of	
		filing of the complete specification as	
		the Controller may allow on an	
		application made in Form-4	
7.	Reference to deposit of	Three months from the date of filing of	Section10(4)
	biological material	application	Rule 13(8)
8.	Convention application	Twelve months from the date of filing	Section 135(1)
		of the basic application	0
9.	Convention application (in case	Twelve months from the date of filing	Section 135(1)
10	of multiple priorities)	of first filed basic application	0 : 125(2)
10.	Convention application	Twelve months from the date of earliest	Section 135(2)
11	(cognate)	filed application	$\mathbf{p} = 1 - 20(4)(1)$
11.	PCT national phase application	Thirty one months from the Priority	Rule 20(4)(i)
12	Drighty do num ant (fan	date Three months from the date of	Section $129(1)$
12.	Priority document (for	communication from the Controller	Section 138(1) Rule 121
12	convention application)		
13.	Publication of application	Ordinarily within one month from the expiry of eighteen months from the date	Rule 24, Rule 24-A
		of filing or priority date, whichever is	24-11
		earlier, or within one month from the	
		date of request for early publication.	
14.	Withdrawal of application to	Fifteen months from date of Filing or	Section 11-
17.	prevent publication	priority, whichever is earlier	A(3)(c)
	Request for withdrawal of	Any time before the grant of Patent	Section 11-
	application	The second and grant of Facent	B(4), Rule 26
	Request for withdrawal and	In case withdrawal is requested after	Rule $7(4A)$ ,
	refund of Fee	filing Request for examination but before	Rule 26
		issuance of FER, then fee is refunded as	
		prescribed in the First Schedule	

# 22.03: Time limits prescribed by the Patents Act, 1970 and Patents Rules, 2003

15.	Request for examination	Forty eight months from the date of	Section 11-B
		filing or priority, whichever is earlier	Rule 24-B
16.	Request for examination, where	Forty eight months from the date of	Rule 24-
	secrecy direction imposed	filing or priority or within sixth months	B(1)(iii)
		from the date of revocation of secrecy	
		direction, whichever expires later	
17.	Request for examination	Forty eight months from date	Rule 24-
	(Divisional Application)	of filing or priority of first mentioned	B(1)(iv)
		application, or within six months	
		from date of filing of further	
		application, which ever expires later.	
18.	Time within which Examiner	Ordinarily within one month but not	Rule 24-
	makes report to Controller	exceeding three months from the date	B(2)(ii)
		of such reference	
19.	Controller disposes off the	Ordinarily within one month from the	Rule 24-B(2)
	report of Examiner	date of receipt of report	(111)
20.	First Examination Report (FER)	Within one month from the date of	Rule 24-B(3)
	to be sent by the Controller to	disposal of the report of examiner by	
	applicant	the Controller	
21.	Time for complying with all	Six months from the date Of issuance	Section 21(1)
	requirements imposed by the	of the FER	Rule 24-B(5)
	Act		
22	Extension Time for complying	Maximum extension up to three months	Section 21(1)
	with all requirements	can be availed only once, on a request	Rule 24-B(6)
		made in Form 4, if requested before	
		the expiry of prescribed time under Rule	
		24B(5)	
23.	Time, after publication, before	Six months from the date of	Rule 55(1A)
	Expiry of which no patent is	publication	
	granted		
24	Pre-grant opposition	Any time before the grant of patent	Section 25(1)
25.	Reply statement and evidence by	Three months from the date Of notice	Rule 55(4)
	applicant (pre-grant opposition)	of the Controller	
26.	Decision by Controller upon	Ordinarily within one month	Rule 55(5)
	pre-grant opposition	from completion of the proceedings	
27.	Notice of opposition (post-grant	Before the expiry of one year from the	Section 25(2)
	opposition)	date of publication of grant of patent	
28.	Reply statement by patentee	Two months from receipt of	Rule 58(1)
		opponent's written statement	
29.	Reply evidence by opponent	One month from date of delivery of	Rule 59
		patentee's reply statement	
30.	Opposition Board submits	Three months from the date on which	Rule 56(4)
	report	documents were forwarded to the Board	
	1		0 1 2(1)
31.	Periodical review of secrecy	Every six months	Section $36(1)$
31.	Periodical review of secrecy directions	Every six months	Section 36(1)

	for filing abroad	from the date of filing of such request	Rule 71
33.	Time after which no permission is required for filing abroad	Six weeks after filing the application in India, where no direction for secrecy is imposed or application has not been referred to Department of Atomic Energy (DAE)	Section 39(1)
34.	Payment of due renewal fee, where patent has been granted after the expiry of two years from date of filing	Three months from the date of recordal in Register of Patents	Section 142(4)
35.	Extension in time for payment of renewal fee, where patent has been granted after expiry of two years from date of filing	Extendable by maximum six months	Section 142(4)
36.	Time for payment of the renewal fee	Before expiry of the <b>nth</b> year from date of patent in respect of the <b>(n+1)</b> <b>th</b> year	Rule 80(1)
37.	Extension in time for payment of renewal fee	Maximum six months	Rule 80(1A)
38.	Application for restoration of patent	Eighteen months from the date of cessation of the patent	Section 60
39.	Request for hearing by an applicant for restoration, where prima facie case has not been made out	One month from date of intimation by the Controller	Rule 84(2)
40.	Notice of Opposition against restoration	One month from date of publication of application for restoration	Rule 85(1)
41.	Payment of the unpaid renewal fee and additional fee when restoration allowed	One month from date of order	Rule 86(1)
42.	Notice of Opposition against an offer to surrender a patent	Three months from the date Of publication of offer	Rule 87(2)
43.	Notice of Opposition against application for post-grant amendment	Three months from the date of publication of such application	Rule 81(3)(b)
44.	Furnishing information relating to working of patent in respect of the calendar year	Three months from the end of each calendar year	Section 146(2), Rule 131 (2)
45.	Furnishing information relating to working of patent, upon notice of Controller	Two months from the date of notice	Section 146(1